

In Re:
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.
Case No. 12-12020-mg

September 15, 2014

eScribers, LLC
(973) 406-2250
operations@escribers.net
www.escribers.net

To purchase copies of this transcript, please contact us by phone or email



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Case No. 12-12020-mg

- - - - -x

In the Matter of:

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,

Debtors.

- - - - -x

United States Bankruptcy Court

One Bowling Green

New York, New York

September 15, 2014

9:03 AM

B E F O R E:

HON. MARTIN GLENN

U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

1

2 (CC: Doc#7017) Trial re: ResCap Borrower Claims Trust's
3 Objection to Proofs of Claim Filed by Frank Reed and Christina
4 Reed.

5

6 Case Management/Scheduling Conference Regarding ResCap Borrower
7 Claims Trust's objection to the Matthews Claim.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 Transcribed by: Hana Copperman
21 eScribers, LLC
22 700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607
23 New York, NY 10040
24 (973)406-2250
25 operations@escribers.net

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S :

REED SMITH LLP

Attorneys for ResCap Borrower Claims Trust
1717 Arch Street
Suite 3100
Philadelphia, PA 19103

BY: BARBARA K. HAGER, ESQ.

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

Attorneys for ResCap Borrower Claims Trust
250 West 55th Street
New York, NY 10019

BY: JORDAN A. WISHNEW, ESQ.

NORMAN S. ROSENBAUM, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

LEGG LAW FIRM

Attorneys for Kevin J. Matthews

5500 Buckeystown Pike

Frederick, MD 21703

BY: PHILLIP R. ROBINSON, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

FRANK REED, Pro Se

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 THE COURT: Please be seated. All right, I have the
3 list of appearances of counsel and Mr. Reed, pro se.

4 Mr. Reed, do you wish to make an opening statement?
5 You don't have to, but you have the opportunity to make an
6 opening statement. The only thing you have to do is decide.

7 MR. REED: Yeah, yeah. I would like to, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Okay. And I know you have difficulty
9 standing and then sitting, so if you wish to, you can do so
10 from your seat.

11 MR. REED: Thank you, Your Honor. First I would like
12 to say I presented the Court with a motion and I would like the
13 Court to know that I'm willing to cede whatever time's
14 necessary --

15 THE COURT: You don't have to cede time. I haven't
16 read it before I took the bench, but I will read it and I
17 won't -- it's -- the deadline for filing things has already
18 come and gone, but I will read it and I won't take your time.
19 So go ahead.

20 MR. REED: As you know, we're here to discuss the
21 fruits of the claims that we have remaining, that I filed and
22 my wife had filed. The crux of those claims are the liability
23 would be stemming from the wrongful foreclosure -- what we
24 believe to be a wrongful foreclosure, "wrongful" meaning that
25 it should have not happened at that time, for a variety of

1 reasons, from standing to breaches of contract, common law, and
2 the reduction or interference with the ability to realize a
3 market value of the property 817 Matlack Drive in Moorestown,
4 New Jersey.

5 And to that end, I guess we're here to examine the
6 facts as I see them and I understand them, and for you to -- if
7 you find that there's been a wrongful act, then damage to be
8 estimated by you, from what I understand, the Rules and your
9 role in bankruptcy.

10 And I think that's what I have for an opening
11 statement.

12 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Reed.

13 Ms. Hager or -- who's going to -- are you going to be
14 speaking?

15 MS. HAGER: I am, Your Honor --

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 MS. HAGER: -- yes. Barbara Hager with Reed Smith,
18 for the Borrower Claims Trust.

19 Your Honor, before I get started with my prepared
20 opening, it came to my attention, just prior to the start of
21 the hearing, that several of Mr. Reed's witnesses will not be
22 available today, specifically, Christy Donati, Evan Hendricks,
23 and Louise Carter. It's my understanding that Mr. Reed intends
24 to call them tomorrow, from what he said. My prior
25 understanding was that witnesses were to be made available for

1 the duration of the trial. Obviously, Your Honor ordered that
2 this would be a two-day trial, with each side having six hours.
3 But if his witnesses are going tomorrow, I'm not sure that
4 leaves us enough time.

5 Specifically in the order from July 22nd, Your Honor
6 ordered that each party would be responsible for having
7 witnesses present and ready to testify, without any gaps --
8 without any delays or gaps -- excuse me -- in testimony.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Reed?

10 MR. REED: Your Honor, I -- this is when I can get
11 them to come. I mean, Ms. --

12 THE COURT: Court doesn't work that way, Mr. Reed.
13 The Court doesn't work that way. I mean, it's not -- trials,
14 whether there're pro se parties or parties represented by
15 counsel -- the trial's supposed to be today; if necessary,
16 tomorrow. Ms. Hager has accurately quoted from the order I
17 enter in every case before trial, to make clear witnesses have
18 to be here and the parties are responsible for having them
19 here. Let's see where we get to today.

20 I will often accommodate parties usually when I know
21 in advance, and I ask opposing counsel to take witnesses out of
22 order. But once a trial starts, Mr. Reed, you put your
23 witnesses -- and you're the plaintiff, essentially; you're the
24 claimant. You put your witnesses on and, when you've run out
25 of witnesses, I ask whether you rest. And then the other side

1 puts their witnesses on and I ask whether they rest. That's
2 how trials are conducted.

3 Anything else, Ms. Hager?

4 MS. HAGER: Excuse me, Your Honor?

5 THE COURT: Is there anything else you want to raise
6 now? You want to give an opening statement?

7 MS. HAGER: I do want to give an opening statement.

8 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.

9 MS. HAGER: No other preliminary issues, though, Your
10 Honor.

11 Your Honor, the claimant, Mr. Reed, along with his
12 wife, have argued entitlement to damages stemming from their
13 inability to sell or refinance their property at 817 Matlack
14 Drive. Not only can't they prove damages; the evidence will
15 show that the opposite is true, that throughout the process of
16 attempting to market the property, the claimants were paid at
17 least 472,500 dollars, and they recognized a savings in their
18 living expenses, of nearly 900,000 dollars; that was for
19 failing to pay on the mortgage since early 2008.

20 Now, the claimants have to prove three of their claims
21 by a preponderance of the evidence: negligence, breach of
22 contract, and the violation of the CFA. And the fourth, which
23 is for punitive damages, needs to be proven by clear and
24 convincing evidence. Based on the evidence that will be put on
25 at the trial, they won't be able to meet either of those

1 burdens.

2 Specifically, the claimants claim as damages several
3 categories; one is the interest which they presently owe to
4 their new servicer on the same mortgage, which is presently in
5 foreclosure. And according to the pre-trial submissions,
6 Mr. Reed would have the Court order that the Borrower Trust pay
7 that interest that is due on their loan, because, according to
8 them, but for the issues with the 2008 foreclosure, Mr. and
9 Mrs. Reed would have paid off that loan. And since the loan
10 wasn't paid off, they think that the Borrower Trust should be
11 responsible for paying their interest and ensuring their
12 free living for the last six and a half years.

13 In addition, they claim very nonspecific lost profits
14 from the sale or refinance, at an unknown point in time
15 essentially -- there's no real evidence, and I'll talk about
16 that in a little bit -- and the attorney's fees in connection
17 with the foreclosure, which the claimants argue they're
18 entitled to.

19 With respect to what I think are these speculative and
20 nonspecific damages resulting from their alleged
21 loan-application process, there will be no evidence put on that
22 the claimants ever applied for a loan, that they were ever
23 approved for a loan. There'll be no evidence of what the
24 theoretical terms of the loan would have been; no evidence of
25 what the actual cash proceeds would have been. The one

1 argument that you'll hear from Mr. Reed is that he would have
2 refinanced and taken the proceeds to do various things.
3 There's no evidence of what that dollar amount would have even
4 been; and specific to that, there's no evidence of the amount
5 of the liens that were on the property at the time, which would
6 have been instrumental in actually calculating the amount of
7 cash proceeds. And frankly, there's no real evidence as to
8 timing. There's nothing that shows when the actual application
9 was made, if it was in fact made. Whether they were approved
10 or denied, when and for what, is completely speculative.

11 In addition, you'll hear testimony about attempts of
12 the claimants to sell their property. However, there's no
13 actual evidence that they ever could have sold the property or
14 for what amount or when or, again, what the amount of the liens
15 were at the time, making it impossible to calculate -- even if
16 they're entitled to damages, making it impossible to calculate
17 what those might have been.

18 You'll hear testimony that, over time, the house was
19 on the market and the listing price was reduced five or six
20 times throughout that period of time, to reflect what was going
21 on in the market at the time. The reality is that it was a
22 declining market. Another reality is that there were two
23 agreements of sale: one with the Jacobs family, and another
24 with a man by the name of Mark Weaver, who also used another
25 name, Brett Cooper. Both of those agreements of sale were

1 entered into and agreed to by the Reeds; both of them fell
2 through for reasons that had nothing to do with the
3 foreclosure.

4 The amounts that the claimants argue -- or will argue
5 that should be paid by the Borrower Trust to their new servicer
6 have no legal basis. There's no reason -- and none has really
7 been put forth in any of the pleadings -- for holding the
8 Borrower Trust liable to pay the mortgage that the claimants
9 are obligated on, which, again, is no longer serviced by GMAC
10 but has a new servicer by the name of 21st Mortgage
11 Corporation. Mr. Reed signed the mortgage and the note, he
12 still lives in the house, he's been living for there free, yet
13 he wants the Borrower Trust to pay the interest over that
14 period of time.

15 And as to the attorney's fees, the invoices that were
16 supplied during discovery and will presumably be discussed
17 during the trial are not specific as to the work that was
18 performed. There's no evidence as to what work, if any, was
19 incurred in the foreclosure. And to the extent that any of the
20 amounts claimed by the attorneys has to do with Mr. Reed's
21 affirmative case, Reed v. GMAC, those should not be borne by
22 the Borrower Trust.

23 THE COURT: Why's that?

24 MS. HAGER: Well, his affirmative claim is separate
25 and apart from the foreclosure. And he had every opportunity

1 to bring the mortgage current, he had the ability to bring the
2 mortgage current; he chose not to. He chose instead to sue
3 GMAC. He litigated that case for years and then he withdrew
4 it. It didn't even come to a decision. There's no reason to
5 hold the Borrower Trust liable for any fees that he incurred in
6 a case that he didn't even bring to conclusion.

7 As far as the legal basis for the claims, I just spoke
8 a bit about damages because that seems to me to be such a huge
9 component of the case. But obviously, with respect to the
10 claims, each of those claims requires that the claimants be
11 able to prove damages. But in addition to that, with respect
12 to the breach-of-contract claim, that claim requires
13 performance by the party alleging breach. And here we have
14 some facts that are not contested. Mr. Reed does not contest
15 the fact that he didn't pay on the mortgage. He is perhaps not
16 specific as to the month but has admitted that early 2008 he
17 was not paying on the mortgage.

18 THE COURT: May I ask you this, Ms. Hager: did the
19 mortgage require that Mr. Reed be given a minimum of thirty
20 days' notice before the commencement of a foreclosure action?

21 MS. HAGER: Yes. The terms of the mortgage do provide
22 that.

23 THE COURT: How can it be that if Mr. Reed was in
24 breach of his obligation to pay, that the noteholder or the
25 loan servicer would be excused from complying with the

1 requirement that it give advance notice of filing a foreclosure
2 action? It seems to me that that can't be right, I mean,
3 because if that were true, the provision in the mortgage
4 requiring thirty days' advance notice before bringing a
5 foreclosure action would be utterly meaningless, because the
6 only circumstance in which you could bring a foreclosure action
7 is if the borrower was in default.

8 MS. HAGER: Well, I don't disagree with that, Your
9 Honor, and I don't believe that --

10 THE COURT: So how can you argue that Mr. Reed's
11 breach excused -- assuming the existence of a contract as to
12 which one of the debtors was a party or chargeable as a party,
13 how is it that you can argue that Mr. Reed's breach would
14 excuse GMACM from complying with the specific requirement in
15 the mortgage about advance notice before bringing the mortgage
16 foreclosure action?

17 MS. HAGER: Well, Your Honor, I don't believe that we
18 are arguing that here today. I believe that -- it's not our
19 position that that performance was excused. That issue was
20 litigated in the foreclosure case below and it was determined
21 that GMAC was not properly positioned to bring the foreclosure
22 action, and that case was dismissed. So I don't think I could
23 stand here today and argue that it was okay.

24 THE COURT: So what is your argument, then, about --
25 what is it that you believe your client was excused from doing

1 by virtue of Mr. Reed's breach by nonpayment?

2 MS. HAGER: Well, I think, Your Honor, the argument is
3 simply that it couldn't have been a breach-of-contract claim by
4 virtue -- or you can't have a breach-of-contract claim by
5 virtue of the fact that he breached first. And that's
6 inherently --

7 THE COURT: How can that be? That's exactly the
8 point. There's a mortgage document; it has a requirement that
9 you give thirty days' advance notice. If you didn't do that,
10 you seem to be arguing that your breach is -- when I say
11 "your", obviously your client, and your client is the Trust,
12 which is the successor, so excuse the shorthand.

13 How can it be that GMACM would be excused from
14 complying with the contractual requirement if Mr. Reed was in
15 breach of his obligation to pay? I mean, it just -- it utterly
16 is nonsensical. It can't be that nonpayment of the mortgage
17 excuses the mortgagee or its loan servicer from complying with
18 a contractual obligation of notice. You have any authority for
19 that?

20 MS. HAGER: Your Honor, I don't believe that we're
21 arguing that my client's performance, specifically their
22 obligation to send a notice, was excused.

23 THE COURT: What are you arguing is excused?

24 MS. HAGER: Well, the argument is that the claimants
25 cannot fulfill their prima facie breach-of-contract case --

1 claim, because one of the elements is missing, and that is the
2 element that the party asserting the breach needed to have
3 performed in the first instance.

4 THE COURT: We're talking in circles.

5 No, Mr. Reed, you had your chance to make an opening
6 statement.

7 Okay. We're talking in circles. But go on with your
8 argument.

9 MS. HAGER: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

10 With respect to the counts for negligence and
11 violation of the Consumer Fraud Act, the claimants focus on the
12 foreclosure and the corresponding lis pendens. But the
13 evidence is clear that GMAC was not entitled to proceed with
14 the foreclosure; that's not in dispute that the case was
15 dismissed without prejudice.

16 THE COURT: Did GMACM have standing -- put aside the
17 FFA. Did GMACM have standing to commence a foreclosure action
18 against the Reeds at the time that it did commence it?

19 MS. HAGER: Well, the complaint in the foreclosure was
20 amended, specifically -- I'll take a step back. I think the
21 issue at the hearing on this matter previously was that there
22 was a provision in paragraph 4 of the complaint, which stated
23 that GMAC -- GMACM was the owner of the note and mortgage, and
24 that presented an issue because it conflicted with a provision
25 in Ms. Delehey's declaration to the contrary.

1 Now, there is, and there was, an amended complaint,
2 which was not discussed at that hearing, in which the amendment
3 to the complaint changed paragraph 4 of the complaint to state
4 that GMACM was the assignee of the mortgage. So --

5 THE COURT: Go ahead.

6 MS. HAGER: So by virtue of that correction of what
7 was otherwise a misstatement, GMAC remedied that issue and
8 should have been entitled to proceed with respect to the issue
9 of standing. But again, that case was dismissed without
10 prejudice, so --

11 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you, because actually
12 when I saw the amendment, and particularly the reliance on an
13 assignment signed by Mr. Stephan, my antenna went up and it
14 appeared to me that perhaps GMACM committed a fraud on the
15 Court, beyond its failure to serve notice under the FFA. So
16 let me ask my question again: at the time that GMACM filed its
17 complaint, assuming that it gave notice under the FFA, did it
18 have standing to proceed with the foreclosure action against
19 the Reeds?

20 MS. HAGER: Well, there are two ways that GMAC
21 Mortgage could have had standing: one is if it was the
22 assignee of the mortgage, and the other is if it was the holder
23 of the note.

24 THE COURT: Well, I don't think so. I don't think so.
25 New Jersey, I believe, follows the rule that most states do,

1 that the mortgage follows the note. You agree with that?

2 MS. HAGER: I do.

3 THE COURT: First I would note that the assignment
4 signed by Mr. Stephan occurred after the -- it was sometime
5 after the case was filed, not before. That assignment purports
6 to assign the note and the mortgage from MERS, but I've seen
7 nothing to indicate that MERS ever had an interest in the note.
8 Don't believe it did. Even if Mr. Stephan -- you agree
9 Mr. Stephan was an employee of GMAC?

10 MS. HAGER: Yes, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: He signed the assignment on behalf of
12 MERS, correct?

13 MS. HAGER: He did, Your Honor. He had signing
14 authority for MERS.

15 THE COURT: Okay. You agree he would only have the
16 authority to assign for MERS what MERS owned, correct?

17 MS. HAGER: He would have authority to sign for that
18 which MERS was the nominee.

19 THE COURT: The only thing MERS -- I looked at the
20 documents carefully; the only thing MERS was the beneficiary of
21 was the mortgage, not the note.

22 MS. HAGER: Agreed.

23 THE COURT: And therefore, MERS couldn't assign the
24 note; do you agree with that?

25 MS. HAGER: Yes, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: And New Jersey law would require that the
2 moving party -- that the party that filed the foreclosure
3 action have a right to proceed on behalf of the noteholder, if
4 it didn't actually own the note itself; do you agree with that?

5 MS. HAGER: I'm sorry, would you repeat that?

6 THE COURT: GMACM could not file a foreclosure action
7 against Mr. Reed unless it demonstrated that it either was the
8 noteholder if it held, for example, the original of a note
9 endorsed in blank, or if it had an assignment of the note from
10 the noteholder. You agree with that?

11 MS. HAGER: Yes, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: And did GMACM have either of those things?

13 MS. HAGER: Your Honor, as I stand here today, it's a
14 difficult question to ask (sic), because the note is not static
15 in time. And the copies of the note that we have have been
16 subsequently endorsed, and the original note today has been
17 subsequently endorsed. A lot of time has gone by since 2008.

18 THE COURT: At some point, Metrocities assigned the
19 note to GMAC Bank, which is not any of the debtors in this
20 case, correct?

21 MS. HAGER: Yes, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: And do you know when that occurred?

23 MS. HAGER: I do not.

24 THE COURT: And GMAC Bank at some point assigned the
25 note to RFC, correct?

1 MS. HAGER: Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: And is there -- I mean, I haven't heard
3 the proof yet, but when I looked at the documents, the exhibits
4 that were submitted, particularly when I looked at the amended
5 complaint that was filed, standing has to be as of the time you
6 filed the case, not after. And so you would agree that GMAC
7 did not have standing to file the foreclosure action on the
8 date it was filed, correct?

9 MS. HAGER: Well, I can't agree to that. I do agree
10 with Your Honor's observation as to the date on the assignment
11 of mortgage. However, it's our position that the second way in
12 which GMAC Mortgage could have had standing is if it was the
13 holder of the original note --

14 THE COURT: Okay, you're going to put on a witness to
15 testify they were the holder of the original note?

16 MS. HAGER: Well, I can't, again, because of the issue
17 of timing and how much time has gone by and the fact that the
18 original note has been subsequently endorsed. But it's our
19 position that, because the foreclosure case was dismissed
20 without prejudice, that we don't need to get into the issue of
21 standing. There's no dispute that there was a problem with
22 asserting the foreclosure. That's not disputed. They couldn't
23 go forward with the foreclosure and it was dismissed.

24 THE COURT: Well, but Mr. Reed is asserting several
25 claims, the negligence claim, for example, and you dispute

1 whether there was a duty. Are you telling me that a loan
2 servicer does not owe a duty to a borrower that when it files a
3 foreclosure action, it actually has standing and authority to
4 file the action? It can just -- doesn't matter -- if Mr. Reed
5 was in default, it wouldn't matter whether GMAC had standing or
6 not; they could just go ahead and file the foreclosure action?
7 That's your position?

8 MS. HAGER: No. No. Of course that's not our
9 position. Obviously, the servicer should be able to meet all
10 of the components required by law. So it's certainly not our
11 position that they could just --

12 THE COURT: And you agree that you can't --

13 MS. HAGER: -- go ahead --

14 THE COURT: -- you can't demonstrate that they could
15 satisfy all the requirements of law at the time they filed the
16 foreclosure action?

17 MS. HAGER: No. And the lower court said that too,
18 that --

19 THE COURT: Well, they focused -- the lower court
20 focused solely on the FFA, that GMACM was unable to demonstrate
21 that it gave Mr. Reed the notice that a state statute required.
22 The state court had no reason at that time -- I don't know
23 whether the argument was made, but it certainly jumped out at
24 me when I looked at the documents, and particularly when I saw
25 the amended complaint, which you provided, that attached the

1 post-dated assignment from Mr. Stephan, a notorious
2 robo-signer. It wasn't you, but one of the other counsel for
3 the Trust has acknowledged that something like 400 foreclosures
4 based on documents signed by Mr. Stephan were vacated even
5 after a foreclosure occurred. It's very troubling.

6 Go ahead with your opening statement.

7 MS. HAGER: Sure. Thank you, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: I say that all -- and I've said this
9 before, but to put it on the record: you're representing the
10 Trust, which is a successor to GMAC. You were not involved --
11 you weren't involved in the original foreclosure action,
12 correct?

13 MS. HAGER: That's correct, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: And Morrison Foerster was not involved in
15 the original foreclosure action. You take your clients as you
16 find them, but the facts are as they are and perhaps difficult
17 to assemble. So I don't mean, by my questioning, to suggest
18 that you've done anything improper. It's just it's what you're
19 stuck with.

20 MS. HAGER: Sure. Absolutely, Your Honor.

21 Bear with me for a moment.

22 THE COURT: Go ahead.

23 MS. HAGER: Given our conversation --

24 THE COURT: Sure.

25 MS. HAGER: -- I want to make sure I don't --

1 THE COURT: Yeah.

2 MS. HAGER: -- duplicate --

3 THE COURT: That's fine.

4 MS. HAGER: Your Honor, no one disputes that the
5 claimants were in default at the time the complaint was filed,
6 and no one disputes that the prerequisites for filing the
7 complaint hadn't been met. And the question for the Court to
8 decide in light of the foreclosure court's order is whether the
9 filing is negligent or violated the Consumer Fraud Act. And
10 it's our position that the simple answer to that is no, the
11 filing was not negligent, because there was no duty and no
12 damages.

13 THE COURT: What do you base the no-duty on? Because
14 it seems to me that the FFA -- there's no private right of
15 action; I understand that. But the existence of duty under New
16 Jersey law, certainly the violation -- this is from a case,
17 Braitman v. Overlook Terrace: "A violation of statutory duty
18 of care is not conclusive on the issue of negligence in a civil
19 action but it is a circumstance which the trier of fact should
20 consider in assessing a liability." Do you agree with that
21 statement?

22 MS. HAGER: I do agree with the quote, yes.

23 THE COURT: And --

24 (Pause)

25 THE COURT: There's a New Jersey Supreme Court case

1 from 1982, Di Cosala v. Kay, which said, "The most common test
2 of negligence is whether the consequences of the alleged
3 wrongful act were reasonably to be foreseen as injurious to
4 others coming in the range of such acts." And so on the issue
5 of duty, if the only thing -- and it might be enough, but if
6 the only thing were the FFA issue, the Court might come to one
7 conclusion. But after seeing a post-dated -- when I say "post-
8 dated", post-dated after the commencement of the foreclosure
9 action -- assignment signed by Mr. Stephan signing on behalf of
10 MERS, but you acknowledge -- and I've heard before in other
11 cases that Mr. Stephan was an employee of GMACM -- and you're
12 being -- you've acknowledged you're unable to put in any proof
13 that GMACM either held the original note or was an assignee of
14 the original note or was given written authority on behalf of
15 the noteholder to commence the action.

16 What seems to me clear is, at the time that the
17 foreclosure action was filed, not only because of the lack of
18 compliance with the FFA but because of the lack of standing,
19 GMACM couldn't bring that action. They commenced a foreclosure
20 against the Reeds, who were in default. No question about it;
21 they were in default. But a mortgage servicer doesn't get
22 absolved from responsibility or liability solely because a
23 borrower is in default. It has to comply with the law before
24 it commences a foreclosure action. And GMACM didn't do that.
25 And when they sought to serve the subsequent notice after this

1 issue of the FFA came up, the court still dismissed the action.

2 So it was arguably a wrongful-foreclosure action, not
3 just because of the violation of the FFA notice requirement,
4 but because of standing, because of a document signed by
5 Mr. Stephan which appears on its face -- unless you can show
6 that MERS owned an interest in the note, it couldn't assign the
7 note, and that's what Mr. Stephan purported to do. He couldn't
8 do that. And that was presented to the New Jersey court with
9 the amended complaint, in an effort to salvage a foreclosure
10 case.

11 Go ahead.

12 (Pause)

13 MS. HAGER: What the Borrowers' Trust will prove is
14 the claimants' receipt of a substantial amount of funds that
15 flowed through the attempts to sell the property.
16 Specifically, there was a 400,000-dollar cash payment that was
17 paid to the Reeds by Mr. Cooper so that he could move into the
18 property and have an option to purchase the property.
19 Mr. Cooper subsequently paid another 50,000 dollars over the
20 period of two months, to remain in the property. The idea
21 behind that was he was trying to buy the property and didn't
22 have the money to buy it outright. Nevertheless, Mr. Cooper
23 ended up defaulting on the agreement, subsequently was evicted,
24 but the claimants kept that 450,000 dollars.

25 With respect to the first agreement of sale on the

1 property with the Jacobses, there was a dispute that stemmed
2 from the assertion of the Jacobses of their right to cancel the
3 contract because the house didn't appraise and they weren't
4 able to get financing. The Reeds did not accept the attempt to
5 cancel the contract and would not return the Jacobses' funds;
6 they had 50,000 dollars on deposit. So the Jacobses ended up
7 suing the claimants. In connection with that case, the
8 claimants countersued a number of counterparties, including the
9 appraiser TD Bank, and the realtor B.T. Edgar.

10 The court ended up finding that the Jacobses were
11 entitled to cancel the contract, because in fact the house did
12 not appraise for the amount that they agreed to in the
13 agreement of sale. But after that judgment was entered,
14 Mr. Reed was left with his counterclaims and he settled with
15 those counterclaimants. I don't know the amounts of all the
16 settlements, but one with TD Bank was for 22,500 dollars.

17 And the reason that that's relevant goes to -- all
18 goes to timing, because the 400,000 dollars and the 50,000
19 dollars are were paid at the end of 2008, at a time after the
20 foreclosure complaint had been filed but at a time when
21 Mr. Reed admitted that he had plenty of money to bring the
22 account current; he didn't do so; he chose to use that money
23 differently. The 22,500 was paid the following year but,
24 still, the same idea there that there was a failure to mitigate
25 damages.

1 The foreclosure court dismissed the foreclosure, and
2 we all know that there was an issue with GMACM proving its
3 entitlement to foreclose. But the reality is that that doesn't
4 give claimants carte blanche to file an action and just wait
5 around for some sort of resolution and then withdraw the action
6 and just the whole time taking the position that GMACM or its
7 successor --

8 THE COURT: Well, he --

9 MS. HAGER: -- were not entitled to get paid.

10 THE COURT: Ms. Hager, as I understand it, and we'll
11 hear evidence about it, but -- and maybe you dispute this, that
12 he withdrew his affirmative action against GMACM to participate
13 in the Federal Reserve Bank's independent foreclosure review.
14 Do you agree or disagree with that?

15 MS. HAGER: That is what he has testified to.

16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Go ahead.

17 MS. HAGER: So it's simply our position that as a
18 direct result of the attempts to sell the property, that the
19 Reeds netted nearly a half a million dollars and didn't use
20 that to bring the mortgage current, in addition to the fact
21 that they haven't paid on the mortgage for a number of years
22 and still own the house, which I mentioned previously.

23 So in sum --

24 THE COURT: None of that money was due to GMACM. It
25 would have been the mortgagee who suffered the loss, not GMACM,

1 correct?

2 MS. HAGER: That's right as we stand here today.

3 Sure. The new --

4 THE COURT: Which never was GMACM?

5 MS. HAGER: I'm sorry?

6 THE COURT: GMACM never owned the note?

7 MS. HAGER: I'm not taking that position, and
8 certainly, as the servicer --

9 THE COURT: You're not taking the posi -- wait, wait,
10 let -- is it your position that GMACM ever owned this note?
11 Are you going to offer --

12 MS. HAGER: I cannot --

13 THE COURT: Let me say -- are you going to offer any
14 evidence -- the notes -- the copies of the notes that I've
15 seen -- there's no note that I've seen that shows an
16 endorsement or a transfer to GMACM; correct?

17 MS. HAGER: That's correct, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: All right. You're not -- are you
19 intending to offer any evidence that GMACM owned the note at
20 any point in time?

21 MS. HAGER: No, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

23 MS. HAGER: And it is true that as of today that
24 there's a different servicer.

25 THE COURT: Right.

1 MS. HAGER: But to Your Honor's point, at all times
2 prior to recent history, GMACM was at least the servicer and,
3 therefore, the entity collecting the payments.

4 THE COURT: Right.

5 MS. HAGER: So, notwithstanding the fact that there's
6 a different servicer today, at all relevant times prior, the
7 payments were due to GMAC Mortgage.

8 In sum, the claimants will be unable to successfully
9 prove entitlement, to prevail on the proofs of claim, and the
10 objection should be sustained and the claims disallowed.

11 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Ms. Hager.

12 MS. HAGER: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Mr. Reed, call your first witness.

14 MR. REED: Your Honor, Mr. Murdock.

15 THE COURT: Mr. Murdock, if you'd come up to the
16 witness stand and be sworn. Okay?

17 MR. REED: And, Your Honor, I --

18 THE COURT: Just -- let's just stop for a minute.
19 Okay, move the microphone closer to you, because we have to
20 make sure that we're picking up your questions.

21 Okay, if you'd raise your right hand, Mr. Murdock.

22 (Witness sworn)

23 THE COURT: All right, please have a seat,
24 Mr. Murdock.

25 All right, Mr. Reed, what'd you want to say?

1 MR. REED: I've been informed that Ms. Donati and
2 Mr. Evans (sic) should be here shortly after lunch.

3 THE COURT: All right, let's proceed with Mr. Murdock.

4 MR. REED: I have two --

5 THE COURT: Before you begin --

6 Why don't you tell us your full name, Mr. Murdock.

7 THE WITNESS: My name is Drew David Murdock.

8 THE COURT: And why don't give me either your business
9 or home address, whichever you prefer.

10 THE WITNESS: My home address is 6166 Robin Drive,
11 Mays Landing, New Jersey 08330.

12 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

13 All right, go ahead, Mr. Reed.

14 MR. REED: Your Honor, I --

15 THE COURT: Hold on, hold on, hold on. You got to
16 pull the microphone -- either get yourself closer to the
17 microphone -- the microphone's --

18 MR. REED: Is that better?

19 THE COURT: -- fixed in place. That's okay. I want
20 to make sure we get a clear record; that's why, Mr. Reed, you
21 need to speak into the microphone.

22 And, Mr. Murdock, you need to speak into the
23 microphone. Okay?

24 MR. REED: Okay.

25 THE COURT: Go ahead.

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. REED:

3 Q. I have two questions.

4 THE COURT: Ask them one at a time.

5 Q. First question -- actually, maybe three.

6 Mr. Murdock, was there a time in early summer 2008,
7 shortly after our house was in foreclosure, that you were in
8 our kitchen and you heard conversations between my wife and I?

9 A. Yes.

10 MS. HAGER: Objection.

11 THE COURT: He can answer that yes or no. He answered
12 yes.

13 Q. Mr. Murdock, did you -- do you recall if I made any
14 promises to my wife during that time that you may have visited
15 us in our -- in early summer 2008?

16 THE COURT: Hold on --

17 MS. HAGER: Objection.

18 THE COURT: -- Mr. Murdock.

19 MS. HAGER: Calls for hearsay.

20 THE COURT: Sustained.

21 "Sustained" means you can't answer the question.

22 Okay?

23 THE WITNESS: Okay.

24 THE COURT: See, anything -- I'm not going to give
25 you -- I'm not instructing you about the law, Mr. Reed; I

1 recognize you're a pro se party without counsel. But you can't
2 inquire about an out-of-court statement made by yourself or by
3 Mr. Murdock in Mr. Murdock's presence; that's hearsay and I've
4 sustained the objection to it.

5 Go ahead with your next question

6 MR. REED: Your Honor, I understood there to be an
7 exception to hearsay in terms of motive or intent.

8 THE COURT: Mr. Reed, ask your next question. I've
9 sustained the objection.

10 MR. REED: I have no further questions for the
11 witness.

12 THE COURT: All right. Any cross-examination?

13 MS. HAGER: No, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: All right, you're excused, Mr. Murdock.
15 Call your next witness.

16 MR. REED: Your Honor, I'm not sure how to handle -- I
17 am the witness.

18 THE COURT: So what you need to do -- you had
19 certainly indicated, before, your intention to testify and you
20 have the absolute right to do that. You need to do it from the
21 witness stand. Bring with you any documents that you wish to
22 use. You've pre-marked exhibits, and what you'll have to do --
23 I've indicated previously I'm going to permit you to testify in
24 what's referred to as the narrative form, rather than --
25 there's no lawyer to ask you questions. I'm not going to

1 require you to frame questions to yourself. I'm going to
2 permit you to testify about the facts relevant to the claims.
3 It may be that at various points Ms. Hager is going to have
4 objections to something you've said. Because she can't object
5 before the question where you're testifying in narrative form,
6 I'm going to permit her to object after you make a statement.
7 It may result in me striking -- indicating that I'm going to
8 strike a portion of your testimony. The transcript will show
9 what you've said and what her objection is and what my ruling
10 is, but you do need to testify from the witness stand.

11 So if you want to get yourself regrouped up there.
12 Bring with you whatever documents you intend to use. If you
13 have notes that you want to use, you're certainly permitted to
14 do that. If you use notes as part of your testimony, you're
15 going to have to show them to Ms. Hager if she wants to see
16 them. You'll do that before the cross-examination, not while
17 you're in the midst of testifying.

18 So I'm just alerting you that, yes, you can bring
19 notes that you want to look at while you're testifying but, if
20 you refer to the notes, then Ms. Hager's going to have an
21 opportunity to look at them after you finish testifying.

22 So you need to -- if you're going to testify, you need
23 to come up to the witness stand; you'll be sworn. Bring your
24 exhibits. You can -- as you're explaining to me whatever it is
25 you want to testify to, if you're going to refer to exhibits,

1 refer to them by the exhibit number or letter. You're using
2 numbers. You'll refer to them by the exhibit number. And
3 exhibits need to be offered in evidence for them to be
4 admissible -- to be admitted in evidence. And Ms. Hager'll
5 have an opportunity -- she has your exhibits and she will
6 undoubtedly have an objection to some. If you offer Exhibit 3
7 and she has an objection, she'll tell me her objection and I'll
8 rule on it.

9 So not everything you pre-marked is -- none of it is
10 in evidence until you actually offer it in evidence. That's
11 how the trial proceeds. So if you want to take a few minutes
12 to get yourself up to the witness stand, you need to do that,
13 you need to be sworn, bring your exhibits with you, and I'll
14 permit you to testify as to the basis of your claims. Okay?

15 MR. REED: Your Honor, will I be able to bring some
16 and then come back for more?

17 THE COURT: Take them all, okay, because it'll speed
18 things up. I'll let you -- we'll take -- I'll tell you what:
19 we'll take a break until -- a short recess until 10 o'clock.
20 Get your documents situated up there at the witness stand.
21 Bring anything -- anything you're going to want to refer to in
22 your testimony, bring it with you. And I'm explaining, if
23 you're going to offer anything -- if you're going to use an
24 exhibit, if you're going to offer it into evidence, you have to
25 actually tell me that you're offering whatever the exhibit

1 number is. Ms. Hager'll have an opportunity to object to it if
2 she wishes, and I'll rule on the objections. If I sustain the
3 objection, it's not in evidence. If I overrule the objection,
4 it'll come into evidence. Okay, that's how we'll proceed.

5 So we'll just take, like, about a six-minute recess,
6 okay? Get yourself resettled up there, okay?

7 MR. REED: Thank you, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: And you don't need to put your jacket on,
9 or anything. You can take water with you. Okay?

10 MR. REED: Thank you, sir.

11 (Recess from 9:52 a.m. until 10:03 a.m.)

12 THE COURT: All right, please be seated.

13 Mr. Reed, go ahead up to the witness stand. Before
14 you sit down up there, you'll have to raise your right hand to
15 be sworn.

16 (Witness sworn)

17 THE COURT: All right, please have a seat, Mr. Reed.
18 Okay, just make sure you pull the microphone as close to you as
19 you -- you're pretty close to it; that should be -- no, pull it
20 near you. That's it.

21 All right, Mr. Reed, why don't you begin. And if
22 Ms. Hager rises to raise an objection, just stop and let her
23 raise your objection. Okay? All right, this is your
24 opportunity to provide your direct testimony, Mr. Reed.

25 MR. REED: Your Honor, I have a housekeeping about a

1 piece of evidence that's been sent to the Court, by TD Bank,
2 instead of provided to me.

3 THE COURT: Nothing was filed this morning, Mr. Reed.
4 Do you have a copy of what it is that --

5 Ms. Hager, do you have anything from TD Bank?

6 MS. HAGER: No, Your Honor. And obviously we would
7 object to the admissibility of anything that's being shown here
8 today that I didn't previously see.

9 MR. REED: Your Honor, it is a -- it is the
10 authentication of those letters from TD's legal department, the
11 correspondence that you said if it was provided at trial and
12 they were authenticated. It took TD Bank this entire time.
13 And I received the correspondence this morning, a text message,
14 that their legal department overnighted them Friday to the
15 Clerk's Office. And I've alerted the clerk this morning first
16 thing to look for them. That's why I have someone here to keep
17 going to check when it would come in.

18 THE COURT: Well, my courtroom deputy can hear what's
19 going on in the courtroom; I'd like her to check with the
20 Clerk's Office again and see whether anything was received
21 today in the Clerk's Office from TD Bank. As of the time I
22 took the bench, there was nothing, Mr. Reed.

23 So go ahead with your testimony and we'll see what
24 happens. Even -- go ahead with your testimony.

25 MR. REED: First count I think I would like to

1 discuss, Your Honor, is the breach-of-contract count. And I
2 believe the relevant contract -- contracts, plural, to this
3 case are in the declaration -- or exhibits of the declaration
4 of Lauren Graham Delehey in support of a ResCap Borrowers'
5 Claims objection to my proofs of claim. I believe that they
6 contain the mortgage contract and the note contract, and I just
7 want to take a moment and try and find which one; it's either
8 Exhibit 9 or 10. So it might take me a few moments to find
9 them.

10 THE COURT: I have your exhibit binder in front of me
11 and I see what you've listed as 9 and 10 are two of the Delehey
12 declarations --

13 MR. REED: Um-hum.

14 THE COURT: -- the first, the May 29th, 2014
15 declaration, and the supplemental Delehey declaration of July
16 3, 2014.

17 (Pause)

18 MS. HAGER: Your Honor, it's a couple. The note and
19 mortgage were attached to the supplemental declaration, which
20 is Mr. Reed's Exhibit 10.

21 (Pause)

22 MR. REED: Your Honor, I'd first like to point out to
23 the Court that a note is a contract in and of itself; it's a
24 separate contract requiring separate execution. As a matter of
25 fact, I'm the party to the note. My wife is not a party to the

1 note. It's just evidence that they're indeed separate, besides
2 being physically separate, signed separately by separate
3 parties. The fundamental reason for that is a note is a
4 negotiable instrument that doesn't contain an obligation from
5 the lender to a borrower.

6 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Reed, after all the evidence is
7 completed, you'll have a chance to make whatever legal
8 arguments. Now --

9 MR. REED: Okay.

10 THE COURT: Now is the time during the trial when each
11 side is entitled to provide the evidence, not the arguments
12 about the evidence. So the evidence are the facts that you
13 want to tell us about, not whatever legal conclusion you think
14 derives from that.

15 MR. REED: Not what they mean.

16 THE COURT: Not what they mean, but to tell me -- this
17 is your chance to explain the facts as you lived them. I'm
18 trying to give you a little leeway. I understand you're not a
19 lawyer. But what the meaning of the documents is, if it's
20 relevant, your understanding of the meaning. But at this point
21 I'm not sure that's the relevant issue. If you're looking
22 at -- and Ms. Hager pointed out that attached to the
23 supplemental declaration of Ms. Delehey is a copy of the
24 mortgage and of the note. And while you indicated that only
25 you signed the note, in fact there's an interest-only addendum

1 to adjustable-rate note, and that is signed by your wife as
2 well as by yourself.

3 MR. REED: Hmm.

4 THE COURT: But now is the time to talk about the
5 facts, okay? Are these the two documents you were looking for,
6 the mortgage and --

7 MR. REED: They are.

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MR. REED: So there are two separate contracts, I
10 believe is the fact. And although -- I'm trying to think of
11 how I can do this.

12 THE COURT: Well, first off, do you want to offer
13 these two documents? It's actually three documents because the
14 adjustable-rate riders is in there. The documents which are in
15 your binder, marked as Exhibit 10, are you offering them in
16 evidence?

17 MR. REED: Yes.

18 THE COURT: Okay, any objection?

19 MS. HAGER: No, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 10 is in evidence.
21 (Mortgage for Reed residence, fixed/adjustable-rate rider, and
22 interest-only addendum were hereby received into evidence as
23 Reed's Exhibit 10, as of this date.)

24 THE COURT: Okay. And, I mean, I'm not trying to tell
25 you how to proceed, Mr. Reed. View it as your time to tell the

1 story from your perspective. It has to be competent evidence
2 that you're offering. You'll have a chance, at the conclusion
3 of all the evidence, to make your arguments about it.

4 So what I now have in front of me in evidence is the
5 mortgage, which appears to be signed by both you and your wife.
6 And then attached to the mortgage is the fixed/adjustable-rate
7 rider; that appears to be signed by both you and your wife.
8 And then there's an interest-only addendum, which again is
9 signed by you and your wife. And there're sort of multiple
10 copies of some of these documents.

11 So why don't you just try and tell us -- look, you
12 asserted claims, you had originally asserted defenses to the
13 mortgage foreclosure action, then you filed your own
14 independent action. That's what your proofs of claim relate
15 to. And now is the time for you to tell us what are the facts
16 that you believe support your claim, okay? I'm going to give
17 you some leeway, but this is not the time for legal argument
18 about what you think the documents mean, okay?

19 MR. REED: In 2008 we were served with a foreclosure
20 complaint, and I have gotten no notice for that complaint that
21 it was coming -- imminently coming. Our contract that was just
22 entered into evidence --

23 THE COURT: You're talking about the note?

24 MR. REED: The mortgage contra --

25 THE COURT: The mortgage, okay.

1 MR. REED: The mortgage --

2 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

3 MR. REED: -- contract, not the note. The mortgage
4 contract, in paragraph 15 --

5 (Pause)

6 MR. REED: Your Honor, I didn't know if you need to
7 read paragraph 15.

8 THE COURT: I've read it.

9 MR. REED: Okay. It requires that I be served with
10 notice. And turn -- oh, I'm -- paragraph 22 of the mortgage
11 contract --

12 THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm paying attention.

13 MR. REED: Oh --

14 THE COURT: Just go ahead with your explaining.

15 MR. REED: -- seems to mention a requirement to have
16 notice sent, including incorporating the requirements of the
17 state Fair Foreclosure Act. Paragraph 20 of the mortgage
18 contract --

19 THE COURT: Yes.

20 MR. REED: -- the section -- the second paragraph of
21 that section says that "Neither Borrower or Lender may
22 commence, join or be joined to any judicial action". Do you
23 see that, that --

24 THE COURT: Yes, I do.

25 MR. REED: So I was surprised to receive a foreclosure

1 action when I hadn't received any notice pursuant to the
2 contract or law.

3 THE COURT: What did you do after you got served with
4 the complaint? I understand your testimony that it came as a
5 surprise to you that you were served with the foreclosure.
6 But -- I want to be careful. I don't want to -- I'm not trying
7 to take over -- this is your case. I don't think there's a
8 dispute about this. You hadn't made your mortgage -- some
9 mortgage payments when they filed the foreclosure action,
10 right?

11 MR. REED: Still a matter between my wife and I, Your
12 Honor. I can't determine, like -- I don't have personal
13 knowledge whether or not those payments --

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. REED: -- were made --

16 THE COURT: All right.

17 MR. REED: -- or not.

18 THE COURT: So what did you do after you were served
19 with the complaint?

20 MR. REED: Your Honor, I can't remember the order, if
21 I called our -- an -- our attorney or if I called the mortgage
22 company. I know that we were nervous, scared about this. We
23 had a contract on the house recently for -- to sell, as
24 Ms. Hager had said in her opening remarks, to a buyer, for the
25 sales price of two million forty. That transaction was

1 repudiated, or cancelled, by the buyer before the foreclosure
2 was filed. There was legal interactions between my lawyer and
3 their lawyers.

4 THE COURT: "Their lawyers" meaning --

5 MR. REED: The Jacobses'. The buyers'.

6 THE COURT: The Jacobses'. Okay.

7 MR. REED: The buyers', yeah.

8 THE COURT: Can I just ask, was this your principal
9 residence?

10 MR. REED: It was.

11 THE COURT: And when did you start to try to sell it?

12 MR. REED: Several months earlier in 2007 --

13 THE COURT: Before the foreclosure action?

14 MR. REED: Oh, yes. We -- Your Honor, we -- "we"
15 meaning my wife and I, since 1990, on average, would buy and
16 improve a property, maybe on average every eighteen months.

17 Do you mind if I --

18 THE COURT: I don't, no.

19 MR. REED: Those properties included -- just to give
20 you some background -- rental properties, single-family homes.
21 There was one duplex. They included homes that we would live
22 in, and properties that would be rented and then sold for
23 profit. Investment properties that I wouldn't live in would --
24 we would --

25 THE COURT: Go ahead. Ms. Hager, if you want to make

1 your objection, go ahead. I don't mean to keep you from making
2 your objections on the record.

3 MS. HAGER: Well, objection to the extent that
4 evidence is coming in relating to prior business activities.

5 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection. This
6 is just part of background. I don't consider it as relevant to
7 the specific claim for this property. But I'm going to listen
8 to Mr. Reed's ex -- but please make your record. And when I
9 raise my hand like that, it was just to have you hold until he
10 finished his sentence. But I'm not trying to keep you from
11 preserving the record on behalf of your client.

12 I'm going to overrule that.

13 I'm going to give you some leeway, Mr. Reed. Give me
14 the background of -- but this was your principal residence at
15 the time?

16 MR. REED: It was, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. REED: And we put it on the market and -- in 2007;
19 had multiple showings and interest. I don't tell you exactly
20 when; maybe it was September or something like that that it was
21 put on the market; October. And within weeks -- I don't
22 believe it was even six weeks or five weeks. There might even
23 be a document in Ms. Hager's file that shows that -- she's got
24 it listed for trial evidence, how long it took.

25 We had an offer for --

1 THE COURT: This is the Jacobs offer?

2 MR. REED: The Jacobs offer. -- for two -- which
3 resulted in a contract for two million forty. I can't remember
4 the interplay exactly.

5 THE COURT: May I ask you this? At the time you
6 listed the house for sale, were you current on your mortgage?

7 MR. REED: Yes.

8 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

9 MR. REED: This was significantly higher than our
10 purchase price, which was what we -- I would normally see,
11 because I -- we would improve the properties and expand living
12 space. It was very systematic the way we would do things like
13 that, knowing what things added financial and marketing value
14 to properties, for a decade and a half.

15 And so we had a buyer in the Jacobses, who we didn't
16 know, the realtor brought to us, although they -- turns out
17 they lived in town, so they knew the market as well. And
18 the -- and so as -- because -- and I understand this doesn't go
19 to damages; they've been excluded. Other damages on other
20 projects have been excluded. So I want you to understand if I
21 go to say something that's not for that.

22 At the time that I had the house under contract to
23 sell, and it wouldn't be the first time, I would secure
24 financing options to cash out money from one of my improved
25 properties, just to ensure cash -- smoothness in my cash flow.

1 So if a property had any delay in selling, which I never
2 actually experienced, but if they -- if it had, then I would
3 have a plan B in place to pull more cash out to allow more time
4 for the market, to sell a property, to realize the cash free
5 and clear. And that's because I had other projects going
6 forward in motion: a house that we would be moving into, or
7 another one under development or renovation.

8 So that's how the TD Bank financing that we'll talk
9 about comes into play. I had asked TD Bank, a funding partner
10 of mine for a number of years, to be prepared -- or I asked
11 them to be ready to close on a cash-out refi of the property if
12 necessary.

13 THE COURT: Which property?

14 MR. REED: The 817 Matlack --

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. REED: -- the -- my house.

17 THE COURT: And I should say before we go on, my
18 courtroom deputy retrieved from the Clerk of the Court a letter
19 dated September 12, 2014 from Barbara J. Morgan, TD Bank, N.A.,
20 custodian of records, attaching a subpoena and a TD Bank
21 records certification.

22 MR. REED: Could I -- would I be able to see that,
23 Your Honor?

24 THE COURT: Yes, you will. What I'm going to ask
25 is -- let's go on with your --

1 MR. REED: I can stop talking for that.

2 THE COURT: No, no, no. We'll -- tell me what it is
3 that you asked TD Bank with respect to this specific property.
4 We're talking about this specific property -- this is the
5 Matlack --

6 MR. REED: Yes.

7 THE COURT: -- property; this is the one as to which
8 the foreclosure was commenced, so we can just refer to it as
9 "the property".

10 MR. REED: Um-hum.

11 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.

12 MR. REED: I asked them to do a cash-out refi, could
13 they take out the first. And I believe I had a balance on the
14 second, which was a line of credit, and provided me free cash
15 beyond that. My recollection was that number would have been
16 about 4-, 500,000 dollars beyond the liens that were there.

17 THE COURT: Mr. Reed, let me ask you this: Ms. Hager
18 indicated in her opening statement -- I think the gist of this
19 point was that you've not -- you didn't pre-mark any refinance
20 loan applications. Do you have any refinanced-loan
21 applications that you --

22 MR. REED: My -- Your Honor, the relationship with TD
23 Bank did not require that. And I don't -- I didn't have
24 them --

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. REED: -- or they didn't exist.

2 THE COURT: So there was no --

3 MR. REED: I didn't have --

4 THE COURT: Let me just -- on this point, you did not
5 complete an application with TD Bank to refinance the existing
6 mortgages on the property?

7 MR. REED: They did not require so.

8 THE COURT: You just -- whether you say they required
9 it or not, I just want -- look, you didn't pre-mark any. Do
10 you agree that you never completed an application with TD Bank
11 to refinance the mortgages on this property?

12 MR. REED: That and many other properties --

13 THE COURT: Okay, I only want to know about --

14 MR. REED: Yes.

15 THE COURT: -- this property --

16 MR. REED: Yes, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: -- okay? We'll simplify -- I'm not trying
18 to interrupt your narrative explanation, but you raised this
19 point; it's a point that Ms. Hager raised in her opening. In
20 your exhibits that you pre-marked, there was no loan
21 application with TD Bank or anyone else. And I'm only trying
22 to make sure we have a clear record. So if you can answer my
23 question yes or no, I would appreciate it. Is it correct that
24 you did not complete a mortgage application with TD Bank to
25 refinance the existing mortgages on this property?

1 MR. REED: That is correct, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay, all right. Go ahead with your
3 narrative.

4 MR. REED: As I've said, my primary residences before,
5 and other properties, that was our relationship, because I --
6 they never needed one, Your Honor; that's just the way we -- we
7 had a business relationship. I think the last application, to
8 be clear, that I filled out for TD Bank may have been 1992 or
9 something, '93, involving one of my original properties.

10 THE COURT: Did you speak with TD Bank about the
11 possibility of refinancing the mortgages on this property?

12 When did you do that? Was it before the foreclosure
13 action was filed?

14 MR. REED: Yes. Yes. It was while the contract was
15 not in dispute with the Jacobses.

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 MR. REED: As I said, it's -- it was a -- an MO of
18 mine to make sure that I conservatively would have no
19 interruption in cash flow. I was actually criticized by my
20 wife about spending 850 dollars to TD Bank for the appraisal
21 that needed to be done for that refinance.

22 THE COURT: Okay, my law clerk, Ms. Dabbert, has
23 handed to Ms. Hager and to Mr. Reed the letter and attachments
24 that the Clerk of the Court received this morning from TD Bank.
25 Rather than get diverted on that for now, we can talk about

1 that during a recess. Why don't you proceed with your
2 narrative, Mr. Reed.

3 Okay, so you had gotten to -- you've explained to us
4 you had a contract with the Jacobses for 2,040,000. You, in
5 your mind, had a backup plan to refinance the existing
6 mortgages. Did the Jacobses back out of the purchase before or
7 after the foreclosure action was filed?

8 MR. REED: They backed out -- they gave notice of
9 wanting to back out of the contract before the foreclosure
10 action.

11 THE COURT: Go ahead.

12 MR. REED: But there was the -- there was a lingering
13 conversation between our attorney and their attorney regarding
14 if the Jacobses were still interesting in buying the house.
15 The reason that the Jacobses had decided formally through
16 written correspondence to terminate the contract for two
17 million forty was the -- they couldn't obtain financing for --
18 I think it was eighty percent of the appraised value of the
19 property, and it would be equal to a certain dollar amount.
20 The reason that didn't happen for them, ironically, is they
21 applied for a mortgage through TD Bank, just like I sought a
22 refi.

23 THE COURT: I thought I saw in the papers that they
24 had applied to Commerce Bank.

25 MR. REED: Commerce is the predecessor. So, TD now.

1 THE COURT: Well, now. But wasn't Commerce Bank
2 separate then?

3 MR. REED: No. Oh. Oh, oh. I'm sorry. Let's be
4 clear about that. My dealings with -- at the time, 2007, 2008,
5 prior to that, was with Commerce Bank.

6 THE COURT: Okay. All right, go ahead with your
7 explanation.

8 MR. REED: So the Jacobses applied for a purchase
9 mortgage from the same bank that I had my cash-out refinance in
10 place, supposedly, as my historical understanding and relations
11 with them, Commerce Bank.

12 The troubling thing that resulted in litigation, even,
13 with the Jacobses was TD Bank produced conflicting appraisals
14 on the same property. I believe the Jacobses' appraisal,
15 ordered through TD Bank, performed by a contractor of TD Bank,
16 just like the one -- mine was ordered by TD Bank and produced
17 by a different contractor used by TD Bank -- came up with
18 different numbers. Mine was two million forty, and the
19 Jacobses' Commerce Bank appraisal was for -- I think it was
20 1.97, and that might be, again, in Ms. Hager's records, in her
21 evidence -- proposed evidence.

22 So we had a discussion with the Jacobses, and it was
23 my understanding that there was a desire to reduce the price
24 because of this conflict in the appraisals. So -- and we
25 were -- I was willing -- it turned out, after first making the

1 case to them that it would -- we would have liked not to; we
2 had pointed out errors in the -- what we thought were -- I
3 guess you would use the term "material errors", in the
4 appraisal that would -- their appraisal, that would have caused
5 the value to be lower: square footage, features, things of
6 that nature. I think there was a bathroom missing, fireplace
7 missing.

8 But nonetheless, from a business standpoint it was not
9 very consequential to change the contracts 70,000 dollars or
10 50,000. It didn't matter. We would do it. It's just the
11 nature of business to just make a sale. Whatever needs to be
12 done, if that's what's at hand, you do it.

13 So that conversation continued for months -- or
14 several months, I believe. And it seemed like we were going to
15 perhaps get somewhere. The Jacobses had their house up for
16 sale; it was not contingent on the contract that they had to
17 sell their house. But I think they were nervous about whether
18 or not they could sell their house, and --

19 MS. HAGER: Objection, Your Honor, to the extent that
20 Mr. Reed's testifying as to the thoughts of the Jacobses.

21 THE COURT: Sustained.

22 You can't talk about what's on somebody else's mind,
23 okay?

24 MR. REED: Your Honor, I'll do my best.

25 THE COURT: Go ahead.

1 MR. REED: And I'm --

2 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Reed.

3 MR. REED: -- I'm thankful that you can discern that
4 and Ms. Hager can --

5 THE COURT: Go ahead.

6 MR. REED: -- stop it.

7 THE COURT: Go ahead.

8 Did you ever reach a new agreement with the Jacobses?

9 MR. REED: At a point when we thought we were going
10 to, that's a point when I believe, in my memory, we wound up in
11 the foreclosure action.

12 THE COURT: Let me ask my question again. Did you
13 ever reach an agreement with the Jacobses to modify the
14 purchase contract with them?

15 MR. REED: No.

16 THE COURT: All right, go ahead. What happened then?

17 MR. REED: Trying to make sure I get -- I want to make
18 sure I get these things -- I always want to make sure we get
19 them accurate for you.

20 The -- during this time, Edgar Real Estate (sic) had
21 the house --

22 THE COURT: Let me -- before you do that, Mr. Reed,
23 you put the Court in an uncomfortable position because I don't
24 want to -- it's not my role to help either counsel. And you're
25 not a counsel; you're pro se. But you've talked about -- the

1 only thing that ever is in evidence are things that are offered
2 in evidence and are admitted in evidence, okay? You attach as
3 Exhibit 1 -- or you include as Exhibit 1 in your binder, I
4 believe -- is that the contract with the Jacobses?

5 MR. REED: Yes, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Are you offering it in evidence?

7 MR. REED: Yes, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Any objection, Ms. Hager?

9 MS. HAGER: No, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 (Contract between the Reeds and the Jacobses was hereby
12 received into evidence as Reed's Exhibit 1, as of this date.)

13 MR. REED: But, Your Honor, I -- can I get a -- my
14 sticky tabs that I'll know that that was one that was put in --

15 THE COURT: Just write a note on it.

16 MR. REED: Oh. I didn't know if I --

17 THE COURT: I have the official records up here, okay?
18 Those are your copies.

19 You know, it's sometimes a trap for unwary lawyers,
20 which you're not a lawyer, when they refer to exhibits during a
21 trial and then fail to offer them in evidence and get a ruling
22 from the Court. And one of the things I always try and do -- I
23 don't want cases decided because of a trap for the unwary, so
24 when the evidence is closed, I usually go back over with
25 counsel what's in evidence, what's not in evidence. You're pro

1 se. I'm doing it now. I'm not in a position -- I'm not
2 looking to help you, Mr. Reed. I think a lot of this is not
3 going to be controversial, but I'm just raising it now because
4 you talked about the Jacobs contract; I know it's in your
5 binder; it's now evidence. So go ahead.

6 When you're telling your story, if you've got an
7 exhibit you want to offer, you can offer it and Ms. Hager may
8 have an objection; I'll rule on the objection at the time. But
9 you need -- if you want me to consider anything in reaching my
10 decision, it has to be in evidence. Okay?

11 MR. REED: Then --

12 THE COURT: So this is in evidence now. Go ahead.

13 MR. REED: Before it slips my mind, perhaps I should
14 offer in evidence number 2.

15 THE COURT: That you got a problem about. Well, let
16 me see; what is Exhibit 2?

17 MR. REED: That is the Commerce Bank appraisal that
18 was given to me by Commerce Bank for my records, through my
19 regular business relations with them; regards the refinance
20 with them. And I kept it -- received it at that time and kept
21 it. It's part of my normal business relations with them.

22 THE COURT: Ms. Hager?

23 MS. HAGER: Well, Your Honor, there's no foundation
24 for the document, and Mr. Reed's testimony is not sufficient to
25 get the document in, because this was a document prepared by

1 Mr. McCaffrey (ph.) for Commerce Bank. Doesn't indicate at all
2 that it was a document prepared for or by Mr. Reed.

3 (Pause)

4 MR. REED: Your Honor, may I point to something on the
5 face of the document?

6 (Pause)

7 THE COURT: All right, this is a document you received
8 from Commerce Bank?

9 MR. REED: It is, Your Honor. If you look at two
10 things on the face of the document -- and I need a minute to go
11 through the document well.

12 THE COURT: Well, just tell me, is this a document you
13 received from Commerce Bank?

14 MR. REED: Yes.

15 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to admit Exhibit 2
16 for the limited purpose of notice or knowledge by Mr. Reed. It
17 is not admitted in evidence for the truth of the matter
18 asserted within the appraisal report.

19 (Commerce Bank appraisal re: Reed refinance was hereby received
20 into evidence for the limited purpose of notice or knowledge,
21 and not for the truth of the matter as to value, as Reed's
22 Exhibit 2, as of this date.)

23 THE COURT: So let me underst -- I want to explain; I
24 ordinarily wouldn't, Mr. Reed, but -- okay. The document is
25 not admitted -- when I say it's not admitted for the truth of

1 the matter, on page 2 of 6, the appraisal indicates an -- it
2 gives an "indicated value", by sales comparison approach, of
3 2,040,000 dollars. The document is not admitted in evidence
4 for the purposes of the truth of that conclusion. The
5 document's hearsay, okay? The appraiser is not here testifying
6 and is not on the witness list to call them as a witness. So
7 it can't -- I can't admit it, and I'm not admitting it, in
8 evidence for the truth of the matter, namely, the appraised
9 value. I'm admitting it solely as part of the background
10 of --you indicated you had these discussions with TD Bank; you
11 indicated that you paid 850 dollars to them to get an
12 appraisal. I see on the first page of the document, in the
13 right-hand corner, there's a handwritten 850 dollars; I can't
14 read the rest of it.

15 But I'm admitting it solely for the purpose of notice
16 or knowledge. You testified that you were in communication
17 with TD Bank and that they obtained an appraisal, but I can't
18 admit it for the truth --

19 MR. REED: For the value?

20 THE COURT: For the value.

21 MR. REED: That's fine.

22 THE COURT: Okay? So that's my ruling. But go ahead.

23 MR. REED: What I wanted to draw your attention to
24 actually, Your Honor, on the face of the document there's a
25 stamp from TD Bank -- or Commerce Bank. The top. You had

1 asked about an application, or proof of an application,
2 which --

3 THE COURT: You can't prove the existence of a loan
4 application by a stamp that says, "This appraisal has been
5 performed for Commerce Bank in connection with a loan request
6 made by you. Commerce Bank makes no representation regarding
7 the accuracy of the information contained in the appraisal, and
8 assumes no liability in connection with this appraisal."
9 That's the stamp you're talking about, right?

10 MR. REED: I don't know --

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MR. REED: -- the legal import of it, but --

13 THE COURT: I understand, Mr. Reed. Go ahead with
14 your narrative. You've offered it. I can't -- I'm not going
15 to admit it for the truth of the matter asserted, namely, the
16 value, because that's hearsay. I'm admitting it for the
17 limited purpose of notice or knowledge. You indicated your
18 communication with TD Bank; you've testified about that. You
19 indicated that you paid them a fee to get an appraisal done.
20 Okay. But go ahead, all right?

21 What happened -- so you didn't -- you told me that you
22 didn't reach a new agreement with the Jacobses, they backed
23 out. You wound up in litigation with them, didn't you?

24 MR. REED: I did.

25 THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. I'm not sure that that's

1 really relevant to -- Ms. Hager wants to -- if you want to tell
2 me about it, you can, but it's not really relevant to the
3 issues here. But go ahead. Pick up your narrative where you
4 wanted to pick it up. You wound up paying back their deposit.

5 MR. REED: Yes. We litigated the -- the issue in the
6 litigation was that we, especially in light of the conflicting
7 appraisals from the same institution, and the -- what we felt
8 were material defects in their -- the Jacobses' appraisal from
9 the same institution, that a contract provision requiring best
10 efforts to obtain financing -- there needed to be a
11 determination what "best efforts" meant. And a New Jersey
12 court found it -- they felt that the Jacobses had satisfied
13 that requirement.

14 THE COURT: All right, what happened? Just pick up
15 the story.

16 MR. REED: Yeah. So we -- so they got their deposit
17 back.

18 So the -- we were still bound by a listing agreement
19 with B.T. Edgar.

20 THE COURT: Is that Ms. Carter?

21 MR. REED: Ms. Carter. And for the record, has defied
22 a federal subpoena, Your Honor, to appear today as a witness in
23 this case. I don't know what to do about that.

24 There ensued a conflict with B.T. Edgar regarding the
25 Jacobs contract. B.T. had a position that they didn't want to

1 relist the house until they were -- as active for sale, until
2 they were satisfied that the Jacobs transaction was not going
3 to be amended or come to a purchase conclusion.

4 (Pause)

5 MR. REED: I believe it was after the -- I'm pretty
6 sure it was after the foreclosure commenced that -- yeah,
7 because I went to see B.T. about that; we wanted to make sure
8 we got it on the -- back on the market. And I was satisfied, I
9 think, that from a business perspective, the Jacobses were
10 going to come to an agreement that, whether or not we relisted
11 or not -- left it pending on the MLS was not really relevant to
12 actually coming to an agreement with them. It was necessary,
13 from a business perspective -- and I had maintained it prior to
14 the foreclosure action -- to relist the property. They had
15 resistance and they had contractual language in the listing
16 agreement, about -- that they had pointed to and -- that
17 stalemated between us the fact that it was still listed as
18 pending. When the foreclosure happened, they seemed to back
19 off that position and relist the property.

20 Your Honor, I'm not sure of, like, the times, the
21 dates. I reference things in my mind as pre and post the
22 foreclosure action commencing. Trying to get an idea where in
23 that time line they are.

24 When we relisted it, if I'm not mistaken, we brought
25 the price down and for the simple reason there's now added

1 pressure; I have a foreclosure action, I want to sell the
2 property. We had a contract just for two million forty. As
3 you said, you didn't admit it as evidence, but I had
4 understanding that the property was worth that or near that.
5 From a market perspective, the contract itself is evidence of
6 what the market was interested in paying for that property. We
7 were in an arm's-length transaction. I didn't know the
8 Jacobses; they were two separate individuals that came together
9 for that price. But for the fact that they had a third party
10 involved for financing, if it was a cash transaction, they
11 would have bought the property; it was -- there was an
12 agreement.

13 So --

14 THE COURT: The world changed in 2008, Mr. Reed. The
15 world changed in 2008 with respect to the real-estate market.

16 MR. REED: Not yet, though. And I don't know if it
17 was 2008, and I think there'll be evidence to show --

18 THE COURT: Well, let's get on with the evidence,
19 okay? All right, so after the Jacobses cancelled, did B.T.
20 Edgar relist the property?

21 MR. REED: Yes, it --

22 THE COURT: When? Do you know?

23 MR. REED: I believe right -- I mean shortly after
24 the --

25 THE COURT: What happened next?

1 MR. REED: Well, it was a relatively good price at
2 that moment in time, and --

3 THE COURT: All right, but that deal didn't happen, so
4 what happened then?

5 MR. REED: No, I'm saying, when they relisted it --

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 MR. REED: -- the new price was a lower --

8 THE COURT: Listing price, yes.

9 MR. REED: Yes, new listing price.

10 THE COURT: Do you remember what you listed it at --
11 reduced it to?

12 MR. REED: 1.8-something, I think.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. REED: And we got an offer from -- and this is
15 maybe -- I can't remember how early -- it was very close --
16 June, July; I don't know. We had a verbal offer for 1.8 that
17 then came in writing from a fella called Mark Weaver.

18 THE COURT: Weaver?

19 MR. REED: Weaver.

20 THE COURT: He ever make a written offer? Mr. Weaver
21 make a written offer?

22 MR. REED: Through Ms. Carter, yes.

23 THE COURT: Because when I went through your exhibits,
24 I didn't see a written offer from the Weavers. Was there a
25 written offer?

1 MR. REED: Yeah; I think Ms. Hager has them in her
2 exhibits.

3 THE COURT: All right, go ahead. And what happened
4 then? Did you enter into a contract with the Weavers?

5 MR. REED: We did.

6 THE COURT: Do you remember what the purchase price
7 was?

8 MR. REED: It was the 1.8, which --

9 THE COURT: And what happened?

10 MR. REED: Mr. Weaver proceeded as normal; had, I
11 think, some home inspections done on the property. And at that
12 same time -- that same time frame, I -- pretty sure I had some
13 communication with the mortgage company, maybe on -- and there
14 was a -- there was a meeting -- a large meeting being sponsored
15 by, I think, Senator Menendez -- U.S. Senator Menendez's
16 office, for mortgage companies and buyers and sellers and
17 people, to work on their mortgages. If I'm not mistaken, it
18 was at the local county college. And I -- in talking with the
19 mortgage company about our situation --

20 THE COURT: Which mortgage company?

21 MR. REED: We had a mortgage comp -- the servicer, I
22 guess, GMAC Mortgage.

23 They -- I believe they were first reluctant -- my
24 memory serves me, they were first reluctant to talk to me,
25 because we were in foreclosure. They wanted to direct me to

1 speak to their foreclosure counsel in New Jersey. And as a
2 matter of fact, I don't -- I think I -- I think I became aware
3 of the -- this event -- it may have been something in the
4 little -- it was like a little -- it's a news -- like, a little
5 town newspaper, and there was something in the newspaper, a,
6 like, flyer about the event.

7 So nonetheless, I -- the -- when I had spoken to the
8 law firm, their position was we're in default, we have the --
9 you have to pay the mortgage. I didn't speak to any lawyer. I
10 left messages for the lawyer. I spoke to some -- I believe,
11 some lady. I cannot tell you who I spoke to.

12 But when I -- I remember, when I went to this meeting
13 at Brook (ph.) College, they had an actual representative.

14 THE COURT: Who did?

15 MR. REED: GMAC Mortgage. There were many -- reminded
16 me of college registration in the old days before computers,
17 where you would have to go in the school and -- at all the
18 tables set up, and everything like that.

19 So I went there. I was able to find -- they called
20 themselves ResCap; GMAC. I think that might have been the
21 first time I heard the name ResCap. But I realized that that's
22 who we would be -- know -- have any information about my
23 mortgage situation.

24 So I brought my information to them. They gave me --
25 we spent maybe an hour or so. They had multiple

1 representatives. And we came to a written agreement, or there
2 were notes. I didn't walk out of there that day with a written
3 agreement, but there was -- there were notes on the -- you
4 know, that we would have -- there was an understanding and
5 agreement that there would be, you know, a modification to our
6 mortgage. But I believe, Your Honor, it was -- it may have
7 been in two steps, and that they were going to -- the gentleman
8 said look, don't worry about the foreclosure action. This
9 is -- meaning I'm not telling you not to fight it or do
10 something to do it, but this is the point of our meeting here
11 is to resolve your -- you know, any default in your note.

12 MS. HAGER: Objection, Your Honor, to the extent that
13 he's testifying about what the representative actually said,
14 which is hearsay.

15 THE COURT: Well, if the representative said it, it
16 may or may not be admissible as an admission. I'll take it
17 under advisement.

18 MS. HAGER: Okay.

19 THE COURT: I'm not ruling on the objection at the
20 present time.

21 Go ahead.

22 Did they give you any paperwork to complete in
23 applying for a modification of the mortgage?

24 MR. REED: Yeah, they did. They gave me -- they gave
25 me some kind of a package, Your Honor. I'm pretty sure they

1 did.

2 Your Honor, I need to stand up for a moment.

3 THE COURT: Go ahead. If you stand within voice range
4 of the microphone, I'll let you stand and we can try and do it
5 that way. If you want to take short break --

6 MR. REED: That's --

7 THE COURT: -- we can do that.

8 MR. REED: The issue is, Your Honor, from a physically
9 comment, not to bore you, sitting I only last so long, standing
10 I only last so long, or lounging, as you can see. I mean no
11 disrespect to the Court --

12 THE COURT: You know, you've been in my courtroom
13 enough, Mr. Reed, that I understand that you have back issues.

14 MR. REED: I'm sorry.

15 THE COURT: And I don't suffer from it to the extent
16 you do, but there are times when I wind up standing at the
17 bench because my back is sore. So I have no problem if you
18 sit, if you stand, okay? That is no -- I don't take it as any
19 disrespect. I understand you're uncomfortable.

20 While you're looking through, I just want to come back
21 to your testimony about the Weavers. Was the Weaver offer an
22 all-cash offer or subject to financing contingency?

23 MR. REED: All cash. Especially in light of what I --

24 THE COURT: You know --

25 MR. REED: -- just went through --

1 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Reed, here's my suggestion.
2 Move the chair over to the side, and so you can get closer to
3 the microphone standing. Okay?

4 MR. REED: Let me try this.

5 THE COURT: Rather than leaning over, if you'd just
6 slide the chair over further.

7 MR. REED: I'm doing this --

8 THE COURT: Okay, I'm not going to tell you what you
9 need or --

10 MR. REED: No.

11 THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure the
12 microphone is picking up your testimony.

13 Okay, so the Weaver offer was an all-cash offer, not
14 subject to a financing contingency?

15 MR. REED: Yeah, and that was in -- that, of course,
16 would be very appealing to us, Your Honor --

17 THE COURT: Sure.

18 MR. REED: -- in light of what just transpired.

19 THE COURT: But the deal never closed?

20 MR. REED: Correct.

21 THE COURT: And why didn't it close? I just want --
22 because you started telling me about Weaver, and then you went
23 on to talk about this meeting with a GMAC representative.

24 MR. REED: Oh, yes, I was talking about that meeting
25 because it was something else --

1 THE COURT: And we'll come back to the meeting. But I
2 want to make sure that I, at least, have heard the testimony
3 about Weaver. From looking at documents, my understanding is
4 that deal didn't close?

5 MR. REED: That is correct, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: And why didn't it close?

7 MR. REED: Mr. Weaver failed to -- well, first of all,
8 if I'd like to -- I don't know if it's legally relevant in any
9 way, but factually, the contract was amended to reflect --
10 Mr. Weaver did not come to a settlement, I think, that was set
11 to occur, and Mr. -- it turns out his name was not Mr. Weaver,
12 apparently, it was Mr. Cooper. I know, believe me.

13 THE COURT: Okay, all right. Well, I'm sure Ms. Hager
14 is going to have some questions about the Weaver/Cooper --

15 MR. REED: And --

16 THE COURT: Let's go back to GMAC.

17 MR. REED: Okay.

18 THE COURT: They gave you a package of materials in
19 connection with a possible modification of your mortgage?

20 MR. REED: Yes.

21 THE COURT: Right. And did you complete the
22 documents?

23 MR. REED: I did.

24 THE COURT: And did you send them in?

25 MR. REED: I did. And I sent the three -- there were

1 two -- there were two steps, I believe -- if I remember
2 correctly, Your Honor, there was a completion of some documents
3 about our assets and things of that nature. I'm pretty sure
4 about that. And then I remember receiving -- I originally
5 thought I got it at the meeting, but I don't -- I don't think
6 so. I think it came later in the mail. I think I got
7 documents from them, filled them out, sent them. I think they
8 gave them back to me. And there was -- there was a
9 modification.

10 And as I said, I think it was a -- it was a two-step
11 process. It was supposed to last so long. I don't remember if
12 it was five months, six months.

13 THE COURT: So what --

14 MR. REED: And I accepted the terms of the
15 modification and sent -- they required a 3,000-dollar payment
16 to -- in consideration, I guess, for the -- for the
17 modification. The -- I don't have the document. I couldn't
18 find it. As a matter of fact, I didn't even -- I'd forgotten
19 about it.

20 But I sent it back, and the -- you know, with the
21 payment, and the mortgage company kept the payment.

22 THE COURT: The payment was the 3,000 payment?

23 MR. REED: Yes, um-hum. And I didn't hear -- they
24 were sup -- according to the documents, they were supposed
25 to -- you know, it said on it, this is not complete until you

1 receive a signed copy back, you know, for your -- for your
2 records. I mean, there was two places on the bottom of the
3 document for signatures: one for me and one for the mortgage
4 company. And we never got it back.

5 And in the -- in that time, you know, I wasn't
6 thinking about it so much. It wasn't at the top of my
7 attention, because now we had a contract for sale, a cash
8 contract for sale, for -- from, you know, Mr. Weaver or Cooper,
9 or whatever, for a million-eight.

10 So my point in this narrative brings me to Exhibit 16.
11 I think Ms. Hager has a copy of it in her exhibits as well.

12 THE COURT: And what is Exhibit 16?

13 MR. REED: That's an e-mail exchange between the
14 representative that I had met from GMAC ResCap.

15 THE COURT: So the representative you met was this
16 Mark Folweiler, F-O-L-W-E-I-L-E-R?

17 MR. REED: Yes.

18 THE COURT: And do I understand that Exhibit 16 is an
19 exchange of e-mails, the first from you on Monday September
20 22nd, 2008 at 10:09 a.m., and a response from Mr. Folweiler on
21 September 2 (sic), 2008 at 11:29 a.m.?

22 MR. REED: Yes.

23 THE COURT: Are you offering Exhibit 16?

24 MR. REED: Yes.

25 THE COURT: Ms. Hager?

1 MS. HAGER: Your Honor, the Borrower Trust objects to
2 the extent that the page that's being offered is actually an
3 incomplete document. It does not represent the entire e-mail
4 chain. I don't have an issue with that particular page itself.
5 However, our Exhibit MM actually reflects the entirety of that
6 e-mail exchange.

7 So just to the extent that Mr. Reed is offering a
8 portion of what is otherwise the complete document, we have an
9 issue with that. It's not the best evidence of that e-mail
10 exchange.

11 THE COURT: Let me look at your Exhibit MM.

12 MR. REED: And that's on --

13 THE COURT: Just let's stop.

14 (Pause)

15 THE COURT: So, Mr. Reed, Ms. Hager has pointed me to
16 the Trust's Exhibit MM. And it includes -- it's three pages in
17 length, and it appears to relate to the same e-mail chain, but
18 include additional -- you agree as to what it is?

19 MR. REED: Yes, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right. We have a doctrine of
21 completeness, so that if you offer part of an exchange, the
22 Trust would be entitled to have the whole exchange in evidence.
23 Do you want to offer Exhibit MM even though it's their exhibit?

24 MR. REED: Yes.

25 THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Hager?

1 MS. HAGER: No objection to that, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: All right. So Exhibit MM, which is three
3 pages in length, and relates to the e-mail exchange between
4 Mr. Reed and Mr. Folweiler, is in evidence.
5 (E-mail exchange was hereby received into evidence as Trust's
6 Exhibit MM, as of this date.)

7 THE COURT: Okay, so that's in evidence now, Mr. Reed.
8 What is it that you want to pick up in the narrative?

9 (Pause)

10 MR. REED: Just what -- at this point, I'd point to
11 the Court that as it didn't mean -- with my attention focused
12 from trying to do a work-out --

13 THE COURT: You weren't concerned about the mortgage
14 modification if you were going to close a sale to Mr. Weaver?

15 MR. REED: Right. And I did -- I did everything that
16 I was supposed to do, at that point, for them. You know, I
17 sent -- I filled the paperwork out and we got an agreement. I
18 sent it back. I sent a payment. And it wasn't at the top of
19 my mind and I -- I was just telling Mr. Folweiler here that,
20 you know, I guess it's a moot issue, but just for the record
21 I'd like to point out that, you know, thinking about it now,
22 you know, I never got my documents; I never got the new payment
23 schedule; I never got the new payment coupons. You know, this
24 stuff never arrived.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. REED: And Mr. Fol -- now, looking back, I find it
2 interesting to note, whether it has any relevancy legally or
3 not, Mr. Folweiler says in the e-mail, they never send them
4 back. The executed agreement that -- and the stuff that
5 they're supposed to send me, they didn't even send me.

6 THE COURT: Let me ask you this, Mr. Reed: did the
7 documents that you signed -- you made the 3,000-dollar payment;
8 did it require that you make monthly payments as well?

9 MR. REED: There was supposed to be a coupon book that
10 came -- several coupons --

11 THE COURT: All right. We'll look at the
12 statements --

13 MR. REED: -- and the amount was supposed to be --

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. REED: -- they were going to set the date of when
16 it was going to be. You know, it was going to be a different
17 date. You know, there were -- I don't remember the amount of
18 what it was supposed to be. It was a variable rate where they
19 adjust -- they adjust the rates. You know, the import -- the
20 import of this was, I needed to commit to them doing this, and
21 they were going to -- you know, they wanted the 3,000 dollars
22 and my commitment, and then they were going to respond to that.

23 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead with your -- pick up your
24 narrative.

25 So, but let me ask you this: this discussion with

1 Mr. Folweiler, this was after the mortgage foreclosure action
2 was commenced, right?

3 MR. REED: Yes.

4 THE COURT: Did you retain a lawyer to defend you in
5 the mortgage foreclosure action?

6 MR. REED: As it -- after this.

7 THE COURT: Not yet?

8 MR. REED: No.

9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Go ahead with your --

10 MR. REED: I mean, I called; we talked.

11 THE COURT: Who's the -- talked to who?

12 MR. REED: McCrink -- attorney Matt McCrink, at
13 this -- at this stage of the game.

14 THE COURT: All right, go ahead.

15 MR. REED: And I think we had a meeting. I told him
16 that we were -- I think we had a contract; we had the -- you
17 know, the modification with the mortgage company had gone well.
18 Everything, you know, seemed like it was in place.

19 I believe I had filed an answer, at that point. I
20 can't remember how long I had to file the answer, but I think
21 at that point, I had already filed an answer.

22 THE COURT: On your own behalf?

23 MR. REED: To the foreclosure complaint, just to make
24 sure that it, you know, it wasn't defaulted. So you know, it
25 was -- everything seemed to be -- all the bricks that could be

1 put into place, seemed to be in place, you know, to make every
2 party involved satisfied for what -- you know, what interest
3 they had, at that moment in time.

4 I mean, I wasn't happy that I'd lowered the price at
5 that point in time. You know, the world hadn't suffered any
6 financial issues as of yet. So -- but I was happy to have the
7 buyer so quickly, the rework with the mortgage company.

8 THE COURT: When were you supposed to close on the
9 sale to Mr. Weaver?

10 MR. REED: Your Honor, I thought it was September, and
11 I looked down at the evidence and it is -- the e-mail says
12 September, the end of September.

13 THE COURT: Of 2008?

14 MR. REED: Yes.

15 THE COURT: All right, go ahead.

16 MR. REED: Now, again, I think that's the original
17 contract with Mark Weaver. And I have moving trucks come to
18 our house. They're loading, the settlement is coming. It's a
19 cash transaction; thousands of dollars expended to do this.
20 And --

21 THE COURT: Was Mr. McCrink your real-estate lawyer in
22 connection with the sale to Weaver?

23 MR. REED: No. There -- I did not have one.

24 THE COURT: All right, go ahead.

25 MR. REED: Because of the years of dealing with the --

1 and in Southern New Jersey, there's a practice that you don't
2 have attorneys -- a common custom. Title companies handle the
3 transactions.

4 So I think, if I'm not mistaken, I got a call that
5 afternoon that they were going to -- the buyer was going to
6 need a little more time. I can't tell you if it was a week
7 they wanted or a month that they --

8 THE COURT: So when you say "I got a call that
9 afternoon", I don't know what afternoon?

10 MR. REED: From the realtor. I believe Ms. Carter --

11 THE COURT: All right.

12 MR. REED: -- called me.

13 THE COURT: And when was that?

14 MR. REED: I think it was the 29th itself.

15 THE COURT: Of September?

16 MR. REED: Yeah.

17 THE COURT: 2008.

18 MR. REED: Yeah, when we were loading the trucks.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. REED: And so --

21 THE COURT: Did she tell you why more time was needed?

22 MR. REED: I think -- Mr. Weaver -- she told me
23 that --

24 THE COURT: I'm only asking this for notice, not the
25 truth of the matter asserted, what she told you.

1 MR. REED: That Mr. Weaver had money offshore and he
2 needed to wire it in.

3 THE COURT: That's what Ms. Carter told you?

4 MR. REED: Yes.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

6 MR. REED: And then they gave me some document I
7 didn't understand --

8 THE COURT: Who's the "they"?

9 MR. REED: Ms. Carter, purportedly from Mr. Weaver to
10 me, showing five million dollars in some kind of an account,
11 and that it would be free soon.

12 Such is my life.

13 THE COURT: The check was in the mail?

14 MR. REED: That's right. That'll -- we'll address
15 that kind of thought in a little bit about Mr. Weaver and a
16 check in the mail.

17 So you know, selling real estate in the fall, heading
18 into Christmas is not the best time, necessarily to sell real
19 estate, not for value, so to speak, but it's typically a longer
20 days on market, a longer settlement, from my experience -- time
21 to settlement, when it comes to family homes, homes that have,
22 you know, multiple bedrooms and baths for people with children,
23 unlike vacation homes or condos or smaller homes.

24 So I was very aggravated with the situation. But
25 still, it -- you know, from a business perspective, you know, I

1 was presented with evidence of funds. I was told. And Ms.
2 Carter even had said to us, look, I know the family.
3 They're -- you know, they've been in here in town for years.
4 Ms. Carter was a realtor for years in town. And --

5 THE COURT: Well, stop for a second.
6 Go ahead.

7 MS. HAGER: Objection to the extent that he's
8 testifying about hearsay from Ms. Carter.

9 THE COURT: I'm going to admit it only for notice or
10 knowledge, not for the truth of matter asserted. I don't think
11 he's blaming GMAC for Mr. Weaver-cum-whatever his name was.

12 Go ahead. Anyway, Ms. Carter said she knew him?

13 MR. REED: It actually turns out she knew the
14 grandmother of the wife of Mr. Weaver.

15 THE COURT: Okay. How long was the closing delayed,
16 in your understanding, at that time?

17 MR. REED: Your Honor, let me take a moment to think
18 about the timeline. I believe that there -- in 2008, there was
19 a scheduled closing in September originally. Then I bel -- now
20 I'm thinking about it, I remember some kind of a settlement
21 that was scheduled in October. Maybe --

22 THE COURT: When you're talking about settlement -- a
23 closing?

24 MR. REED: Closing -- a closing. A closing in
25 October. And I'm wondering if that's -- and again, I don't

1 know the significance, but I'm -- the facts pop into my head.

2 That may have been when the trucks were in --

3 THE COURT: Did you ever move out?

4 MR. REED: I did. I did. I did, Your Honor. In an
5 attempt -- I wanted to make sure this would go through. I
6 mean, I did whatever I could to -- you know, to make it happen.

7 THE COURT: Did you subsequently move back in?

8 MR. REED: Yeah, yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: How long were you out of the house before
10 you moved back in?

11 It's okay, Mr. Reed. Take your time.

12 (Pause)

13 MR. REED: A week before Thanksgiving, it was a
14 Friday, 2008, we were supposed to have another closing. I know
15 this is dry material for you. I just want -- it's hard to live
16 it.

17 The Friday before Thanksgiving 2008, we were supposed
18 to have another settlement -- closing. The contract, I think
19 had been changed to reflect Mr. Cooper, not Mr. Weaver. Mr.
20 Cooper assured us directly and through Ms. Carter that the
21 funds would be there for settling. We once again ordered
22 trucks from ABS Trucking. We had workers filling it.

23 Your Honor, you must have a proper perspective of the
24 undertaking. There was five children and my wife, my mother,
25 not knowing, you know, what to do, where to go. In our

1 circumstance, it's not just like it's me. You know, I could
2 live in my car if I had to.

3 So and for the record, prior to that, Mr. -- for me to
4 go forward, I think Mr. Cooper had to -- we wanted to make sure
5 he put some good-faith money down, so he'd given 50,000 dollars
6 to hold in escrow. In my mind, I recollect that Infinity Title
7 Company held that money in escrow, because the closing was
8 supposed to occur there.

9 So I come ready for settlement, Mr. Cooper -- I showed
10 up at the title company at 3, because the vice president -- I
11 think she may have been a partner in the title company -- had
12 told me that wire cutoffs for their transactions were 3
13 o'clock. And with the trucks there again, filling them, and
14 everyone upset. We wanted to go see if it was going to close.

15 So when Mr. Cooper walked in, he didn't address me.
16 He nodded to me. I spoke to him, and he nodded to me, turned
17 to his phone. Ms. Carter and I believe the owner of the agency
18 or the brokerage, as well as the person that -- the executive
19 from the title company whisked Mr. Cooper to another room.

20 And they -- Ms. Carter said to me -- I said what's
21 going on? The title company just told me the wire cutoff has
22 passed, the money is not here. I'm once again in a situation,
23 now a week before Thanksgiving -- not even -- we're -- what's
24 going on?

25 So they just said go get a cup of coffee, go home for

1 a little bit, do whatever, just we're going to talk to Mr.
2 Cooper. He says he wants to speak to us about the situation.
3 Which that plus the wire not being there, was not a good word
4 to hear. I didn't drink much coffee and relaxing with that
5 word on your mind.

6 So about an hour goes by and they want -- they pull me
7 into a conference room. They ask me to come back and I come
8 back. The meantime my wife is hysterical about this stuff.
9 And you know, we've already pulled the kids out of school, you
10 know, all their documents were taken.

11 So Mr. Cooper presents -- they said that Mr. Cooper
12 would like to present you with a different agreement. And I
13 said what is it? What -- you know, understand my state of
14 mind, Your Honor. I'm -- you know, I thought back in September
15 this was not a problem to foreclosure. I believe our matter
16 was adjourned or was scheduled to be heard, you know, in the
17 near future. So my back's again to that, at this moment in
18 time, something that I didn't discuss in my narrative, but back
19 in the time line, I contacted TD Bank with my plan B that had
20 supposedly been sitting there waiting to be used at my
21 discretion as had always, you know, been, and they wouldn't go
22 forward with it.

23 That's to the -- the sole evidence I have to that is
24 the documents you've received from this morning in the court
25 from their legal department.

1 So now I have only so much liquidity. I see what's
2 happening. And so I entertain Mr. Cooper's --

3 THE COURT: Can I ask? Had you met a gentleman named
4 Weaver at the time that you had the initial all-cash purchase?

5 MR. REED: I met a man who walked through our house --

6 THE COURT: And identified himself as Weaver? You
7 have to answer audibly.

8 MR. REED: Oh. I believe so. I mean, I believe -- I
9 don't know if I actually spoke to him. I mean, I opened the
10 door and let Ms. Carter and Mr. Weaver in the house -- who I
11 thought was Mr. Weaver. And there was an offer from that
12 gentleman who walked through the house, and the offer said
13 "from Mr. Weaver".

14 THE COURT: And when did you -- and when's the first
15 time you heard that it's not Weaver, it's Cooper?

16 MR. REED: Maybe Oct -- maybe the October settlement.
17 Maybe it was -- I can't remember, Your Honor. It was --

18 THE COURT: Was it your understanding that they were
19 one and the same person, as opposed to your original deal with
20 a Mr. Weaver, and he assigned the contract to Mr. -- to
21 somebody named Cooper? I'm just trying to understand what your
22 understanding was?

23 Most people don't change their names from Weaver to
24 Cooper --

25 MR. REED: Your Honor, I questioned -- I questioned

1 what that -- because --

2 THE COURT: Did you question him? Did you --

3 MR. REED: No, no. The title company, I believe, and
4 the realtor, in the -- Ms. Carter had said to me, oh, it's --
5 it's okay, he's doing it as an a/k/a, a fictitious name that's
6 registered with the government, blah, blah, blah.

7 THE COURT: All right. So it doesn't close before
8 Thanksgiving, and his new offer is what? This is the lease
9 option?

10 MR. REED: Yes, yes. This is a document Mr. Cooper
11 drafted.

12 THE COURT: And do you have a copy of that? Is that
13 in your exhibits?

14 MR. REED: It's not. I --

15 THE COURT: Okay, all right. Go ahead.

16 So from reading, he paid you 400,000 dollars -- he'd
17 already paid you 50,000; he paid you another 400,000. There
18 was a lease with an option to purchase?

19 MR. REED: The 50,000 wasn't paid to me. It was in --
20 in --

21 THE COURT: In escrow.

22 MR. REED: -- escrow.

23 THE COURT: And the 400,000 was paid to you?

24 MR. REED: At the end --

25 THE COURT: So when was it paid to you?

1 MR. REED: The closing was supposed to be Friday, and
2 it now was -- if I accepted it, it would be, I think, Monday.
3 Not a closing, I'm sorry. Not a closing. It would be
4 possession -- occupancy would change Monday or Tuesday. And
5 Mr. Cooper wanted to write a check or a cashier's check. But
6 it was late in the evening on Friday. So with all the trucks
7 still there -- so Mr. Cooper was willing to give me 400,000
8 dollars, and I said I'm not going to -- I want it before I let
9 you move in.

10 But Mr. Cooper required that it -- that the money --
11 there was a specific use for that money, and that I had to use
12 that money for a specific thing, that I had -- I had to take
13 the money and I had to use it to pay off the second mortgage or
14 any other lien besides the first mortgage on the property,
15 which I did.

16 THE COURT: Is there a written agreement with Mr.
17 Cooper that required that the 400,000 dollars be used to pay
18 the second mortgage?

19 MR. REED: No. I had to -- I mean, I had to
20 provide -- they required evidence -- I mean, I had to give a
21 receipt to the title company. I had to give it to the realtor.
22 They wanted it done very quickly. It's not like I got the
23 400,000 dollars and I could just go to Las Vegas with it or you
24 know, buy a yacht or something like that.

25 THE COURT: Who held the second mortgage?

1 MR. REED: ResCap, Homecomings Financial. The money
2 went to them.

3 THE COURT: Okay, why don't you pick up with your
4 narrative.

5 MR. REED: So -- Your Honor, to be clear, not all of
6 the -- it turned out the balance wasn't a full 400-. I don't
7 remember the exact amount. But it was a -- to pay off all the
8 liens and things on the house, it was over 200-and-some-
9 thousand dollars to do that.

10 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.

11 MR. REED: So the remainder of the money gave us -- it
12 was for the fact that we had to vacate. It turns out there was
13 damage done to the other property that we had that we were
14 going to. There was a roof leak that caused a great deal of
15 damage that I was not aware of, because it was in another
16 state.

17 THE COURT: This was income property that you owned?

18 MR. REED: It was a property -- it was not an income
19 property. It was my next property that we were going to
20 occupy.

21 THE COURT: All right.

22 MR. REED: I was doing like I normally do, Your Honor,
23 where I renovate it and we were expanding it, increasing the
24 value. That's in those volumes of documents that you didn't
25 want to see, that you excluded. But that was just the next

1 thing where we were going with that money, one of the two
2 things --

3 THE COURT: You moved from Moorestown to a property
4 that you had already purchased?

5 MR. REED: Yes.

6 THE COURT: All right, go ahead.

7 MR. REED: But we couldn't move into it because I
8 discovered that it was damaged. So that's why there was some
9 excess money from Mr. Cooper, and we spent, I don't know,
10 30,000 dollars, living in a -- wound up living in a Hampton Inn
11 or a Homewood Suites -- Homewood Suites in Virginia, two
12 bedrooms and a little living room. I don't know if you've ever
13 been in there -- the little places. But five kids, me and my
14 wife.

15 THE COURT: Where was the property you were moving to?
16 In Virginia?

17 MR. REED: Yes, nearby.

18 THE COURT: All right. So let's go back to the story
19 of the Moorestown property. What's the next thing that
20 happened?

21 MR. REED: So --

22 THE COURT: Mr. Weaver or Gordon (sic) was supposed to
23 paying you rent payments as well as the --

24 MR. REED: Right. So this is not -- this money was
25 not supposed to -- the 400 was not a payment towards the

1 purchase of the property, it was an option -- he called it an
2 option to buy. If he -- you know, that's what would secure the
3 option.

4 THE COURT: When did he default with you?

5 MR. REED: Well, he -- he had sixty -- this agreement
6 was for sixty days: December and January. In December -- by
7 the middle of December, Mr. Cooper did not pay the rent.

8 THE COURT: How much was the rent?

9 MR. REED: You're going to love this one, Your Honor.
10 25,000 dollars a month. I guess he knew that that would be a
11 number that I would bite, because it was a significant number.
12 Normal rents in that house probably would be 6,000, 7,000,
13 something like that. I mean we have a -- I don't know if
14 you've seen any of it, but it's a seven-bedroom, ten-bath
15 house. I mean, there's a lot of room in it.

16 THE COURT: Okay, so by mid-December, he hasn't paid
17 you the rent?

18 MR. REED: Right.

19 THE COURT: What happens next?

20 MR. REED: So now I'm in -- I'm in a hotel for a
21 couple weeks now. My -- seven tractor-trailers full of my
22 whole life. All of us jammed into a little hotel, having a
23 heart attack, trying to figure out how to fix the house in
24 Virginia. Not enough money to make sure everything happens,
25 because I just gave it all to pay a mortgage company to pay off

1 the other notes.

2 So -- so I got his phone number, because I was really
3 angry. I said, look, I'm coming up there. I mean, I was
4 really pissed. You have no idea, Your Honor. I mean, I was --
5 sorry, but I mean, I was, you know -- I'm a very calm man,
6 especially with all these kids, I have to be. But I tell you,
7 if ever I was moved to almost the point of violence, that would
8 have been it.

9 And so --

10 THE COURT: Did you go up to Moorestown?

11 MR. REED: Not on that occasion, I didn't. So I mean,
12 imagine this, ten days before Christmas, the guy's check is not
13 there yet. So I got his number and I called him and I said
14 look, I don't care -- you know, we'll sort this out after the
15 holidays, but look, you put 50,000 dollars in escrow, I'll be
16 damned if you're going to get that money. So why don't you --
17 you know, it's not going to happen. I don't care if this goes
18 nowhere and you wind up walking away, you will not get -- I
19 will fight you to the end over that money.

20 So why don't you just -- if you don't have money, if
21 you -- because he told me, oh, my money's still tied up; I gave
22 you whatever I had. I said, well, not all your money's tied
23 up. I'd like to point out to the fact that there's 50,000
24 dollars at the title company, which happens to coincide with
25 two months' rent payment which you contracted with me to pay.

1 Why don't I do this? I'll agree to allow you to
2 reduce your security held against the house for the purchase by
3 25,000 dollars for the month's rent that you owe for 25,000
4 dollars. Tell the title company that you agree to release the
5 money.

6 Three or four, five days go by. We're almost at
7 Christmas, he finally does it.

8 THE COURT: He released the whole fifty?

9 MR. REED: No, the twenty-five. So then that was
10 somewhat of a relief, at least, for the moment, you know,
11 because it's -- the money and everything -- you know, there's
12 not enough going --

13 THE COURT: All right. So it comes to January, he
14 doesn't pay that rent either?

15 MR. REED: He doesn't pay again. No. So this time I
16 go up to New Jersey to see the realtor. And I'm pretty sure --
17 I'm pretty sure that I gave a notice, like a default notice to
18 his wife in my house. Because they've just enjoyed the
19 holidays in my house when I enjoyed them in the hotel.

20 So she was surprised. I think -- you know, I find out
21 later, apparently, she -- Mr. Cooper told them that they bought
22 the house, but -- that's why she was surprised to see me.

23 So then --

24 THE COURT: Did you have to bring an action to evict?

25 MR. REED: I could not yet, because he then paid the

1 25,000 dollars.

2 THE COURT: For January?

3 MR. REED: For January. Out of the escrow amount.

4 THE COURT: Okay, so now the escrow is zero?

5 MR. REED: Yes.

6 THE COURT: All right. So what happens next?

7 (Pause)

8 MR. REED: So Cooper tells me, either -- I think
9 through Carter, that he wants to extend for six more months at
10 25,000 dollars a month, because his money is tied up now. I
11 mean, it's still illiquid. Him and his partners, something,
12 it's not -- it's not liquid, but it will be --

13 MS. HAGER: Objection, Your Honor, to the extent that
14 it's hearsay within hearsay.

15 THE COURT: Sustained.

16 MR. REED: So I extended. The net result is I
17 extended it.

18 THE COURT: Did you ever collect any more rent from
19 him?

20 MR. REED: I collected bad checks from Mr. Cooper from
21 that point.

22 THE COURT: But did you -- other than December and
23 January, which you collected out of the escrow, did you ever
24 collect any more rent from him?

25 MR. REED: No.

1 THE COURT: All right. When did you get him evicted?
2 Did you have to bring an action, or did he just move out?

3 MR. REED: No, I had to -- I had to bring an action.

4 THE COURT: When did you get possession of the
5 property back?

6 MR. REED: Actually, Your Honor, we had to serve him
7 while he was sitting in county jail for passing bad checks to
8 other people.

9 THE COURT: Okay. When did you actually get him out
10 of the house?

11 MR. REED: Fall -- fall of -- what is this, 2009. He
12 had the six month option. He tendered payments in the form of
13 checks that bounced and then continued to promise and tendered
14 other --

15 THE COURT: Let me see if I can try and bring this
16 back to why we're here, okay? So Weaver's in the house. I've
17 seen -- what, if any, communication did you have with GMACM
18 during the period in which you thought you were selling the
19 house to Weaver/Cooper? Was the foreclosure action on hold
20 during this period?

21 MR. REED: No, it was adjourned -- it was just
22 adjourned.

23 THE COURT: It was adjourned. It wasn't -- you
24 didn't -- go ahead. Tell me, what was -- let me ask this
25 again. What, if any, communication did you have with anyone

1 from GMACM during the period that you're going through this
2 ordeal with Mr. Cooper?

3 MR. REED: I don't re -- Your Honor, I don't remember
4 any. I hired an attorney, now, to handle that.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Who was the attorney you hired?

6 MR. REED: There were two. McCrink worked on it for a
7 while, and then -- I don't know if there was some issue with
8 the judge and McCrink, and then I had to have someone else
9 appear at the --

10 THE COURT: Who did you retain?

11 MR. REED: -- Linda Campbell. So Matt McCrink and
12 Linda Campbell. We also worked with Jeffery Walters. But Mr.
13 Walters to a limited extent. Again, some kind of a conflict
14 there. His firm -- the firm he worked for at the time was
15 working with Homecomings or a division of ResCap.

16 And -- I'm sorry.

17 THE COURT: Go ahead.

18 (Pause)

19 MR. REED: Your Honor, on the subject of the lawyers
20 who worked on the foreclosure action, as an aside, the Court
21 had asked me for copies of the bills. I told the lawyers I
22 needed copies for the Court for this purpose. After our
23 meeting or status conference and the discussion about
24 certifying documents or something like that, I got concerned a
25 bit about -- because I had e-mailed Ms. Hager the -- you know,

1 the -- like I got an e-mail from Matt McCrink's office from the
2 bookkeeper. I got a copy. I went to Ms. Campbell's office and
3 finally got a copy of what I had paid -- because I had paid for
4 them at that time. They were cash receipts.

5 I don't know what to do with the fact -- I have
6 affidavits, now, signed from them, attesting to the
7 authenticity of those bills.

8 THE COURT: Well, during the lunch recess, show them
9 to Ms. Hager, as an initial matter.

10 MR. REED: I mean, I don't even know if it's required,
11 but I went and did it.

12 THE COURT: Let me -- I think part of the -- so in
13 reading the Trust's memorandum -- pre-trial memorandum, one of
14 the issues they focused on -- I saw what you marked from your
15 lawyers, but it doesn't indicate what work it was for. So you
16 had lawyers who defended the mortgage foreclosure action, and
17 then at some point you filed your own case --

18 MR. REED: Yes.

19 THE COURT: -- against GMACM. And what I haven't seen
20 is anything that shows how much your lawyers charged for
21 defending the mortgage foreclosure action versus what did they
22 charge you for the action that you brought against GMACM.

23 MR. REED: It's a very --

24 THE COURT: Just stop for a second. Because what I
25 understand the Trust's objection, in part, to be that they may

1 dispute it, but whether you're entitled to recover the costs of
2 defending the foreclosure action, their position is you're not
3 entitled to recover any lawyers' fees for the action that you
4 filed.

5 MR. REED: To make that simpler for you, Your Honor.
6 There were -- the lawyer, singular, who worked on the pursuit
7 of the Law Division damages --

8 THE COURT: Yes.

9 MR. REED: -- case, is Mr. Jeffery Walters. He had
10 limited -- he had a limited role in the foreclosure defense and
11 was conflicted out. His bill -- I don't know -- it could have
12 been 500 or 1,000 dollars for that purpose. The lion's share
13 of the tens of thousands of dollars of his bill was for the --
14 but McCrink was not involved with the -- with the Law Division
15 case. Ms. Campbell was not involved with the Law Division case
16 in any way.

17 THE COURT: Okay. Let me -- it's 12:15. Let me tell
18 you what we're going to do.

19 We're going to continue on until 12:30 and then we're
20 going to take a lunch recess. Okay.

21 You need to think about, during lunch, how you can
22 speed up your testimony, all right?

23 MR. REED: I didn't even realize it's --

24 THE COURT: And the reason I say -- I've limited each
25 side to six hours. You've got some other people who supposedly

1 are going to show up this afternoon. We'll see whether they
2 come or not. Okay? I'm not trying to cut you short. And I'm
3 prompting you with more questions than I like to do with a
4 witness, because it shouldn't be me who's examining you. Okay?

5 You just need to get your story out and tell me the
6 story. But here's the point. I mean, I think you're -- the
7 Weaver/Cooper saga -- and I don't mean that in a disparaging
8 way. It's horrible. Okay? But it's not the fault of GMACM.
9 I mean, the failure of the Jacobses to close, it's not on --
10 there's nothing that GMACM did to cause the Jacobses to walk
11 away.

12 The more you talk about Mr. Weaver or Cooper or
13 whatever his name may be, you had the terrible misfortune at a
14 very bad time in your life, to be dealing with someone who was
15 totally unreliable -- okay? Again, that's not on their
16 doorstep.

17 What you need to do -- and when we continue on, I do
18 want you to explain what happened. I want you to satisfy
19 yourself that you've told your story as it relates to this
20 claim against GMACM. But what you need to try and do -- we'll
21 continue on till 12:30 -- but you need to be mindful, you've
22 got other witnesses --

23 MR. REED: Yeah.

24 THE COURT: -- who you say are going show up, and I
25 want to hear from them. To the extent that their testimony is

1 admissible, I want to hear from them. Okay? I want you to
2 have an opportunity to put your case in.

3 I understand you're not a lawyer. I think that Ms.
4 Hager has actually been appropriately solicitous of your
5 narrative without asserting every objection that could be
6 asserted. Okay? I think she's acting entirely appropriate in
7 letting you get your story out. Okay? But you're going to
8 have to pick it up.

9 If during lunch you want to make some notes about the
10 key points that you want to cover so when you come back after
11 lunch, just get it in and -- okay?

12 MR. REED: Okay.

13 THE COURT: All right. So let's come back -- we've
14 jumped around a little bit. I understand -- see if you can
15 tell me this. When do you finally get Cooper out of the house?
16 It took you a while.

17 MR. REED: 2009.

18 THE COURT: Not until --

19 MR. REED: All 2009 was -- the beginning was -- I
20 think September.

21 THE COURT: Did you move back in, then?

22 MR. REED: No, not right away. I mean we --

23 THE COURT: When did you move back in? You're in
24 there now?

25 MR. REED: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Okay. When did you move back in?

2 MR. REED: I believe around Thanksgiving 2010.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MR. REED: And, Your Honor, the other communication I
5 had with the mortgage company -- again, it became -- it became
6 through lawyers, at that point -- was the -- the dismissal of
7 the foreclosure, which was in March or February of 2009.

8 THE COURT: So Mr. McCrink was primarily handling the
9 defense of the foreclosure action?

10 MR. REED: At that point.

11 THE COURT: At that point.

12 MR. REED: But Ms. Campbell -- like I said, I don't
13 remember if it was some kind of conflict in my mind is what I
14 remember, and Mr. McCrink couldn't go argue before that judge
15 or something like that.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Did -- once you're represented by a
17 lawyer, GMACM has to deal through your lawyer, can't speak to
18 you directly unless your lawyer provides his consent. But just
19 so I'm clear about it, did you personally have communication
20 with anyone from GMACM after you were defended in the mortgage
21 foreclosure action by a lawyer?

22 MR. REED: I don't remember that, Your Honor. I don't
23 think so.

24 THE COURT: It wouldn't surprise me. I mean, because
25 once you're represented by a lawyer, they can't talk to you

1 directly. So but -- so when I asked the question, it's not
2 intended as a trick question --

3 MR. REED: No, no.

4 THE COURT: -- not intended to suggest --

5 MR. REED: And I'm not trying to -- I'm not trying
6 to -- I want to be clear in my memory.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 MR. REED: There's so much going on in my head that I
9 want to --

10 THE COURT: All right.

11 MR. REED: -- try to make sure I get the right dates
12 and times and things like that in my head.

13 THE COURT: Tell me where you want to pick up your
14 story. And by "story", I'm not suggesting a pejorative in
15 using that. I want you to pick up with your narrative at the
16 point where you want to pick up. But remember, the focus is on
17 your proofs of claim against GMACM.

18 MR. REED: Okay. So the next time the house is on the
19 market is immediately -- around the time that Mr. Cooper is
20 evicted. I believe that as part of the agreement to -- it was
21 a consent decree on the eviction. And Mr. Cooper agreed to
22 start letting people walk through. I don't remember if that
23 was a month or forty days or sixty days or -- and I can't tell
24 you if it was September or October.

25 But we immediately listed the house. Again, I could

1 not list it because he had an option to buy it.

2 THE COURT: Right.

3 MR. REED: It was impossible --

4 THE COURT: Did you list with the same broker?

5 MR. REED: I did.

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MR. REED: I did, because they had -- the Edgar Real
8 Estate sold the majority of -- I mean, a good portion of those
9 houses, they represented the builder for the whole subdivision
10 and I believe initially sold every single property in there,
11 and had constantly handled the resales.

12 THE COURT: All right. So you listed it again. What
13 did you list -- what price did you list it at after --

14 MR. REED: Your Honor, I can't remember.

15 THE COURT: -- Cooper had moved out?

16 MR. REED: I mean, we reduced it again. I mean, I
17 reduced it many times to -- to try and sell it. But what was
18 odd about it -- about this time was, you know, the -- our
19 property and the properties that I had worked on, always had
20 tremendous response. And I don't necessarily mean -- I mean,
21 in several factors.

22 One, it's not atypical that I would get an offer on a
23 house above an appraised value or a market, you know, because
24 the buyers liked the product that I would create.

25 MS. HAGER: Your Honor, objection to relevancy.

1 THE COURT: Overruled.

2 MR. REED: So -- and you would see the kind of product
3 that was created in the listing, the photographs, and things --
4 the features. So we would have, you know, not only that kind
5 of response -- like the Jacobs contract shows that kind of
6 example, but we had a lot of people coming to see the house.

7 And I measure that in relation to how many people go
8 and see other houses on the market, similar, not the same, but
9 similar to our house.

10 So like Louise Carter, she's not the -- my house is
11 not the only house that she would have on the market. B.T.
12 Edgar was not there just simply to list and market my house.
13 They had multiple properties, and I would inquire, just like I
14 would in other transactions, what is the -- you know, what's
15 the traffic. What are you showing --

16 THE COURT: When is the next offer you received?

17 MR. REED: I don't -- I don't -- it's from, I think,
18 the people -- their name was Roccisano.

19 THE COURT: Yeah, I'm looking here at your Exhibit 3.

20 MR. REED: You know what -- wait a second here. It's
21 in -- I think it's attached to an exhibit.

22 THE COURT: Well, there is attached to Exhibit 3 a
23 proposal to purchase from Roccisano.

24 MR. REED: Yes. And this exhibit, I would like to
25 offer into evidence, and Ms. Hager had agreed pre-trial to

1 allow it.

2 THE COURT: Let me ask Ms. Hager what her --

3 MS. HAGER: Well, I did agree pre-trial to Exhibit 3,
4 which is the entirety, which includes a letter from Naoji
5 Moriuchi of B.T. Edgar, because I was under the impression that
6 Ms. Carter would be here to otherwise lay a foundation and
7 authenticate the letter. If she's not coming, and it's my
8 understand that she's not, then I do have an objection to the
9 letter as hearsay. I do not have an objection, though, to page
10 3 of that exhibit, which is the proposal to purchase.

11 MR. REED: I'd like to respond to the objection, Your
12 Honor.

13 THE COURT: Give me just a second.

14 So Exhibit 3 -- first off, the letter isn't signed by
15 Ms. Carter anyway -- has a number of pages. It has a letter
16 from June 2012. It has a page that's totally illegible to me,
17 I guess about Mr. Moriuchi. It has the proposal to purchase
18 from Roccisano. And it has notice of the lis pendens. I take
19 it, Ms. Hager, you don't dispute the authenticity of the lis
20 pendens?

21 MS. HAGER: No, I don't.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Reed, with or without Ms.
23 Carter here, the first two pages wouldn't be admissible in --

24 MR. REED: Your Honor, may I ask you --

25 THE COURT: Just a second.

1 MR. REED: -- or propose something?

2 THE COURT: So I'm going to -- go ahead, what is it
3 you want to tell me?

4 MR. REED: Thank you. In trying to learn as much as I
5 can about what -- you know, how to handle this situation, I'm
6 going to ask you to deny her objection, because I researched
7 this -- and I could be totally wrong, and you're going to tell
8 me if I am or not, so thank you for listening to me.

9 I proffer to you that Mr. Naoji is -- spoke to me as
10 the agent of the Roccisanos, and this letter stands for the
11 principle of the motive or intent of the Roccisanos.

12 THE COURT: He couldn't testify about the motive or
13 intent of the Roccisanos in any event. Even if he was sitting
14 in the witness chair where you are now, I wouldn't let him
15 testify about the motive or intent of the Roccisanos.

16 MR. REED: Even if he's -- isn't the agent --

17 THE COURT: No, let's stop.

18 MR. REED: Okay.

19 THE COURT: Let's --

20 MR. REED: I want -- I wanted to say --

21 THE COURT: Just stop.

22 With respect to Exhibit 3, the first two pages, the
23 first of which is a letter dated June 25, 2012 from Naoji
24 Moriuchi, realtor associated with B.T. Edgar and Sons (sic)
25 Realtors, and the second page, which is illegible in my copy,

1 but it seems to be some sort of biography of Mr. Moriuchi, the
2 objection is sustained as to those two pages.

3 The remainder of the exhibit, consisting of the
4 proposal to purchase from the Roccisanos and the lis pendens
5 dated May 27 -- it's got a stamp of May 27, 2008, that is
6 admitted. So those three pages are admitted into evidence.
7 (Proposal to purchase and lis pendens was hereby received into
8 evidence as Reed's Exhibit 3, as of this date.)

9 THE COURT: All right, so you got the proposal to
10 purchase from the Roccisanos. Did you ever enter into a
11 contract with them?

12 MR. REED: We worked on that.

13 THE COURT: Did you ever enter into a contract with
14 them?

15 MR. REED: No, we did not execute a contract or enter
16 into one.

17 THE COURT: Was there a dispute about the price?
18 Because this is a proposal for a million -- 1,040,000. No, a
19 million -- I can't even tell. What is it?

20 MR. REED: It was a million-three, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: A million-three. Okay. The confusion
22 comes about because the contract -- looking at the first page,
23 it has the sum of a million-three -- all right. I see. Okay.

24 Did you negotiate a different price with them? That's
25 the offer they made.

1 MR. REED: Yeah, Your Honor. I believe we did. I had
2 forgotten about it. But we did. But it never came to
3 fruition.

4 THE COURT: Because they -- you had a contract for
5 sale, there was a closing date, and it didn't close?

6 MR. REED: No, we just -- we couldn't come to terms.

7 THE COURT: All right. Okay.

8 What happened -- well, what happened next is a recess.

9 It's 12:30 by the clock in the court. We're going to
10 be in recess until 2 o'clock, because at 1:30 I have a
11 different ResCap hearing -- brief.

12 MR. REED: Do I need --

13 THE COURT: You can leave everything right where it
14 is. You can take that which you want to take with you or you
15 can leave it there.

16 But what you need to do, Mr. Reed, is you need to
17 focus -- make some notes to yourself, if that'll help you,
18 about -- with respect specifically to the claims you've
19 asserted against GMACM, what it is that you believe you can
20 tell me that's evidence, that focuses on the claim. Okay?

21 And you -- who's coming this afternoon to testify?

22 MR. REED: Ms. Donati about foreclosure practice.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. REED: And Mr. Henricks. I think you limited his
25 testimony.

1 THE COURT: I did.

2 MR. REED: We're not going to be discussing credit
3 reports and reporting.

4 THE COURT: All right.

5 Let me -- what time are they going to be here?

6 MR. REED: I don't -- I don't know. I mean, it's
7 supposed to be early afternoon, 2 o'clock or something like
8 that.

9 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Hager, what I would like
10 to do is when Mr. Reed's other witnesses come, even if he
11 hasn't completed his testimony and you haven't had your cross-
12 examination yet, is to take the other witnesses out of order.
13 Is that acceptable to you?

14 MS. HAGER: Yes, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: And obviously you can do your cross
16 examination of them then. But if we need to -- and then pick
17 up with Mr. Reed's testimony.

18 MS. HAGER: Sure, of course. That's fine.

19 THE COURT: Okay. So when your witnesses show up,
20 assure them that we're going to get them on the witness stand
21 and hopefully off the witness stand, and then we can resume
22 with your testimony when they're done. Okay?

23 But you need to really focus about what it is that
24 specifically relates to the claims you've asserted, okay? All
25 right.

1 So we're in recess until 2 o'clock for the Reed
2 matter, 1:30 for the Matthews matter.

3 All right, we're in recess.

4 (Recess from 12:30 p.m. until 1:30 p.m.)

5 THE COURT: All right. This is Judge Glenn. We're on
6 the record in Residential Capital, 12-12020. This is a
7 telephone hearing with respect to claim number 392 filed by
8 Kevin J. Matthews. May I have the appearances, please?

9 MR. ROSENBAUM: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Norman
10 Rosenbaum, Morrison & Foerster, for the ResCap Borrower Claims
11 Trust.

12 THE COURT: Okay. And for the --

13 MR. ROBINSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Phillip
14 Robinson on behalf of Kevin Matthews.

15 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

16 All right. Mr. Rosenbaum, can you update me on where
17 things stand?

18 MR. ROSENBAUM: I'm happy to, Your Honor. Over the
19 past couple of weeks Mr. Robinson and I have been engaged in
20 settlement discussions. I would characterize this as having a
21 very useful conversation last week. There are a couple of
22 points that the Borrower Trust needs to follow up on to get
23 back to some of the proposals that Mr. Robinson put forward. I
24 would hope that in terms of either closing the loop and
25 completing a settlement or not we'd be able to get back to him

1 within this week, if not by the end of next week. There's just
2 people that we need to talk to that we don't necessarily
3 control their schedule.

4 So in terms of settlement that's where we are, without
5 disclosing any of the details.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robinson?

7 MR. ROBINSON: That's an accurate description, sort
8 of, where we're at, Your Honor. There's a little bit of a
9 delay, Your Honor, just to be candid to the Court, because I
10 was on vacation a little bit in August and wasn't able to get
11 back to Mr. Rosenbaum as quickly.

12 THE COURT: Shocking, Mr. Robinson. You're able to
13 take vacation?

14 MR. ROBINSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: All right. Obviously, as always, I'm
16 hopeful that the parties' counsel are going to be able to reach
17 a settlement, that the parties are going to be able to reach
18 the settlement. And I'm certainly prepared to give you another
19 two weeks to try and do that, but we really do need to move
20 this along. So what -- hold on a minute. I just want to get
21 my calendar open on the computer. Just bear with me.

22 (Pause)

23 THE COURT: What I'd like to do is schedule a
24 telephone status conference for two weeks from today. So
25 that's Monday, September 29th. I have a lot of matters on the

1 calendar, so I'd like to do it for 4 o'clock. Are you both
2 able to do that?

3 MR. ROBINSON: That's fine with me, Your Honor. This
4 is Phillip Robinson.

5 MR. ROSENBAUM: Your Honor, that's fine for me as
6 well. 4 o'clock on the 29th.

7 THE COURT: Okay. And what I would ask is if you're
8 able, even at the point where you've reached an agreement in
9 principle subject to any further documentation, if you could
10 advise me of that. If that occurs I may just cancel the
11 September 29th telephone conference. So as soon as you're able
12 to get the matter to the point where your clients have agreed
13 in principle to settle the matter please advise me.

14 Because what I'm going to do, I mean, I'll tell you.
15 I have a draft of a written order sustaining in part and
16 overruling in part the Trust's objections. I essentially
17 advised you both of that at the hearing, when I heard argument
18 on the hearing. And I haven't finalized the writing, but it's
19 pretty close to it. I'm putting it aside at this point in the
20 hope that I don't have to finalize it. If I do, certainly by
21 the time of that hearing -- I won't enter it before the
22 hearing, but probably immediately after if you haven't reached
23 an agreement.

24 And, I mean, if your talks break down what you need to
25 agree upon is what discovery each side wishes to take and how

1 long you believe it will take to do that. At the telephone
2 hearing on September 29th it's my plan, if you have not
3 resolved the matter, to set a pretty tight discovery schedule
4 for any remaining discovery that either side wishes to take and
5 a schedule for filing of pre-trial papers and to give you both
6 a trial date at that time.

7 So I'm going to give you two weeks to try and get this
8 wrapped up, but it's certainly my hope that you'll be able to
9 do that.

10 But, again, let me know in advance at the stage where
11 you're able to reach an agreement in principle so that I can
12 not have to put in more time on the written order with my
13 ruling.

Okay?

14 MR. ROBINSON: We'll --

15 MR. ROSENBAUM: Yes, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: And, Mr. Rosenbaum, if you would arrange
17 the call in for the September 29th telephone hearing I would
18 appreciate it. It'll be on the record, as this one is. Okay?

19 MR. ROSENBAUM: That's fine, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right. I appreciate the efforts of
21 both of you, and I hope you're able to bring this to a
22 successful conclusion.

23 All right. We're adjourned. Thank you.

24 MR. ROBINSON: Thank you.

25 MR. ROSENBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 Unless -- is there anything either of you want to add?

2 MR. ROSENBAUM: I'm sorry, Your Honor?

3 THE COURT: Is there anything you want to add before
4 we adjourn?

5 MR. ROBINSON: No. There's nothing on behalf of
6 Mr. Matthews.

7 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Rosenbaum, anything you want to
8 add?

9 MR. ROSENBAUM: Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank
10 you.

11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. We're
12 adjourned.

13 MR. ROSENBAUM: All right.

14 (Recess from 1:36 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.)

15 THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. We're back
16 on the record in Residential Capital, 12-12020 with respect to
17 the Reed's claims.

18 Mr. Reed, do you have one of your witnesses here?

19 MR. REED: I do, Your Honor. And I'm also, as to the
20 TD Bank documents --

21 THE COURT: Yes.

22 MR. REED: Ms. Hager has expressed a concern and may
23 want to lodge an objection to them. So I don't know how to
24 proceed from here on those.

25 THE COURT: Well, let's proceed with your witness, and

1 when that's done we'll deal with the documents.

2 So you have one person here to testify?

3 MR. REED: And I believe the other one will be here
4 shortly as well.

5 THE COURT: All right. So let's proceed. Which
6 witness are you calling?

7 MR. REED: Christy Donati.

8 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Donati, would you come on up?

9 If you would come up to the witness stand and raise
10 your right hand and be sworn.

11 (Witness sworn)

12 THE COURT: All right. Please have a seat.

13 THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record,
14 please.

15 THE WITNESS: Christy Zoltun Donati, C-H-R-I-S-T-Y,
16 Z-O-L-T-U-N, D-O-N-A-T-I.

17 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Ms. Donati.

18 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

19 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Reed, do you want to ask
20 your questions of Ms. Donati?

21 MR. REED: I do.

22 DIRECT EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. REED:

24 Q. Ms. Donati, can you relay to the Court any and all
25 experience you have professionally relating to real estate or

1 foreclosures?

2 THE COURT: We'll skip the all, because we'll be here
3 all day, so tell me what your experience --

4 THE WITNESS: Twenty-five years.

5 THE COURT: You're a lawyer. Am I correct?

6 THE WITNESS: I'm a law -- I'm currently a lawyer,
7 yes.

8 THE COURT: You practice where?

9 THE WITNESS: I practice commercial real estate at
10 DuPont in-house. I've been doing that since March of this
11 year. Prior to that I practiced at Phelan Hallinan & Diamond
12 in New Jersey, which is a firm that -- a boutique firm that
13 represents lenders in residential foreclosures. And I was
14 there from January of 2010 until March of 2014.

15 THE COURT: Okay. So I think Mr. Reed's question was
16 about your ex --

17 Why don't you ask your question again, Mr. Reed?

18 Q. I wanted to, I guess, have you, Ms. Donati, relay to the
19 Court the relevant experience, I think, regarding residential
20 foreclosure, preparation of foreclosure complaints, filing of
21 those complaints, filing of lis pendens and maintenance or
22 removal of those, and --

23 THE COURT: Let's take it a piece at a time, if we
24 could.

25 A. All right. In the just over four years I worked at Phelan

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.

112

1 Hallinan I practiced almost exclusively in un -- in the filing
2 of residential foreclosures. In that process I was responsible
3 for reviewing the evidence or the documents necessary in order
4 to file foreclosure, reviewing the ownership and the note or
5 the -- reviewing the note, reviewing the mortgage, reviewing
6 assignments, reviewing title to make sure we include all the
7 proper defendants, reviewing the complaint and making sure we
8 were able to move forward, assigning the complaint and having
9 it filed. I followed through the cases through default and
10 judgment and sale.

11 In that time I would say conservatively I was involved in
12 thousands of foreclosure actions.

13 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Reed.

14 THE WITNESS: Should I --

15 Q. In connection to -- would you say that you -- did you say
16 that you would confirm standing, proper standing of your
17 clients to bring foreclosure actions?

18 MS. HAGER: Objection. Leading. And mischaracterizes
19 her testimony.

20 THE COURT: Well, I don't know that it
21 mischaracterized anything, but --

22 A. In reviewing --

23 THE COURT: Stop.

24 THE WITNESS: Okay.

25 THE COURT: Stop. Stop. I'm going to overrule the

1 objection. Go ahead.

2 A. In order to proceed with the complaint we reviewed the
3 mortgage and the note and assignments to make sure that the
4 plaintiff in the action had the standing to proceed with the
5 action, had a note endorsed to them or in blank, had an
6 assignment if they were not the originating lender. You know,
7 that --

8 THE COURT: So --

9 A. That was --

10 THE COURT: Before you go on and Mr. Reed goes on, I
11 don't know whether Mr. Reed shared with you my ruling on the
12 motions in limine. Ms. Hager, the Trust had moved to exclude
13 your testimony. And I overruled the objection to this extent,
14 that you would be permitted to testify about custom usage and
15 practice in mortgage foreclosure matters in New Jersey but not
16 to give opinion testimony with respect to whether the GMACM in
17 this case had done anything improper.

18 Okay? Just that's -- I don't have the writing in
19 front of me, but it was basically not to permit you to give
20 legal opinion about what happened here but to testify about
21 custom practice and usage in residential mortgage foreclosure
22 in New Jersey. Did you understand that?

23 THE WITNESS: Yes.

24 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

25 So go ahead, Mr. Reed.

1 Q. So it's the custom of the law firm representing the
2 mortgage company to make sure that whatever documents or
3 evidence is necessary to proceed in foreclosure has been
4 accumulated and verified for such action.

5 MS. HAGER: Objection. Leading.

6 THE COURT: Overruled.

7 A. The lender would refer a defaulted foreclosure to our
8 office. At that time we would obtain -- we would pull the
9 necessary documents to proceed with the foreclosure.

10 We would look at the note to make sure that it was the
11 same lender as who the plaintiff would be, or, if not, that it
12 had been endorsed to that plaintiff or in blank. We would look
13 at the mortgage, and if it was a different lender originating
14 it we would look for an assignment into the plaintiff.

15 If we did not have those we would not proceed. We would
16 have to wait till we got them.

17 We would also review title, as I said, to look for any
18 other defendants that would be included in a New Jersey
19 foreclosure.

20 Q. Ms. Donati, as part of the foreclosure firm's practice,
21 was it routine for them, on or just after the time of filing a
22 foreclosure action, to file the legal document called a lis
23 pendens in the county where the foreclosure action would take
24 place?

25 MS. HAGER: Objection. Leading.

1 THE COURT: Overruled.

2 A. Yes. And if you want me to just give you a step-by-step
3 of the foreclosure process I could do that if that would --

4 THE COURT: Okay. Please give me a step-by-step.

5 THE WITNESS: Okay. But I'm not -- I wasn't sure if
6 that would be considered overstepping.

7 THE COURT: Well, give me what you understand the
8 step-by-step of the foreclosure process in New Jersey to be.

9 THE WITNESS: Once we -- our office confirmed that the
10 referral was valid and we could proceed with the foreclosure
11 then we would prepare the foreclosure complaint and file it
12 with the Office of Foreclosure in Trenton.

13 Once that complaint was filed and we received a file
14 number we would file -- record a lis pendens in the county
15 where the property was located, which is a vehicle to notice
16 anyone who may be interested in the property that there is a
17 lawsuit pending that involves that property. Then we would
18 proceed with service, often simultaneously with filing the lis
19 pendens.

20 After service, if there was no response from the
21 defendant, we would file for default, and after a default was
22 granted, if it was not contested and the default was granted,
23 then we would move for a final judgment. And at that time you
24 present all your proofs to the Court.

25 The initial complaint does not include copies of the

1 proof -- it doesn't include a copy of the note or the mortgage
2 or the certificate amount due. That's not a judgment. And
3 then you cannot proceed to judgment without all the proofs,
4 which are numerous. And then file judgment.

5 Now, it -- that stays in the foreclosure unit. It
6 goes back to the county court if it's -- if a defendant files
7 an answer. So if it's a contested foreclosure then it would go
8 back to the --

9 THE COURT: So assume Mr. Reed did file an answer.
10 What happens where a --

11 THE WITNESS: Then it would go back.

12 THE COURT: -- foreclosure defendant files an answer?

13 THE WITNESS: Then it's moved from the foreclosure
14 unit to the county chancery court, and that judge hears the
15 case. And it's either dismissed as a result of that court
16 action or the judge decides it can move forward, and then it
17 goes back to the foreclosure unit.

18 THE COURT: And what happens then?

19 THE WITNESS: And then we move for judgment, and when
20 judgment's granted then they schedule a sheriff's sale in the
21 county where the property is located.

22 THE COURT: What, if anything, happens to the lis
23 pendens if the action is dismissed without prejudice?

24 THE WITNESS: If that action is dismissed without
25 prejudice then the lis pendens should be also discharged,

1 because it is specific to that action. It carries a caption
2 that has the file number unique to the original filing.

3 It's not unusual for a complaint to be dismissed for
4 one reason or another and then immediately refiled, but you
5 still have to discharge the lis pendens and then file a new
6 one.

7 THE COURT: In New Jersey how is a dismissal effected?

8 THE WITNESS: Of the foreclosure complaint?

9 THE COURT: Yes.

10 THE WITNESS: It can be by court order of the judge in
11 the chancery court. It could be by a voluntar -- voluntarily
12 withdrawing it.

13 THE COURT: So I'm not familiar with New Jersey State
14 Court procedure. So if a judge --

15 THE WITNESS: Okay. And foreclosure is not normal New
16 Jersey State Court procedure --

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 THE WITNESS: -- because it has that whole separate
19 foreclosure unit.

20 THE COURT: Just to give you an example. I may enter
21 an order that would provide for a complaint to be dismissed
22 without prejudice, but until somebody actually presents the
23 judgment it doesn't get dismissed. What documents are required
24 to actually effect the dismissal of a complaint in New Jersey
25 in connection with mortgage foreclosure?

1 THE WITNESS: The actual order from the judge in the
2 chancery court can act as a dismissal of the foreclosure
3 complaint. If it's an uncontested foreclosure, and it doesn't
4 go into chancery court, it stays in the foreclosure unit, then
5 a separate motion or -- to dismiss would need to be filed and
6 granted by the foreclosure unit.

7 THE COURT: What would be reflected on the docket in
8 the case when it's actually dismissed?

9 THE WITNESS: The order from a judge.

10 THE COURT: And what does one have to do to discharge
11 a lis pendens?

12 THE WITNESS: Execute a dischargeable lis pendens that
13 references the original filing information from the lis pendens
14 with the caption that matches the case, and it's recorded with
15 the land records of the county in which the property is
16 located.

17 THE COURT: Go ahead with your questioning, Mr. Reed.

18 BY MR. REED:

19 Q. So, Ms. Donati, the process you describe, an order is
20 issued to the firm that you worked for to dismiss the
21 foreclosure complaint. You received the order. You then, as a
22 matter of course and custom, followed -- dismissed that lis
23 pendens afterwards.

24 MS. HAGER: Objection. Leading.

25 THE COURT: Sustained. You have to ask a different

1 question, Mr. Reed.

2 (Pause)

3 Q. Ms. Donati, what would your firm do with regard to a lis
4 pendens when received in order to dismiss from the chancery
5 court?

6 MS. HAGER: Objection. Calls for speculation.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

8 A. When a case was dismissed, either with an order from the
9 chancery court or voluntarily, for one reason or another, by
10 the plaintiff, it would get in the queue for the preparation
11 and filing of a discharge of lis pendens, and that would be
12 sent to the county for reporting. Depending on the county, you
13 know, we would get it back in a few days, sometimes a few
14 weeks.

15 THE COURT: So I want to try to be sure that I
16 understand your testimony on this point. In the practice in
17 New Jersey, do I understand your testimony that a lis pendens
18 should be discharged when the action in which it has been
19 entered has been actually dismissed by the Court?

20 THE WITNESS: Yes. Dismissed by the chancery court or
21 dismissed through the foreclosure unit.

22 THE COURT: Bear with me a second, okay?

23 (Pause)

24 THE COURT: In order to determine the date the action
25 was dismissed, did you look at the Court docket?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, it would be in the order, whatever
2 the date of the order was, if the order dismissed it or the
3 filing of the notice of dismissal.

4 THE COURT: All right. Ask your next question,
5 Mr. Reed.

6 Q. Ms. Donati, would the receipt of the physical order with
7 the date of the dismissal trigger the action you described
8 customarily, the release of the lis pendens?

9 A. Yes, if the order said that foreclosure was dismissed,
10 then we would treat it as if it was a voluntary dismissal or
11 any other kind of dismissal of the case and we filed the
12 discharge of lis pendens and wind up the case.

13 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Reed.

14 MR. REED: Your Honor, believe it or not, I don't
15 think I have anything more.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Cross-examination?

17 CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 BY MS. HAGER:

19 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Donati.

20 A. Hi.

21 Q. You mentioned that you're presently employed by DuPont, is
22 that right?

23 A. I work for Kelly Legal Services.

24 Q. So you --

25 A. And I am in place at DuPont Corporation.

1 Q. You're a contract attorney, is that right?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And how long have you been a contract attorney?

4 A. Since March of 2014.

5 Q. Can you describe your duties at DuPont?

6 A. Yes, I prepare easements, ground leases, building leases,
7 review title, work with the title company for preparing
8 commitments for larger acquisitions, manage outside counsel.

9 Q. But nothing foreclosure related, right?

10 A. No.

11 Q. And are you presently licensed to practice in New Jersey?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Why did you leave Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg?

14 A. Because the opportunity at DuPont was more in line with
15 the career projectory I see for myself.

16 Q. When you were at Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg, you
17 represented mortgage lenders, services and banks, is that
18 right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And you managed foreclosure proceedings for your clients,
21 is that right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Did you ever represent GMAC Mortgage?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Did you ever represent Residential Funding Company?

1 A. Yes.

2 MS. HAGER: Your Honor, I would like to move to
3 disqualify the witness based on her prior representation of
4 GMAC Mortgage and RFC. Her testimony on behalf of claimants
5 creates a conflict of interest and violates the rules of
6 professional conduct. Specifically, RPC 1.9, Duties To Former
7 Clients: "(B) A lawyer shall not knowingly represent a person
8 in the same or substantially related matter in which a firm
9 with which the lawyer formerly was associated had previously
10 represented a client whose interests are materially adverse to
11 that person." And also, "(C) A lawyer who has formerly
12 represented a client in a matter or whose present or former
13 firm had formerly represented a client in a matter, shall not
14 thereafter (1) use information relating to the representation
15 to the disadvantage of the former client, except as these rules
16 would permit or require with respect to a client or when the
17 information has become generally known or (2) reveal
18 information relating to the representation except as these
19 rules would otherwise permit."

20 THE COURT: Did you represent GMAC or Residential
21 Funding in connection with any mortgage foreclosure action
22 against Mr. Reed?

23 THE WITNESS: No.

24 THE COURT: I didn't read in your motion in limine to
25 exclude Ms. Donati's testimony because of prior work that she

1 did for GMACM or RFC. Did I miss that?

2 MS. HAGER: It wasn't raised at that time because I
3 didn't know the answer to those questions.

4 THE COURT: All right.

5 MS. HAGER: I didn't know if she had represented those
6 companies or not.

7 THE COURT: I'll permit you to file a post-trial
8 memorandum of law addressing the issue. It does not appear to
9 me -- I'm not ruling at this point but Ms. Donati's testimony
10 talked about custom, practice, and usage in residential
11 mortgage foreclosure in New Jersey. She's not addressed in any
12 respect GMAC's mortgage foreclosure action with respect to the
13 Reeds.

14 I'm not ruling on it but I am going to give you --
15 you've raised this for the first time now. I'll give you an
16 opportunity. We can talk when the evidence is over about how
17 much time you want to file the memorandum. I'll take under
18 advisement whether or not to consider Ms. Donati's testimony.

19 MS. HAGER: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Do you wish to cross-examine?

21 MR. REED: Your Honor?

22 THE COURT: No, Mr. Reed.

23 Go ahead. Do you wish to cross-examine?

24 MS. HAGER: Well, I had some more preliminary
25 questions.

1 THE COURT: Do your cross-examination now. Do you
2 have cross-examination --

3 MS. HAGER: I do.

4 THE COURT: -- you want to do?

5 MS. HAGER: Of course.

6 THE COURT: Go ahead, let's go.

7 MS. HAGER: Sure.

8 BY MS. HAGER:

9 Q. Where did you attend law school?

10 A. Widener University.

11 Q. And what year did you start and when did you graduate?

12 A. I began in the -- Septem -- August of 2006 and I graduated
13 in May of 2009.

14 THE COURT: Just get yourself a little closer to the
15 microphone.

16 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.

17 THE COURT: I want to be sure that -- okay. Thank
18 you. You don't have to repeat anything.

19 THE WITNESS: Okay.

20 THE COURT: But go ahead.

21 Q. Your employment at Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg is your only
22 experience with foreclosures, right?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And are you a member of any professional or industry
25 organizations?

1 A. The New Jersey Bar Association and the Pennsylvania Bar
2 Association.

3 Q. Have you ever written or spoken professionally?

4 A. No.

5 Q. When were you retained to provide an expert report in this
6 case?

7 A. Exactly? July -- I'm not sure exactly the date.

8 Q. July of 2014?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And by whom were you retained?

11 A. Frank Reed.

12 Q. Have you ever spoken with Christina Reed?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Did Frank provide you -- did Mr. Reed provide you with any
15 facts or data about the case?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. What were they?

18 A. I was provided copies of the foreclosure complaint, the
19 note, the mortgage, the assignment, the lis pendens, and the
20 order from the judge in the foreclosure from 2008.

21 Q. Have you ever testified as an expert before?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Have you ever provided deposition testimony?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Would you agree with me that the right to foreclose

1 accrues upon default and the performance of conditions
2 precedent such as notice?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Are you aware of whether the Reeds were in default prior
5 to the foreclosure complaint being filed?

6 A. I did not see anything that indicated that.

7 Q. The lower court didn't hold that GMAC Mortgage failed to
8 prove standing, did it?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Which -- excuse me. Who is supposed to discharge a lis
11 pendens?

12 A. The party that recorded it.

13 Q. And when should that occur?

14 A. When the foreclosure case is dismissed.

15 Q. And on what authority do you base those responses?

16 A. On the practice of law for four-and-a-half years in --
17 four years in that -- and I can't tell you off the top of my
18 head what statutes or rules involved, but that is the practice.

19 Q. So as an expert on foreclosures in New Jersey, you must be
20 familiar with N.J.S.A. 2A:15-14 and 15-11 concerning lis
21 pendens, right?

22 A. I have seen them.

23 Q. What are those?

24 THE COURT: I'd like to see them though --

25 A. But I --

1 THE COURT: -- if you're going to ask questions about
2 it.

3 A. -- don't have them committed to memory.

4 THE COURT: Stop. Stop. If you wish to ask questions
5 about a specific statutory section, put it in front of the
6 witness and put a copy in front of me. Otherwise, I will not
7 permit you to question about it.

8 MS. HAGER: Sure. I do have an extra copy, if you
9 just bear with me a moment.

10 THE COURT: Yes.

11 MR. REED: Your Honor, do I get a copy?

12 THE COURT: You should.

13 MS. HAGER: I actually don't have enough copies for
14 everybody. Can I ask the question a different way?

15 THE COURT: You can ask.

16 MS. HAGER: Sure.

17 THE COURT: I'll see whether I let her answer it.

18 Q. Is it not the case that lis pendens are supposed to be
19 discharged when a judgment is made in favor of the defendant?

20 A. That -- if a judgment is made in favor of a defendant,
21 then a lis pendens should be discharged.

22 Q. So you agree with me then?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. And is it not the county clerk who is actually
25 supposed to discharge the lis pendens upon receipt of a

1 certified copy of the judgment?

2 A. That is one way it can be handled.

3 Q. Isn't that the only way it's described in the rule?

4 A. I don't know. I don't have the rule in front of me, but I
5 do know that at the conclusion of a foreclosure, a discharge of
6 lis pendens is filed -- is recorded on the land records.

7 Q. Because that's the way your former firm handled it, right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. At what point in a foreclosure proceeding would the county
10 clerk receive a certified copy of the judgment in favor of the
11 defendant?

12 A. At what point, if there was a judgment in favor of the
13 defendant would the county court (sic) recorder --

14 Q. Let me ask --

15 A. -- the county court or --

16 Q. I'll ask it again if that's okay.

17 A. Okay.

18 Q. At what point in a foreclosure proceeding would the county
19 clerk receive a certified copy of the judgment?

20 A. After we received -- after the law firm received it from
21 the court.

22 Q. After the law firm received what from the court?

23 A. The certified copy of the judgment.

24 Q. Are you aware of when the Reeds' foreclosure action was
25 dismissed by the court?

1 A. I do not know the date.

2 Q. Are you aware of whether or not it says in the rule
3 regarding lis pendens that the plaintiff is obligated to
4 discharge the lis pendens?

5 A. Do I know where that is?

6 Q. Sorry. I'll just rephrase it for you. Does it say
7 anywhere in the rule regarding lis pendens that the plaintiff
8 is obligated to discharge the lis pendens?

9 A. I do not know off the top of my head.

10 Q. Are you aware of how long a lis pendens remains effective
11 in New Jersey?

12 A. Five years.

13 MS. HAGER: Thank you. I don't have anything further.

14 THE COURT: So Ms. Donati, did you see Judge
15 Hogan's -- a copy -- with respect to this matter, did you see
16 Judge Hogan's decision?

17 THE WITNESS: I did see it.

18 THE COURT: Okay. And that decision is dated February
19 6, 2009. I think the issue arises here that the clerk's office
20 didn't enter a dismissal of the complaint -- of the case, of
21 the foreclosure case until August 9th, 2013. Why, I have no
22 idea. But the --

23 THE WITNESS: That's a long time.

24 THE COURT: -- dismissal wasn't entered until August
25 9th, 2013. That's at least why I asked some questions earlier

1 about when the lis pendens should be discharged. Judge Hogan
2 wrote a decision but the judgment -- a dismissal wasn't entered
3 until years later. Why, I don't know. But I take it your
4 understanding is it's when the judgment of dismissal is
5 entered, that's when the lis pendens should be discharged.

6 THE WITNESS: Yes.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 Mr. Reed, do you have any additional questions?

9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. REED:

11 Q. I'm trying to understand the terminology. I think Ms.
12 Donati, you had said when you received an order from the judge
13 dismissing the case is when you would proceed with dismissing
14 the lis pendens.

15 A. That is what we did, yes. I --

16 MR. REED: Your Honor, I would like to ask the witness
17 about preparation of filing foreclosure complaints, moving away
18 from the lis pendens.

19 Q. Would your firm routinely -- can you explain any process,
20 if at all, relating to obligations under New Jersey Fair
21 Foreclosure Act and filing a foreclosure action?

22 MS. HAGER: Objection. It exceeds the scope of cross-
23 exam.

24 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection. Go
25 ahead.

1 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I didn't hear.

2 THE COURT: I overruled the objection.

3 THE WITNESS: Okay. The Fair Foreclosure Act calls
4 for a notice of intent to foreclose which is a letter sent to
5 the borrower letting them know that they're in default, who the
6 lender is, how much would be required to cure the default and
7 other information. And it gives them thirty days to cure the
8 default before a foreclosure can be initiated.

9 We -- part of the pre-filing procedure for a
10 foreclosure action would be to make sure that the notice of
11 intent to foreclose was sent and that the thirty days had run.
12 So we would not file prior to the thirty days expiring.
13 Usually we would give them thirty-five days.

14 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Reed?

15 MR. REED: I can't -- I can't -- my understanding is I
16 can't discuss the actual -- my foreclosure.

17 THE COURT: That's correct.

18 MR. REED: And any questions about the --

19 THE COURT: I'm not going to allow you to elicit any
20 opinion testimony from Ms. Donati.

21 Look, Mr. Reed, the Trust doesn't -- is not
22 contesting, for the purposes of this matter, that the FFA
23 notice was not given and that's the reason that Judge Hogan
24 entered his decision to dismiss the matter without prejudice.
25 Am I correct in that, Ms. Hager?

1 MS. HAGER: That's correct, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. So this doesn't serve any purpose.

3 MR. REED: Okay.

4 THE COURT: Okay? Do you have anything else you want
5 to ask her about?

6 MR. REED: I think -- I was going to just ask
7 questions about the assignment of the mortgage certificate in
8 relation to the filing of the foreclosure, the date but I --
9 like I said, I'm trying to understand that I can't talk about
10 my specific foreclosure action.

11 THE COURT: I think she's already testified about what
12 her custom and practice was with respect to when a lender would
13 ask that a foreclosure action be commenced, what the custom and
14 practice was with reviewing the note, the mortgage, any
15 assignment. Is there something beyond that you want to --
16 she's already testified about that.

17 MR. REED: I don't -- I don't think so.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Because ordinarily, you call a
19 witness, you ask -- and I'm giving you some leeway on this, you
20 ask your questions, then the other side cross-examines as
21 Ms. Hager did. Then ordinarily any redirect is limited to the
22 scope of the cross-examination. You can't go back over a new
23 area and things like that.

24 MR. REED: So cover every new --

25 THE COURT: I've given you some -- I've tried to give

1 you some leeway. I've overruled the objections about beyond
2 the scope of the cross, but Ms. Donati already did testify --

3 MR. REED: Okay.

4 THE COURT: -- about the procedures with respect to
5 looking as to whether there was an assignment or an endorsement
6 of the note or whether it was endorsed in blank. I have that
7 clearly in mind.

8 Is there something else you wanted to ask about?

9 MR. REED: No.

10 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Hager, is there anything else
11 you want to ask?

12 MS. HAGER: No, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: All right.

14 MS. HAGER: Thank you.

15 THE COURT: You're excused. Thank you very much for
16 your testimony.

17 All right. And you have another witness here?
18 Somebody else came in while we were --

19 MR. REED: He did. He did, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: And who is that?

21 MR. REED: This is Evan Hendricks.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hendricks, do you want --
23 you're going to call him as a witness, I take it then.

24 MR. REED: Yes.

25 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hendricks, would you come

1 on up and be sworn. If you would go up there and stand there
2 and raise your right hand. Just want to make sure the
3 microphone is picking up your response. Okay. All right.

4 (Witness sworn)

5 THE COURT: All right. Please have a seat.

6 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

7 THE COURT: If you would state and spell your full
8 name.

9 THE WITNESS: My name is Evan Hendricks, E-V-A-N,
10 H-E-N-D-R-I-C-K-S.

11 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Hendricks. And
12 just so you understand, Mr. Reed hadn't completed his direct
13 testimony, but when we broke for lunch, I indicated that I
14 would take witnesses out of order, so they wouldn't have to
15 wait while Mr. Reed completed. So you were here, I think, when
16 Ms. Donati was testifying. So now I'll permit Mr. Reed to ask
17 his questions of you.

18 Go ahead, Mr. Reed.

19 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 MR. REED: Your Honor, I have to get my computer
21 because the -- I just realized the reference material is on the
22 actual computer.

23 THE COURT: I'll tell you what, you stay there. I'll
24 ask one of my law clerks if you don't mind them bringing it
25 back to you, so you don't have to get it. It's the red-covered

1 computer on the ledge there, right?

2 MR. REED: Yes.

3 THE COURT: Okay. They'll bring it back to you.

4 (Pause)

5 THE WITNESS: I'm a bit out of order; is there any
6 water available in the courtroom?

7 THE COURT: We'll have to get you some.

8 THE WITNESS: Thanks. Sorry. I just got off the
9 train, so I haven't had a chance to --

10 DIRECT EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. REED:

12 Q. Mr. Hendricks, can you state your name for the Court?

13 THE COURT: He did already.

14 MR. REED: He did.

15 Q. Your residence?

16 THE COURT: No, we --

17 MR. REED: Oh, you don't --

18 THE COURT: Yes, go ahead. Tell him --

19 THE WITNESS: I --

20 THE COURT: Give me your office or your home,
21 whichever you prefer.

22 THE WITNESS: I live in Bethesda, Maryland.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 THE WITNESS: Street address.

25 THE COURT: All right.

1 Q. Would you care to share with the Court your experience as
2 an expert witness in matters of banking and public records?

3 A. Sure. I --

4 THE COURT: Let me ask you this --

5 THE WITNESS: Sure.

6 THE COURT: -- before you do that: tell me what you
7 understand you're here to testify as an expert about. What is
8 the subject of your --

9 THE WITNESS: The effect of the public record filing,
10 specifically to lis pendens on his -- the -- in the foreclosure
11 of his property and the -- what -- you know, what GMAC's
12 practice and pattern was in relation to that information and
13 that kind of activity. And the impact it had on his ability to
14 sell his house and on the foreseeability of the damage that
15 would cause him on behalf of GMAC.

16 THE COURT: All right. We'll take it one step at a
17 time.

18 THE WITNESS: Okay.

19 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Reed. What is your question
20 of Mr. Hendricks?

21 MR. REED: I understand that Mr. Hendricks said we
22 would like to have him talk about his experience.

23 Q. First off, have you ever testified in a court in regard to
24 these issues that you just described to the Judge?

25 A. Yes, sir. I have been qualified more than twenty times,

1 testified in federal and state court. They involve the
2 personal information that affects people's financial standing,
3 their creditworthiness. Many of the cases involve public
4 records because foreclosures and tax liens are very -- have a
5 negative impact on someone's ability to close a transaction.

6 And so I have a lot of specialized knowledge in that field
7 and I -- one of the ways I've built that up was I was editor
8 and publisher of a newsletter, specialized newsletter, for
9 thirty-three years dealing with information, law and policy,
10 including the use of public records in consumer transactions,
11 the public access to that information, what kind of personal
12 information and public records is available like foreclosure
13 information, property records. So I have a lot of specialized
14 knowledge in that area and that's why I serve as a specialized
15 knowledge expert in cases involving personal information, a lot
16 of times in credit reporting.

17 THE COURT: I don't know whether you -- have you seen
18 my order on the motion in limine?

19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I know we're not talking
20 about credit reporting today and so I am here to talk
21 specifically about the public record and its impact on that
22 transaction and that house. And I know there's other limits in
23 your order.

24 THE COURT: All right.

25 Mr. Reed, go ahead with your questioning.

1 Q. Mr. Hendricks, could you describe, in particular, the
2 effects of the public record of a lis pendens on the
3 alienability of the property either through selling or
4 financing?

5 A. Thank you, and this is what I am here to testify about is
6 that a lis pendens and a foreclosure brings a transaction to a
7 halt because no mortgage lender or a banker can approve a
8 credit application or any sort of transaction when there's that
9 sort of public record existing and they find out about it
10 because they use services that notify them of it, as soon as
11 it's feasibly possible.

12 So it's figuratively like a -- it's hanging a scarlet
13 letter around a consumer who has one of these foreclosures or
14 other figurative phrase, it throws them in credit jail and
15 there's no get out of jail card.

16 Q. Mr. Hendricks, you spoke of the consumer but does it have
17 an effect on the property itself, as well?

18 A. Yes, it locks up the property. It basically puts it in
19 the deep freeze, so no transaction can take place for that
20 property because it's -- I'm not a lawyer but it's encumbered.
21 I don't know if that's the right term but it's simply not free
22 to be used in a transaction.

23 THE COURT: Well, a mortgage encumbers a property as
24 well, right?

25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Reed.

2 THE WITNESS: But this is a special sort of -- again,
3 a scarlet letter on -- which ties up that property.

4 THE COURT: Go ahead with your question, Mr. Reed.

5 THE WITNESS: Sorry.

6 Q. Is it fair to say that a lis pendens signifies that a
7 dispute is happening over the title of the property? That's
8 the role of the lis pendens?

9 MS. HAGER: Objection. Leading.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

11 A. It certainly indicates that a dispute is likely. It
12 doesn't a hundred percent confirm there's a dispute but it
13 definitely confirms that a dispute is likely, yes.

14 Q. You -- Mr. Hendricks, you live in Maryland and you have
15 traveled the country, so the rules may be different from state
16 to state on lis pendens, is that correct?

17 A. Right. But there are also -- most of the rules
18 supplement. If there's a state rule and there's federal rule,
19 it's usually -- one doesn't trump or pre-empt the other. It's
20 usually -- they build on each other, so the rights are
21 cumulative.

22 Q. Since it's a public -- a lis pendens is a public record,
23 would -- regular individuals would be able to learn of that
24 information as well, would they not?

25 A. If it's a -- as a public record, yes, if they knew where

1 to look and how to get it. Yes, they would be able to access
2 that sort of public record.

3 Q. To your knowledge, are there services that report those
4 public records to anyone who may be interested in knowing that
5 information?

6 A. Yes, it's a standard industry practice for major creditors
7 to subscribe to services that will notify them of foreclosures
8 and bankruptcies are two of the leading categories that
9 creditors want to know about, especially for existing
10 customers. So that's something that's now -- it used to be if
11 it was just in a court record somewhere, they might not know
12 about it but now there's services that bring it -- push that
13 information to them almost -- very promptly, if not
14 immediately.

15 Q. Are you aware if there are any such services accessible to
16 the general public? I'll let you answer that question.

17 A. I am more familiar with the industry using them. I'm not
18 sure to the extent that there's one that's mainly designed for
19 the general public. But it is publicly available information,
20 so it's conceivable.

21 Q. Are you -- would it surprise you if there was services
22 like this employed or used by realtors to determine properties
23 subject to public records recorded against them?

24 A. No, that -- that wouldn't surprise me because they're
25 central to the industry and they're industry participants, just

1 like a lender like GMAC would use this sort of thing because
2 they would not want to and would not approve any sort of loan
3 or transaction to someone who has a lis pendens pending.

4 Q. The recordation of a lis pendens, is it fair to say that
5 that would send or can it send any kind of a message to the
6 public, as it's a public record, that the owner of that
7 property is in distress -- financial distress?

8 MS. HAGER: Objection. Leading and calls for
9 speculation.

10 THE COURT: Sustained. Do you have another question,
11 Mr. Reed?

12 MR. REED: I'm trying to think of how I can rephrase
13 that, Your Honor, if I understand the objection.

14 Q. Mr. Hendricks, you mentioned that filing of a lis pendens
15 and foreclosure actions foreseeably can cause harm. Could you
16 elaborate?

17 THE COURT: He didn't say that.

18 A. I can elaborate.

19 THE COURT: Ask another question, Mr. Reed.

20 Q. Filing of a foreclosure and a lis pendens does have harm
21 or -- to the property and owner of that property, is that
22 correct?

23 A. Yes.

24 MS. HAGER: Objection.

25 A. Becau -- I'm sorry. Yes, it does because it ties up the

1 house -- a person's home is usually the most important asset
2 and when you have a lis pendens is completely ties up that
3 asset and makes it so you can't sell it, you can't borrow
4 against it and it --

5 THE COURT: Well, to sell it, he has to satisfy the
6 mortgage, correct?

7 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

8 THE COURT: And if there's a valid mortgage, you would
9 agree that that's an obligation that the mortgagor would have
10 to pay at the time they sell their house, correct?

11 THE WITNESS: Right. And I understand that was Mr.
12 Reed's plan provided this transaction was going to go through
13 that he was working on at the time.

14 THE COURT: Well --

15 THE WITNESS: That's my understanding, Your Honor; I'm
16 sorry.

17 THE COURT: That's what you're basing your opinion on?

18 THE WITNESS: That's certainly part of the basis for
19 my opinion, yes.

20 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Reed. Ask your next
21 question.

22 Q. Is it your understanding that -- from your experience,
23 does litigation over title and property, is that something
24 that's quickly or easily typically resolved?

25 A. No, not --

1 MS. HAGER: Objection. Leading and calls for
2 speculation.

3 THE COURT: Sustained.

4 Q. During 2008 and 2009, could you describe for the Court
5 your understanding of the foreclosure industry? I'll back that
6 up.

7 In your experience, Mr. Hendricks, why would a lender not
8 want to underwrite property because of a lis pendens or pending
9 litigation?

10 MS. HAGER: Objection. Leading. It calls for --

11 THE COURT: Sustained.

12 (Pause)

13 THE COURT: Do you have any additional questions, Mr.
14 Reed?

15 MR. REED: I do, Your Honor. It's just trying --
16 difficulty of my trying to phrase them in a way -- trying to
17 understand the -- I don't want to elicit objections because I
18 am not phrasing them correctly. I don't want to do something
19 inappropriate.

20 THE COURT: Tell me what it is you're trying to
21 inquire about, Mr. Reed. This is not a question to him.
22 You're telling me. Okay?

23 MR. REED: Um-hum.

24 THE COURT: What's the point you want to make?

25 MR. REED: Would a lender know, reasonably know, if

1 filing a foreclosure action is going to have a harmful effect
2 on the person who owned the property or the property value it's
3 of -- by way of -- you know, just by -- by putting it into the
4 public awareness that there is a debt collection activity on
5 the property and against the property owner. And what -- what
6 result of that -- in other words, is it -- would they happen to
7 know with -- of course, this is going to have some negative
8 impact on them -- on that person but it just doesn't happen in
9 a vacuum.

10 THE COURT: Do you have an opinion, Mr. Hendricks,
11 whether the filing of a lis pendens has an impact on the market
12 value of residential real estate? Just answer that yes or no.

13 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do have an opinion on that.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Don't tell me your opinion yet but
15 tell me what's the basis for your opinion?

16 THE WITNESS: The basis for my opinion is the
17 specialized knowledge I've accumulated following cases
18 involving mortgages, property sale and the impact that public
19 records with personal information has on those properties.

20 THE COURT: And does the filing of the lis pendens
21 have some different effect than simply if you had knowledge
22 that the borrower had defaulted on his mortgage?

23 THE WITNESS: Well, I mean that's a compound question.
24 Can I take it --

25 THE COURT: Well, I get to ask what I want to.

1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I know. Can I take it one at a
2 time then? The lis pendens is particularly damaging when, as
3 you just had another witness talk about and your question went
4 to this, and it's -- I think this is stipulated, when it's
5 not -- adequate notice is not given. So much of the -- the
6 regimes that I deal with are based on notice.

7 THE COURT: Look, the complaint was on file. It was
8 public. The issue about whether Mr. Reed received the notice,
9 that the New Jersey statute required thirty days in advance was
10 an issue in this case, but it's undisputed that Mr. Reed was in
11 default payment of his mortgage beginning in February of 2008.
12 I think that's also undisputed.

13 So my question is, does the filing of the lis pendens
14 have an effect that's different from the fact that the Reeds
15 had defaulted on their mortgage? The lis pendens was filed May
16 27th, 2008, months later.

17 THE WITNESS: My answer is yes.

18 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear your opinion.

19 THE WITNESS: Because it goes into this whole public
20 records regime which is then transferred into interested
21 stakeholders in the private sector, including lenders.

22 THE COURT: Well, no lender is going to lend to Mr.
23 Reed if he's been in default of his mortgage for five months
24 when he applies for refinance, are they?

25 THE WITNESS: Well, I mean, I think if -- in most

1 traditional circles probably not but I believe is Mr. Reed was
2 working with a lender he had a long track record with and that
3 that -- my understanding is that that lender told him that once
4 they --

5 THE COURT: Well, don't tell me what the lender told
6 him --

7 THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm sorry.

8 THE COURT: -- because he hasn't been able to put that
9 into evidence.

10 THE WITNESS: Okay. All right.

11 THE COURT: All right.

12 THE WITNESS: But that public record regime kicked in
13 and that's how that played a role in bringing a halt in this
14 transaction preventing him from --

15 THE COURT: That's contrary to the testimony this
16 morning.

17 THE WITNESS: Okay.

18 THE COURT: The testimony this morning about the
19 transactions which Mr. Reed had entered into, the first buyer
20 failed to close and was able to recover his deposit. The
21 second buyer defaulted and Mr. Reed had to -- I heard a lot of
22 testimony about it and there was no testimony that the second
23 borrower didn't go forward and close the purchase because of
24 anything related to a mortgage foreclosure action. So you're
25 assuming that Mr. Reed lost a sale because of mortgage

1 foreclosure?

2 THE WITNESS: No, I think it was a refinance or some
3 sort of a financial arrangement that he was going to have with
4 the lender he had been working with at TD Bank.

5 THE COURT: And do you think -- well, never mind.

6 THE WITNESS: And I apologize because I thought I was
7 testifying tomorrow, so I didn't have time to prepare that I
8 normally would, sir.

9 THE COURT: Well, it's -- go ahead with your
10 questions, Mr. Reed.

11 THE WITNESS: Obviously my opinions have to square
12 with the facts of the case.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 THE WITNESS: And that -- but you asked about two
15 things and I'm thinking of something that I think happened
16 after those two --

17 THE COURT: And what's -- go ahead and tell me.

18 THE WITNESS: Well, my understanding is that -- and I
19 wish I was here to hear the testimony as well, that TD Bank was
20 looking to do a -- some sort of finance or loan processing with
21 Mr. Reed when TD Bank discovered, through the public records
22 regime, the lis pendens. And then that brought it to a halt.

23 THE COURT: And do you think that TD Bank would have
24 asked whether he's current in his mortgage payments before they
25 agreed to do a refinance?

1 THE WITNESS: I think they would have, yes.

2 THE COURT: Yes. And so Mr. Reed's testimony this
3 morning as about discussions with TD Bank before any
4 foreclosure action was filed.

5 Ask your next question, Mr. Reed. Let's get on with
6 this.

7 (Pause)

8 THE COURT: Do you have a question, Mr. Reed?

9 MR. REED: Yes, I am trying to formulate it, Your
10 Honor.

11 BY MR. REED:

12 Q. In your experience with the -- the existence of a
13 foreclosure action and its associated lis pendens on a
14 property --

15 MR. REED: I don't know if this is an allowable
16 question, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Well go ahead and ask it and we'll see.

18 Q. -- signify to a buyer or a buyer's realtor that there may
19 be a more involved process in the sale of a property than a
20 property that didn't have such a recordation?

21 MS. HAGER: Objection. Calls for speculation.

22 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.

23 A. Yes, it does, just like you do title searches to see if
24 properties have liens on them. This is a same, parallel
25 situation. Yes, it would show that this is -- the transaction

1 is not going to go through quickly.

2 Q. Would the filer of that foreclosure and associated lis
3 pendens likely be aware of that effect?

4 A. Yes, it's foreseeable that it's going to be a financial
5 servicing mortgage company that's going to file that sort of
6 thing and they -- if they're on the other end looking at a
7 consumer or a property that had that, they know that they would
8 not do -- they would not do that transaction either. So it
9 would be no mystery to an entity like GMAC, and GMAC, there was
10 a lot of -- GMAC was recklessly foreclosing on lots of people
11 at this time and was being investigated by the government for
12 it and was the subject of an audit by the Treasury Department.
13 So this was part of a pattern and practice of GMAC's actions
14 where -- during that period of time where they were recklessly
15 foreclosing on people.

16 MS. HAGER: Objection to the witness' testimony as far
17 as the --

18 THE COURT: Objection is sustained and the testimony
19 is stricken. There's no foundation. It's beyond his
20 permissible scope of expertise.

21 MR. REED: What -- Your Honor, he strayed there.

22 THE COURT: Ask your next question, Mr. Reed.

23 MR. REED: Your Honor, I'm unsure what was stricken.

24 THE COURT: His whole answer was stricken, Mr. Reed.

25 Ask your next question.

1 (Pause)

2 MR. REED: Your Honor, I would like you to turn
3 Exhibit 5 and enter it into evidence. Ms. Hager agreed to
4 allow this. I don't know if she has any objection now, but she
5 agreed to allow it.

6 MS. HAGER: No objection, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 5, which is the
8 Interagency Review Of Foreclosure Policies And Practices,
9 Federal Reserve System, Office of the Comptroller of The
10 Currency, Office of Thrift Supervision and the cover has a date
11 of April 2011, is admitted into evidence.

12 (Intragency Review of Foreclosure Policies and Practices was
13 hereby received into evidence as Reed's Exhibit 5, as of this
14 date.)

15 MR. REED: Your Honor, may I approach the witness to
16 allow him to review the document?

17 THE COURT: Sure.

18 MR. REED: This was provided to the witness in
19 preparation towards --

20 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. If you want to -- we've
21 got to make sure we get you on --

22 MR. REED: Yes.

23 THE COURT: -- the sound system, so -- all right.

24 Mr. Reed has placed Exhibit 5 in evidence before the
25 witness.

1 Go ahead with your questioning, Mr. Reed.

2 BY MR. REED:

3 Q. Mr. Hendricks, is this the report that you were referring
4 to when you said that GMAC was one of many firms under
5 investigation by an agency of the government?

6 A. This is one of the reports that I reviewed which covered
7 this period, but in my expert report, I also cited a report
8 that specifically cited an audit of GMAC by an agent of the
9 Treasury Department which found all sorts of irregularities
10 with GMAC's foreclosure which is in -- basically supplements
11 or, you know, complements this report on the problems with
12 foreclosure across the industry.

13 MS. HAGER: Objection to any testimony stemming from a
14 report which is inadmissible.

15 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule that objection. The
16 report to which he refers is not in evidence, other than this
17 report itself. You know, what he said specifically about GMAC
18 being discussed in another report, that part is inadmissible,
19 but I'm going to let the answer stand and give appropriate
20 weight to the portion of it that remains.

21 Go ahead, Mr. Reed. Let's go.

22 (Audio resumes mid-sentence)

23 Q. -- of these -- of this particular report -- put on any
24 heightened notice that their actions could cause harm?

25 MS. HAGER: Objection.

1 THE COURT: Sustained.

2 Mr. Reed, you just put in evidence a report from April
3 2011. The lis pendens about which you complain was entered in
4 May 2008. How a report from 2011 would impact what GMACM did
5 in 2008 is not something -- you've drawn no logical connection
6 and I am going to exclude that -- that's why I am sustaining
7 the objection to the question, okay?

8 MR. REED: Your Honor, the basis of the report --

9 THE COURT: I don't want to hear any argument. Ask
10 your next question.

11 (Pause)

12 MR. REED: Your Honor, I think -- I don't think I have
13 anything more.

14 THE COURT: Cross-examination?

15 CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 BY MS. HAGER:

17 Q. Good afternoon. How are you aware of Mr. Reed's
18 relationship with TD Bank?

19 A. Through my discussions with Mr. Reed and through documents
20 that he provided.

21 Q. The majority of your prior testimony in other matters
22 related to credit reports, isn't that right?

23 A. A lot of were credit reporting cases involving credit
24 reports and some of them involved the use of public records,
25 yes.

1 Q. How often have you been involved with matters involving
2 lis pendens in New Jersey?

3 A. In terms of a specific case involving a lis pendens in New
4 Jersey, this is the first one that I remember of all the cases
5 I've been involved in.

6 Q. And have you ever prepared a study of the effect of the
7 lis pendens on market value of property?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Isn't a lis pendens simply a notice to third parties?

10 A. It's -- yes, it's a notice, it's a public notice, yes.

11 Q. And it puts parties on notice of litigation between
12 parties, isn't that right?

13 A. Yes, that's part of it. Yes.

14 Q. And couldn't a potential creditor simply ask the potential
15 borrower about the lis pendens?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. It's not necessarily something that precludes a potential
18 borrower from getting a loan, is it?

19 A. The lis pendens, is that your question?

20 Q. Right, the question is does a lis pendens necessarily
21 preclude a lender from lending to a potential borrower?

22 A. Effectively, yes, it does, because no underwriter would
23 approve a loan if there's a lis pendens on a property.

24 Q. But didn't you just testify that a potential creditor
25 could have a discussion with the potential borrower about the

1 facts surrounding the lis pendens?

2 A. Oh, sure, of course they could; but that's not -- that
3 wasn't your last question.

4 Q. You testified that you can't sell a house when there's a
5 lis pendens. Why is that?

6 A. For the reason that you can't get the transaction
7 approved. The underwriter won't approve the transaction, the
8 application for credit.

9 Q. What if the potential buyer had cash?

10 A. Right, if it's all cash between two parties and I suppose
11 that's, you know, in the category of anything's possible, yes.

12 Q. Well, it's not actually an impediment to closing, right?

13 A. Well, I mean in the real world it is, yes.

14 Q. It is simply a notice, isn't it?

15 A. Well, it's a notice of a very major problem.

16 Q. A lis pendens is not the same thing as a lien, is that
17 right?

18 A. I don't believe so, no.

19 Q. So let me just make sure I understood your answer. A lis
20 pendens is not the same thing as a lien, correct?

21 A. A lien is a lien and a lis pendens and a lis pendens.

22 Q. They're different?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Right. Okay. Thank you. I don't have anything further.

25 A. Thank you.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Reed, any further examination? It has
2 to relate to the subject of Ms. Hager's questions.

3 MR. REED: Yes.

4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. REED:

6 Q. A lis pendens indicates a potential lien, is that correct?

7 A. I think that's a fair interpretation, yes.

8 Q. It has to be resolved by the litigation that it's
9 associated with?

10 A. That's my understanding, yes.

11 Q. What you testified earlier is typically understood to be a
12 complicated -- signifies a complicated matter is pending
13 regards to the property?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. So the reverse of that, it's not a simple scenario
16 regarding the title of --

17 A. Right.

18 Q. -- the property.

19 A. Right. It's not a typical residential mortgage or
20 residential property transaction, yes.

21 MR. REED: Your Honor, I have nothing further.

22 THE COURT: Isn't any proposed sale transaction where
23 there's a mortgage on the property, the buyer is going to
24 insist on having a payoff demand from the existing mortgagee,
25 so that the mortgage is satisfied and released when the

1 transaction is closed, correct?

2 THE WITNESS: If I understand your question, I think I
3 agree. No buyer is going to want to just take on an unpaid
4 mortgage as part of buying a property.

5 THE COURT: Whether there was a lis pendens or not,
6 whenever a buyer is buying a property that's subject to a
7 mortgage, whether it's through an escrow agent or lawyers who
8 were closing the transaction, you get a payoff demand with
9 respect to the outstanding mortgages or liens on the property,
10 correct?

11 THE WITNESS: I believe that's correct. I --

12 THE COURT: And the buyer wants to be sure that the
13 existing liens are satisfied unless he's taking -- unless he
14 expressly agrees to take subject to, the buyer wants to be sure
15 that existing liens are satisfied when the transaction closes,
16 correct?

17 THE WITNESS: I believe that's a fair description,
18 yes.

19 THE COURT: All right. And so when a mortgagee has
20 filed a lis pendens and a foreclosure action, a buyer can still
21 close on the property and get a payoff demand to find out what
22 is required to satisfy the existing liens and mortgages on the
23 property, correct?

24 THE WITNESS: I think, theoretically, that's happened
25 but in the real world and my understanding -- the reason I'm

1 here is that my understanding is that lis pendens basically
2 convinced TD Bank that it cannot go ahead what it was
3 borrowing --

4 THE COURT: Well, you've said that, but I don't have
5 any evidence of that.

6 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. That's why I have
7 to -- that's what -- my understanding is why I am here --

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 THE WITNESS: -- that that public record regime --

10 THE COURT: Right.

11 THE WITNESS: -- kicked in and prevented that
12 transaction, interfered with the contract.

13 THE COURT: All right. Any other questions?

14 MS. HAGER: No, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Mr. Reed?

16 MR. REED: No.

17 THE COURT: All right. You're excused.

18 THE WITNESS: Can I clarify one further thing?

19 THE COURT: Go ahead.

20 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate the
21 indulgence. GMAC was cited in this report. The one I cited in
22 my expert report is more specific to GMAC, so they are in both
23 of them.

24 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.

25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1 THE COURT: All right.

2 THE WITNESS: Thanks for the water.

3 THE COURT: Okay. You're welcome.

4 All right. Mr. Reed, other than your testimony, do
5 you have any other witnesses you're going to call?

6 MR. REED: I was hoping that I can convince Ms. Carter
7 to appear. I have someone working on that. I don't know the
8 effort because I don't have the phone. I can't have access to
9 my phone and I would love to check that.

10 THE COURT: All right. Here's what we're going to do.
11 We're going to take a recess until 4 o'clock, Mr. Reed. You'll
12 resume the witness stand. And we need to move forward
13 expeditiously with the rest of your direct testimony and then
14 Ms. Hager can cross-examine and we'll see where we go to at the
15 end of the day, okay? All right.

16 So we're in recess until 4 o'clock.

17 (Recess from 3:42 p.m. until 3:59 p.m.)

18 THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.

19 Mr. Reed, you're going to resume the witness stand.

20 MR. REED: Your Honor, I'm troubled by the TD Bank
21 evidence.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Reed, are you going to resume the
23 witness stand?

24 MR. REED: Okay. I didn't know how we addressed that.

25 THE COURT: All right. While you're standing there,

1 Ms. Hager you've seen the affidavit from TD Bank. Do you
2 object to the admission of the August 20, 2012 letter from
3 Mr. Reed to Mr. Curley, and Mr. Curley's August 20th, 2012
4 letter to Mr. Reed, and the July 8th, 2014 letter from
5 Mr. Curley, To Whom It May Concern?

6 MS. HAGER: Well, Your Honor, I don't have an
7 objection to the letter from Mr. Reed to TD Bank. As I told
8 Mr. Reed the other day, that's a letter from him and he's here
9 to testify about it.

10 I still have the same objections to the two TD Bank
11 letters that I had when we were here last Monday when Your
12 Honor clearly --

13 THE COURT: No, the only thing we talked about on
14 Monday was authenticity. We didn't talk about any other
15 objections that you might have. So what are your -- tell me
16 specifically to -- the basis for your objection to the August
17 20, 2012 letter from Mr. Curley to Mr. Reed.

18 MS. HAGER: Sure, the particular letter that's
19 attached to what was handed to me today is actually a bad copy
20 and I don't know if this is what came from TD Bank's records or
21 not. This is what appears to have been attached to the
22 business records affidavit which was transmitted pursuant to
23 the subpoena which, by the way, was not served on me pursuant
24 to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 45(a)(4).

25 I didn't have an opportunity to see this previously.

1 I didn't have an opportunity to depose Ms. Morgan. I don't
2 know if this was a copy of the letter that came from her file.
3 I do question why it's a bad copy because there are actually
4 better copies that I've seen.

5 THE COURT: Well, look --

6 MS. HAGER: With respect to the content of the
7 document itself, this is a letter that purports to be an
8 explanation as to why Mr. Reed was denied refinancing and it's
9 dated four years after -- more than four years after he
10 testified he applied for the loan, which is atypical and runs
11 afoul of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act.

12 So I do have doubts as to the authenticity of the
13 letter and I have the same issue with the July 8th, 2014 letter
14 which basically addresses the concern or tries to address the
15 concern I have with the 2012 letter. It says well, no, that
16 August 20th, 2012 letter's just fine.

17 And so, you know, the specific comment I think I made
18 on Monday with respect to the July 8th letter has also to do
19 with the formatting of the letter and the font. You'll notice
20 that the font on the date is different than the font on the
21 body of the letter. This was something that was, though dated
22 July 8th, was provided to me on the last day of discovery and I
23 suspect that was to preclude a chance for me to depose
24 Mr. Curley about it, but nevertheless, I have my concerns as to
25 the authenticities of both letters, notwithstanding the fact

1 that there's a business records affidavit attached to them now.

2 THE COURT: Well --

3 MS. HAGER: I don't --

4 THE COURT: Your objection is that to -- all right.

5 Let me take this in pieces. With respect to the objection as
6 to the authenticity of the three letters attached to Ms.
7 Morgan's declaration, the objection to authenticity is
8 overruled on the basis of Federal Rule of Evidence 902(8),
9 "Acknowledged documents: A document accompanied by a
10 certificate of acknowledgement that is lawfully executed by a
11 notary public or another officer who is authorized to take
12 acknowledgements."

13 I will accept Ms. Morgan's declaration which is under
14 penalty of perjury. It satisfies the authentication
15 requirement.

16 However, the three documents -- Mr. Reed's letter of
17 August 20th, 2012, Mr. Curley's letter of August 20th, 2012 and
18 Mr. Curley's letter of July 8th, 2014 -- are all hearsay, and
19 despite Ms. Morgan's declaration, it's clear from the face of
20 the documents that they do not satisfy Federal Rule of Evidence
21 803(6), Records of a regularly conducted activity, which reads,
22 "A record of an act, event, condition, opinion or diagnosis if
23 (a) the record was made at or near the time by or from
24 information transmitted by someone with knowledge, (b) the
25 record was kept in the ordinary course of a regularly conducted

1 activity of a business organization, occupation or calling,
2 whether or not for profit, (c) making the record was a regular
3 practice of that activity". It goes on with additional
4 subsections.

5 The key letters in this chain are the two letters from
6 Mr. Curley dated August 20th, 2012 and July 8th, 2014, both
7 relating to facts that transpired in early 2008. Therefore,
8 neither of these letters is a record that was made at or near
9 the time by someone with knowledge. Neither letter satisfies
10 the business records exception to the hearsay rule.

11 No other basis -- authenticity only gets you over the
12 first leg of this school, Mr. Reed. When this matter was
13 presented to me and what I understood Ms. Hager was -- the sole
14 basis for objection was authenticity, I told you to go get the
15 affidavit from TD Bank, and you did. And if what this was, if
16 what had been attached was a document from 2008 at TD Bank that
17 had recorded the reason for their declining to refinance the
18 loan, having overruled the authenticity objection, I probably
19 would have found that the business records exception was
20 satisfied. The documents are clearly hearsay.

21 So with respect to authenticity, I overrule the
22 objection, but the documents are clearly hearsay. They don't
23 fall within any recognized exception to the rules on admitting
24 of hearsay evidence. Consequently, those exhibits are excluded
25 from evidence.

1 MS. HAGER: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Reed, resume the witness stand.

3 MR. REED: Your Honor, Mr. Curley has actually --
4 he'll be able to come to court tomorrow, Your Honor, if
5 necessary, and was listed as a witness regarding the letters.

6 THE COURT: Ms. Hager, is Mr. Curley listed on the
7 witness list?

8 MS. HAGER: No, Your Honor. Mr. Curley is not listed
9 on the witness list. The most recent witness list in a generic
10 way does list a TD Bank representative for document
11 authentication but we've just addressed authentication.

12 THE COURT: Mr. Reed, when we finished with your
13 testimony before the lunch recess, you had provided the Court
14 with testimony regarding the Jacobses' proposed transaction,
15 and the Weaver/Cooper transaction. You had also covered a few
16 other subjects in your testimony.

17 I'm not intending to review what you did or didn't
18 discuss. But I want you to pick up with your narrative
19 testimony, mindful of what I also said before the break, the
20 focus needs to be on your claims against GMAC. So go ahead,
21 Mr. Reed.

22 (Pause)

23 MR. REED: I'm trying to go back now, Your Honor, to
24 that time period.

25 (Pause)

1 MR. REED: So Mr. Weaver, Mr. Cooper -- I'm sorry,
2 Your Honor. It's taking me a moment to compose --

3 THE COURT: Well, that's okay. I think what you
4 just -- you had also introduced Exhibit 3.

5 MR. REED: Exhibit 3.

6 THE COURT: The portion of it that came into evidence,
7 which was the Roccisano offer, and you told me that you had not
8 come to an agreement with the Roccisanos. And that offer is
9 dated March 20th, 2010. So I think you had -- I mean, if
10 there's something you want to fill in, that's okay, but I think
11 you had brought that chronology up to that point.

12 (Pause)

13 MR. REED: Ms. Hager, you have an -- you have an
14 example. You talk about completeness.

15 Your Honor, there is a -- an additional proposed
16 contract regarding this offer that I think the Trust has, that
17 I don't have. It might help me refresh my memory in that, and
18 I don't know if I can, you know, look at that. And then, I
19 have a copy, and then -- I don't know if it's better to put
20 that into evidence. I don't know if I have to yet, but I'm --
21 I would like to look at that for a minute. That's --
22 there's -- there's -- I think the discussion with the
23 Roccisanos took place over an extended period of time.

24 MS. HAGER: Your Honor, that -- I believe that
25 Mr. Reed's referring to our Exhibit DD.

1 THE COURT: DD? Let me look at it. Thank you, Ms.
2 Hager.

3 (Pause)

4 THE COURT: Carol, will you bring it to Mr. Reed?

5 MR. REED: I'm sorry?

6 THE COURT: Is that what you were referring to?

7 MR. REED: Yeah, yes. Yes, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Okay, so Exhibit DD is a proposal to
9 purchase from the Roccisanos. And at the bottom, it's dated
10 June 12th, 2010. Is that an offer that you received from the
11 Roccisanos?

12 MR. REED: I -- I think so, Your Honor. I do not have
13 a clear recollection of that, but I believe the Trust has some
14 information on it from the realtor. The realtor's office
15 retained those documents, so I believe so. If we look at it,
16 there was a -- the original offer was in March 2010, and then
17 there was an additional offer in -- that's June.

18 THE COURT: That's what this is.

19 MR. REED: Yeah, three months later.

20 THE COURT: You want to offer Exhibit DD?

21 MR. REED: I believe it's true, so yes.

22 THE COURT: Well, it's up to you. I mean, you're
23 telling me this story, and you said there was a substantive
24 offer, and you couldn't remember it. And Ms. Hager's helped
25 you out there.

1 MR. REED: Uh-huh.

2 THE COURT: Do you want to offer it or not?

3 MR. REED: Sure. Number 3 from mine was entered,
4 right?

5 THE COURT: Yes, it was.

6 MR. REED: And then -- okay, so also DD.

7 THE COURT: Any objection?

8 MS. HAGER: No, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: All right. Just let me go back and look.
10 Bear with me a second.

11 All right, Exhibit DD, which is the June 12th, 2010
12 proposal to purchase from the Roccisanos is admitted in
13 evidence.

14 (Roccisanos' June 12, 2010 proposal to purchase was hereby
15 received into evidence as Trust's Exhibit DD, as of this date.)

16 THE COURT: Did you ever agree to it -- the "it" is
17 the offer they made in Exhibit DD?

18 MR. REED: No, Your Honor. Make sure to get all my
19 times squared away in my head that refer to another -- what I'm
20 trying to -- what I'm trying to find, Your Honor, is when we --
21 when we filed the state court action --

22 THE COURT: Your action against GMAC?

23 MR. REED: -- GMAC, yeah, that's what I'm -- and --
24 and it took place from what point to what point. So I'm clear
25 on -- on, you know, time lines.

1 (Pause)

2 MR. REED: Yes, I -- I think it's -- I think it's
3 important that I -- that I see that and enter that, Your Honor.
4 So --

5 (Pause)

6 MR. REED: And I think it's contained within the
7 Delehey declaration and exhibits. So now I have to find that.

8 (Pause)

9 THE COURT: You filed your complaint, Mr. Reed, May
10 10th, 2010.

11 MR. REED: May 10th, 2010, okay.

12 THE COURT: And it's Exhibit D to your proof of claim.

13 MR. REED: Okay. Okay, and the -- okay, so this --
14 all right, that's -- that's -- that's helping me. Okay, so the
15 Roccisanos made us an offer, the first offer, which was Exhibit
16 3, for a million-three, I believe.

17 THE COURT: Then they upped it to a million-450.

18 MR. REED: Yeah, yeah. I believe that the million-
19 three wasn't sufficient to be able to close the loan, or not
20 the loan, the sale of the house, even if it was cash, because
21 the -- trying to remember this, now -- the interest and
22 everything that had run on the mortgage had now accumulated to
23 the point where the house was underwater with that offer. It
24 wouldn't -- the one-three wouldn't cover -- give -- the one-
25 three would not convey clear title. It would not clean up the

1 debt.

2 And if I'm not mistaken, this is where we turned to
3 Mr. Walters. That's why I'm trying to get my times correct.
4 We -- we evicted -- we evicted Mr. Cooper in the fall. We put
5 it back on the market in 2009. Does that occur in 2009?

6 In 2009, our next-door neighbor behind us -- very
7 similar house in -- in square footage, in bedrooms, bathrooms,
8 sold for over two million dollars. So we wanted to put -- we
9 wanted to put it -- you know, we wanted to get Mr. Cooper out.

10 While Mr. Cooper was in the house, the neighbor in the
11 backyard in our subdivision -- it's a neighborhood, the same
12 builders and lots and such -- some deviation, but you know,
13 similar, very similar -- that house sold in a very short order
14 for over two million.

15 We wanted Mr. Cooper out, obviously, because he --
16 he -- he then didn't -- you know, he tendered these -- these
17 bad checks during the course of 2009. And we evicted him, and
18 then relisted it in the fall of '09, seeing that the houses in,
19 you know, our neighborhood, or this particular house --
20 house -- I don't remember now to think about if there's any
21 other -- if there were any other sales in our neighborhood.
22 But I remember being pleased that the neighbor sold for that
23 dollar amount, and -- and being pleased that we would -- had
24 gotten Mr. Cooper out.

25 So when we got the offer for one-three -- one-three-

1 five, whatever that offer was, it was drastically different,
2 drastically different than what we were expecting at that time.
3 But nonetheless, we entertained it. I mean, you know, I'm --
4 I'm in a situation, I'm under duress, as I explained earlier.
5 And from what I recall, we could not convey the clear title,
6 because it wasn't the right, you know, the right amount, or
7 enough.

8 I'm pretty sure that's what we told Ms. Carter, told
9 Mr. Nayogi (ph.). And some time went by, and we got a slightly
10 higher offer from the Roccisanos, which is the one --

11 THE COURT: A million-450.

12 MR. REED: Million-450. So I -- I wanted to entertain
13 the offer because, you know, I'm seeking resolution now. I
14 don't have -- you have to understand, Your Honor, I don't --
15 also, we were not re-served with foreclosure at this time; a
16 new foreclosure had not come. You know, I -- I expected that
17 there would be one, because now there weren't being payments
18 being made.

19 THE COURT: Am I correct, Mr. Reed, that you had not
20 made any payments on the mortgage since February 2008?

21 MR. REED: I don't -- Your Honor, I can say this: I
22 took the advice of counsel --

23 THE COURT: I don't want to know about what advice --
24 if you want to tell me, I'll let you, but you waive privilege
25 when you tell me what the advice of counsel is, okay?

1 MR. REED: Okay.

2 THE COURT: My question to you is limited to
3 whether -- if you want to go ahead and do it, I'll let you do
4 it, but I just -- my question, specifically, is whether you
5 stopped making your mortgage payments through GMACM, the
6 mortgage servicer, in February 2008. That's what they've
7 represented, and I gather what they're going to offer proof of.

8 MR. REED: Your Honor, I -- as I said earlier today, I
9 cannot testify if the payments from our family that would've
10 been made, more routinely from my wife, were actually made. I
11 know that when I met with the GMAC rep and I reworked the deal,
12 and I tendered my money then, the agreement was that the loan
13 would've been current at that moment in time. The arrearage
14 that supposedly wasn't paid at that -- from February -- I'm not
15 admitting to it, because I don't know --

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 MR. REED: -- was put into -- into the loan, and from
18 that point forward, pending the receipt of those documents,
19 there would be new payments to be made. But that -- the ones
20 from February to the point I met with them, and we made this
21 agreement, and I tendered my 3,000 dollars, that all went away.

22 THE COURT: Wait, here's what I don't -- I want to be
23 sure I understand. You testified that the 400,000 dollars that
24 you received from Cooper, or whatever his name was --

25 MR. REED: Yes.

1 THE COURT: -- you were required to satisfy a second
2 mortgage and other liens. And had you paid off all liens other
3 than the first mortgage, after you received the 400,000-dollar
4 payment from Mr. Cooper?

5 MR. REED: Had I paid off all the liens?

6 THE COURT: Other than the first mortgage.

7 MR. REED: I believe so.

8 THE COURT: Okay, so subject to receiving the evidence
9 on this, we'll see, the Trust contends that not only did you
10 not pay the mortgage, first mortgage, you didn't pay any
11 property taxes or insurance since February 2008. Did you pay
12 any property taxes or insurance?

13 MR. REED: I can't say from February, Your Honor. I
14 could say from --

15 THE COURT: I'm not trying to put you to a mental
16 test --

17 MR. REED: No, no, I'm just --

18 THE COURT: -- on this, okay?

19 MR. REED: -- I'm just -- I don't -- I don't know for
20 sure, like I said, about -- and why. I've told you the basis
21 for why.

22 THE COURT: When you received the 400,000-dollar
23 payment from Mr. Cooper, did you use any of it to pay any
24 unpaid property taxes?

25 MR. REED: I don't know. I don't know.

1 THE COURT: All right, do you know -- you say that the
2 1,300,000-dollar offer from the Roccisanos was not sufficient
3 to enable you to pay off all of your -- all of the existing
4 liens on the property? Is that what I understand?

5 MR. REED: I believe that the first mortgage had --
6 had accumulated -- the interest and penalties and maybe there
7 was some taxes in that, that -- that brought it beyond that.
8 Plus, you had to pay -- there's a -- there's a transf --
9 there's several taxes, transfer taxes and things like that.

10 THE COURT: All right, so what about the million-450
11 offer? Was that enough to enable you to satisfy all existing
12 liens on the property, to the best of your knowledge?

13 MR. REED: I think that was being investigated at the
14 time, and I don't recall if we have a -- an answer on that.
15 The Roccisanos -- if we couldn't come to terms on the
16 contract -- I think we were -- I don't -- I don't believe that
17 that was resolved. I mean, it -- I don't believe I had an
18 answer yet.

19 THE COURT: Why is it that you didn't -- why do you
20 believe you didn't reach an agreement with the Roccisanos?

21 MR. REED: I -- my recollection is they -- there were
22 two things that were told to me. They're hearsay, I'm sorry.
23 You know, I don't -- I don't -- you asked me directly, and that
24 is --

25 THE COURT: Well, I'm asking you why you believe you

1 did not reach an agreement with the Roccisanos.

2 MR. REED: They didn't want to wait for us to -- to
3 sort out our, you know, how much we would owe on the house, and
4 the mortgage, and the -- you know, there was liti -- at that
5 point there was litigation. I think when they found out we had
6 filed litigation, it's like they didn't want to bother anymore.
7 They just, you know, didn't --

8 THE COURT: Okay, what happened after the Roccisanos
9 went away?

10 MR. REED: We lowered -- I mean, I -- I'm pretty sure
11 we lowered the price, again. I don't remember what the listing
12 price was at the moment. Again, Ms. Hager had some listing
13 information that she got from a subpoena from the -- the
14 realtor's office. It -- I cannot confirm, but it shows --
15 seems to show a list -- you know, when we -- when we reduced
16 the prices to the property.

17 And there came a point that we -- that the house was
18 no longer listed, and that was -- I don't know if it coincided
19 with our reoccupancy of the property, or shortly thereafter,
20 which fast forwards to '08 -- to 2010. So the Roccisanos,
21 when -- when was this offered? What, 6/10?

22 THE COURT: So Cooper moved out in the fall of 2009,
23 correct?

24 MR. REED: Yes.
25

1 THE COURT: And when is it that you moved back in, in
2 2009 or 2010?

3 MR. REED: '10, I waited a year. We tried to market
4 the house for a year.

5 THE COURT: Thanksgiving 2010, I think is what you
6 told me earlier.

7 MR. REED: Yeah.

8 THE COURT: I was unclear about that.

9 MR. REED: So fourteen months we -- we tried to market
10 the property.

11 THE COURT: All right.

12 MR. REED: And I guess it's during that time that
13 the -- we had the offer from the Roccisanos, and there was --
14 Ms. Carter brought us another offer from an interested party.
15 It was a million -- a million-one and change.

16 I -- I don't -- I think it was actually part of --
17 attached to Ms. Carter's letter, and I'm not sure if it's an
18 exhibit in the Trust's documents. I believe that I had put it
19 in my proof of claim filing.

20 THE COURT: Who was the offer from?

21 MR. REED: Mr. and Ms. Singh.

22 THE COURT: And what happened with that?

23 MR. REED: Nothing, I mean, Your Honor, again it
24 wasn't sufficient --

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. REED: -- to give clear title, and they, you know,
2 they -- they wanted, you know, any of the litigation or issues
3 revolving (sic) the mortgage company resolved before entering
4 into the agreement. So --

5 THE COURT: What happened after -- see, you didn't
6 successfully negotiate a transaction with the Singhs, correct?

7 MR. REED: Right.

8 THE COURT: What happened then; what happened after
9 that?

10 Let me ask you, before you do that: you understood
11 the Roccisanos had decided to move out of the New Jersey area?

12 MR. REED: Yes.

13 THE COURT: They were moving to Louisville, Kentucky?

14 MR. REED: It was an option. Mr. -- Mr. Rocci -- I
15 think what I remember is Mr. Roccisano -- you know, was
16 entertaining two positions with the same company, or something
17 like that. He can -- whatever was favorable to him in his
18 personal life, he would've taken.

19 I understood our -- our house played a role in that;
20 if he could get it for a certain price, then he would have
21 taken the position in New Jersey, instead of moving back to
22 the -- I don't remember what state you said. But --

23 THE COURT: I think Louisville, Kentucky, but I can't
24 testify.

25 MR. REED: Yeah, to Louisville --

1 THE COURT: If that refreshes your recollection, fine.

2 MR. REED: -- yeah, to Louisville -- Louisville,
3 Kentucky.

4 THE COURT: So what happened after the --

5 MR. REED: But he couldn't -- we couldn't come to an
6 agreement, so he -- from what I understand, it played in
7 impacting them, and then they wound up -- they wound up going
8 back.

9 THE COURT: After the Singh offer, which you didn't
10 reach an agreement with them, did you have any further efforts
11 to sell the property?

12 MR. REED: At that point, we were -- we were now into
13 litigation with GMAC Mortgage, and I became aware of the
14 existence of the lis pendens, and in talking to, you know, to
15 the realtors, trying to investigate -- sorry -- trying to
16 investigate why, you know, we weren't -- we weren't seeing
17 similar kind of traffic that we used to, or -- or values, I
18 understood that the nation as a whole was entering into
19 financial difficulty and -- and real-estate issues, but there
20 were still houses in our town, and our particular neighborhood,
21 and there is -- our particular neighborhood was extremely
22 desirable.

23 I think -- and I can talk about those elements, but
24 the problem was we -- we now started to realize, with the aid
25 of counsel, that -- and our realtors, and discussing it with

1 them, they -- you know, there was -- there was a problem, that
2 we couldn't sell the property as simply as we could before.

3 And the biggest problem that we encountered was not --
4 not our willingness to sell the property, you know, period, or
5 come down with a price, even in the face of other houses in the
6 neighborhood still selling at a -- a higher price. It was, you
7 know, there was concern at how long it would take to -- to
8 figure -- you know, to resolve our what appeared to be
9 conflicts.

10 So we -- during the litigation, we had two mediation
11 sessions with GMAC. I can't -- I can't tell you when they
12 were. I'm pretty sure it was after we moved back. And --

13 THE COURT: I don't want to know what went on in
14 mediation, because ordinarily that's confidential.

15 MR. REED: But I think -- I don't know.

16 THE COURT: But here's my question to you. You said
17 this morning that once you filed the lawsuit against GMACM, you
18 didn't personally have conversations with any representatives
19 of GMAC. We talked about once you're represented by counsel,
20 they can't talk to you.

21 Did you -- other than the one period where you had
22 applied for a modification that we talked about -- that you
23 talked about this morning, was there any other time when you
24 applied to GMACM for a loan modification?

25 (Pause)

1 MR. REED: Something is -- there is, I believe some --
2 there is something, Your Honor, and I'm trying to place it now,
3 that this -- there was -- there was an effort under HOPE NOW,
4 or something like that, that -- that --

5 THE COURT: Separate and apart because it was -- your
6 communication with GMACM that you introduced into evidence, the
7 e-mail chain identified it as HOPE NOW. And I'm just trying to
8 understand whether there was some -- another effort --

9 MR. REED: I think -- Your Honor, I think there was.
10 I had something about that too, as a matter of fact.

11 THE COURT: Let's -- I want to try and keep this
12 moving along, if I can. If you think about it, you'll tell me,
13 but when did you take the property off the market?

14 MR. REED: Sometime after we moved into it, Your
15 Honor. I don't know the --

16 THE COURT: All right, so you say you moved in around
17 Thanksgiving of 2010. And since approximately then, have you
18 tried to sell the house?

19 MR. REED: No, Your Honor, it -- based on the
20 mediation results that we had.

21 THE COURT: It's just a question that -- I don't want
22 to know about the mediation, okay? Because I -- unless,
23 Ms. Hager, do you have an objection to him telling me about the
24 mediation? Ordinarily you can't do that. That's -- when a
25 mediation takes place in one of my cases, the parties are

1 absolutely precluded from talking about what went on in the
2 mediation.

3 MS. HAGER: Just for clarification, which mediation is
4 being referred to? Is this in connection with --

5 THE COURT: He's referring to the mediation during his
6 lawsuit against GMACM. I think that's what he's talking about.

7 MS. HAGER: Well, I don't have knowledge of that, so I
8 will object.

9 MR. REED: I -- we had two mediations; face-to-face
10 they flew someone in.

11 THE COURT: During the lawsuit?

12 MR. REED: Yes.

13 THE COURT: Yeah. Was it court supervised?

14 MR. REED: Yes.

15 MS. HAGER: I'm not saying it didn't happen.

16 THE COURT: I know. I'm not -- I know you're not, but
17 Mr. Reed, the reason mediation can work -- it doesn't always
18 work -- but the reason that mediation can work is it's entirely
19 confidential; that each side can speak freely about whatever is
20 on its mind in an effort to settle the case, without any
21 concern that one party or the other is going to come back and
22 tell a judge, this is what they said in mediation; this is what
23 I said in mediation. Okay, that's what the whole purpose --
24 mediation is intended to be a confidential process, okay.

25 I'm not -- whether you understand that or not, that

1 is -- and it's not just mediation in my court -- that's what --
2 mediation can work because it's confidential and that you can
3 say what's on your mind, and they can say what's on their mind
4 without fear that you're going to come into my court and
5 testify about who said what to whom --

6 MR. REED: Sure.

7 THE COURT: -- during the mediation.

8 MR. REED: Okay, I think I understand it. I -- the
9 only thing I guess I can say is, to how I went to the
10 mediation. I wanted to resolve it. I wanted to resolve the
11 situation. I wanted to sell the house. I wanted to either
12 rework the mortgage or sell it. I didn't want the limbo.
13 That's why we brought the lawsuit in the first place. There
14 was limbo. There was no further foreclosure.

15 THE COURT: All right. You got their foreclosure
16 action dismissed, and they didn't file a new one.

17 MR. REED: Right. And yet there was no -- what --
18 where do we go from here, like what -- how do we resolve this?

19 THE COURT: Let me come back to the question I asked
20 you. It's really not intended as a trick question. I just
21 want to know. From the time, approximately, when you moved
22 back in the house, did you ever put it on the market for sale?
23 It's a yes or a no.

24 MR. REED: No. I mean, it's a matter of public
25 record, I mean.

1 THE COURT: Well, it's not of public record
2 necessarily. It's just that I'm asking you a question
3 because --

4 MR. REED: Yeah, I -- the realtors all knew that if
5 anyone was interested in the house, if we -- you know, that the
6 matter was not still resolved with the mortgage company, and it
7 seemed to have an effect from our understanding on how --
8 people not being interested in it, because they didn't want to
9 wait for --

10 THE COURT: Let me ask you a different question.

11 MR. REED: -- the complications to be sorted out.

12 THE COURT: Let me ask you a different question. In
13 2008, you testified that you paid 850 dollars to get an
14 appraisal done. Have you obtained an appraisal of the value of
15 the property since 2008? Not what a broker may have told you.
16 Did you -- you got an appraisal in 2008. You testified you
17 paid for it. And my question is, at anytime since then, have
18 you gotten an appraisal of the value of the property?

19 MR. REED: We had an appraisal?

20 THE COURT: You know what an appraisal is, come on.

21 MR. REED: I understand, but I don't -- I'm trying to
22 think if there was any done involved with the offer with
23 Mr. Cooper, if there was something done there, or if there was
24 one during -- with Roccisano.

25 THE COURT: As you sit here today, you don't recall

1 getting --

2 MR. REED: No.

3 THE COURT: -- an appraisal after the one --

4 MR. REED: No, Your Honor --

5 THE COURT: -- that you obtained in 2008, is that a
6 fair statement?

7 MR. REED: Yes.

8 THE COURT: After the unsuccessful offer from Mr.
9 Singh, were there any other offers that you received after
10 that?

11 MR. REED: I don't know if it was before or after Mr.
12 Singh, there was another person who was interested in it. They
13 wound up buying a house in our neighborhood, 5 Coles Court.

14 THE COURT: Did you ever receive a written offer from
15 them?

16 MR. REED: No, I don't think so, Your Honor. I
17 explained to them the situation that we had, and I don't -- I
18 don't think so.

19 THE COURT: All right. I want you to look at your
20 Exhibit 13; it's the statement from the Law Offices of Jeffrey
21 S. Walters. Do you have that?

22 MR. REED: Yes.

23 THE COURT: When did you receive that from Mr.
24 Walters?

25 MR. REED: That was this year. I think I needed it

1 for the case, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. So let me ask this. You testified
3 to some extent about this, this morning, but I want to be clear
4 about it. What services did Mr. Walters provide for you for
5 which he billed you in Exhibit 13?

6 MR. REED: A small percentage, Your Honor, of the
7 bill -- I couldn't tell you exactly, I don't think; I might be
8 able to check with him -- was the original communications with
9 Zucker Goldberg, I think it was, the foreclosure firm, in late
10 '08. And when it -- there were trading, I think, of letters
11 and things of that nature, and but when it became apparent that
12 it had to go to be argued, Mr. Walters said he couldn't do it,
13 because he was conflicted, because his firm had done work with
14 or for GMAC or Homecomings or one of their affiliates, which
15 was surprising to me at the time, because I don't know why he
16 started doing the work -- you know, he knew who he was dealing
17 with. But that's what happened. Then he took the case against
18 GMAC with the -- you know, with the intent of, you know,
19 seeking monetary damages and a resolution, so that we could
20 liquidate the property.

21 THE COURT: But, just to be clear, because maybe I
22 misunderstood. Most of Mr. Walters work was -- and the fees
23 that he charged were in connection with Reed v. GMAC?

24 MR. REED: Yes, Your Honor. I know that does not bode
25 well for me, given your --

1 THE COURT: It's a question, Mr. Reed.

2 MR. REED: Yes, yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Who defended you in GMAC v. Reed?

4 MR. REED: I started to.

5 THE COURT: Yourself, I know. You filed an answer
6 yourself, and then a lawyer filed an answer for you and moved
7 to dismiss or move for summary judgment. Which lawyer?

8 MR. REED: The next lawyer that engage -- the lawyer
9 that engaged in the foreclosure action is McCrink.

10 THE COURT: And you don't have a bill from McCrink?

11 MR. REED: I do.

12 THE COURT: Which is McCrink?

13 MR. REED: It's in -- this is their e-mail from them.

14 As I said to you, Your Honor, I'm --

15 THE COURT: Which exhibit?

16 MR. REED: Exhibit 15.

17 THE COURT: Barbara Clark is from McCrink?

18 MR. REED: Yes. And I -- and I wound up giving in an
19 affidavit with, I think, more detailed bills from McCrink
20 signed by Krisden McCrink, a partner at the firm.

21 THE COURT: So McCrink charged you less than 4,000
22 dollars for representing you in the mortgage foreclosure
23 action? Okay. I just want to understand --

24 MR. REED: Yes, I -- for not a great amount of time.

25 I mean, it was not -- it wasn't -- I don't mean calendar time.

1 I mean hours.

2 THE COURT: Just this -- approximately 4,000 dollars?

3 MR. REED: Yes.

4 THE COURT: That was for the defense of the mortgage
5 foreclosure action?

6 MR. REED: Yeah, let me make sure what they gave me in
7 their affidavit. Their affidavit is 3,983.

8 THE COURT: Okay, so that's the 4,000 dollar number.
9 It's the exact amount when you add the charges in -- that are
10 reflected in the CEO.

11 And what did Linda Campbell do for you?

12 MR. REED: She made the appearance.

13 THE COURT: In what?

14 MR. REED: To orally argue the dismissal.

15 THE COURT: Of the mortgage foreclosure action?

16 MR. REED: Yes. So I had three of them and each one
17 wound up not -- like I said, Mr. Walters said he couldn't
18 continue because he had done business with or his firm had done
19 business with ResCap. Mr. McCrink said there was some issue
20 with the judge, because I believe he's involved in -- knows him
21 out -- external to the court. And there was a conflict of
22 interest --

23 THE COURT: Well, somebody did okay by you, because
24 you got it dismissed. Right? The mortgage -- the foreclosure
25 action got dismissed.

1 MR. REED: Well, it did.

2 THE COURT: Yes. All right. We're going to go until
3 5:30. Is that -- Karen is that all right?

4 All right. Go ahead Mr. Reed. I wanted to -- do you
5 want to offer Exhibits 13, 14, and 15?

6 MR. REED: Yes, I don't know if you need the
7 affidavits for them, Your Honor?

8 THE COURT: Well, I don't -- they're not pre-marked.
9 Do you want to offer 13, 14, and 15?

10 MR. REED: Yes, Your Honor.

11 MS. HAGER: Your Honor, the Borrower Trust objects to
12 Exhibit 15. It's hearsay and it's not a contemporaneous
13 record. There's no applicable hearsay exception.

14 THE COURT: So you don't object to --

15 MS. HAGER: Well, it --

16 THE COURT: -- 13 or 14?

17 MS. HAGER: With respect to 13 and 14, I guess -- and
18 also 15 -- all of them, they're not the best evidence. They
19 don't contain detailed billing records and aren't complete
20 documents.

21 THE COURT: So who do you have affidavits from, Mr.
22 Reed?

23 MR. REED: Mr. -- Mr. Walters --

24 THE COURT: I'm sorry, say it again; I coughed.

25 MR. REED: Mr. Walters --

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2 MR. REED: -- Esq. and Linda Campbell, Esq. and
3 Krisden McCrink, Esq.

4 THE COURT: All right. So when we finish tonight,
5 show -- I'm going to withhold a ruling on the offer of exhibits
6 13, 14, and 15 until the morning -- show those affidavits to
7 Ms. Hager. You don't have to do that now. All right.

8 (Pause)

9 THE COURT: Something you're looking for, Mr. Reed?

10 MR. REED: Yeah. I can't find --

11 THE COURT: All right, so among the damages that
12 you're seeking are legal fees that you expended -- well, that
13 you incurred. And those amounts are reflected in these three
14 e-mails, which -- or one invoice -- two invoices, one e-mail.
15 What other damages are you seeking to recover?

16 MR. REED: Could you repeat the question, Your Honor?

17 THE COURT: Other than the legal fees that you
18 incurred, what other damages are you seeking to recover?

19 MR. REED: Your Honor, I am -- as I think I said
20 before, I'm seeking the amount of money that would not be owed
21 by me if we had been able to sell the property without
22 interference. And I guess that's a subject of question as to
23 what that amount -- or put aside the amount. If you were to
24 assume that the house would have sold, then there would be no
25 ongoing -- there would not be an accumulated bill.

1 The money that I would have had, the equity from the
2 house if it had sold -- and I would like to talk about -- or
3 there's proofs about that, the value of the house then, the --
4 I think the TD Bank appraisal, not for the value of the
5 appraisal but for the -- there's some language about what
6 appraisals are, what they do. I have a presentation, or a
7 discussion, about that. And so there's the money that would
8 not be owed but for the interference, because the sale would
9 have been -- would have happened and the equity that could have
10 been realized had a sale occurred without interference. And --
11 and --

12 THE COURT: Do you agree that neither the Jacobses'
13 proposed sale nor the Weaver/Cooper proposed sales were
14 interfered with, to use your term, by GMAC?

15 MR. REED: The Weaver/Cooper one I -- Mr. Cooper
16 expressly told me -- and I didn't know how to address this,
17 because it's -- I guess it's hearsay -- that he was waiting it
18 out, that he decided to do that. And I don't know what to do
19 with that. But --

20 THE COURT: He also told you he was going to close
21 multiple times and --

22 MR. REED: I understand, Your Honor; I don't --

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. REED: And -- go ahead.

25 THE COURT: No; go ahead.

1 MR. REED: I believe that -- I heard Your Honor when
2 you said in our status conference about the house still being
3 in my possession. And I thought about that. It dawned on me
4 for the first time that I don't want the house too, that you
5 don't understand that I would have -- I'd hereby abandon claim
6 to the house if we get an award for the equity that we would
7 have gotten at the time. I mean -- and the payment for the
8 bill that would have accrued. I don't -- I don't want a double
9 payment; I don't want to retain the asset and the payment that
10 I would have received had it -- had it sold.

11 So in my mind, when I think about a remedy for the
12 problem, for the damages, there is a -- if the mortgage could
13 become current, to get rid of the lis pendens, which I believe
14 had an impact on selling the property, then we can market and
15 liquidate the property fairly now. And whatever equity I could
16 realize from it, even though it's a worse market, I believe,
17 now than it was --

18 THE COURT: You think it's a worse market now than it
19 was in 2009 and 2010?

20 MR. REED: In --

21 THE COURT: I'm expressing -- I don't mean to -- I
22 didn't mean to suggest -- I don't know the market in --

23 MR. REED: Or, Your Honor --

24 THE COURT: -- Moorestown.

25 MR. REED: -- let's take -- let's take the inference

1 from your --

2 THE COURT: Hold on.

3 MR. REED: -- from your --

4 THE COURT: I deal with real-estate --

5 MR. REED: It's okay.

6 THE COURT: I deal with real-estate bankruptcy issues
7 a lot. And the market in 2009 was horrible; the market in 2010
8 was horrible. And it isn't great, but it's a lot better now
9 than it --

10 MR. REED: Okay --

11 THE COURT: -- was then.

12 MR. REED: -- so let's -- let's --

13 THE COURT: I mean, do you agree with that?

14 MR. REED: -- okay, let's take -- let's take that as
15 part of -- as part of the discussion.

16 THE COURT: I mean, if you don't agree with it, tell
17 me.

18 MR. REED: No. Listen, I'm not -- I'm not --

19 THE COURT: I don't know the market in Moorestown, New
20 Jersey.

21 MR. REED: Right. Well, there's two -- there's two --
22 like I said, there's two remedies, or courses of action. I
23 mean, first off, as to 2009, again, there was a house -- my
24 neighbor's house sold for two million dollars, which was the
25 type of sale that you would have saw (sic) in 2008 or 2007,

1 prior to the collapse. So did the collapse have that kind of
2 effect on us? You know, that's a matter for, I guess,
3 discussion and analysis.

4 So the two remedies: one, the bill that would not
5 have accumulated; and an estimation, if we can give you, of
6 what that -- of: a) would it -- would it or could it have sold
7 during 2008, 2009, 2010 -- could it have sold? For how much?
8 Is there some basis for you -- I understand you have the
9 equitable power to --

10 THE COURT: That's the reason I asked you whether
11 you've had any appraisals of the property done since 2008? I
12 mean, I haven't seen any evidence of market value of this
13 specific property. I don't even have it as of 2008, because it
14 didn't come in for the truth of the matter asserted. But even
15 if it had --

16 MR. REED: Yeah, the market value I would -- I propose
17 to you, just as an aside, is -- the contract gives some
18 indication of the market value, because it -- we had a buyer
19 and a seller come to some terms, whether you believe it's the
20 full value or the testimony that it wasn't the full -- that it
21 didn't get the right amount, or the appraisal was less than
22 that for their -- you know, the -- you understand what I'm
23 saying; I'm getting tongue tied --- the litigation regarding
24 they didn't get the appraisal, the mortgage, things like that,
25 was still in excess of a million-nine-something.

1 So I believe Ms. Hager has things in her file that may
2 indicate some kind of value --

3 THE COURT: I can only go by what's in evidence before
4 me.

5 MR. REED: Um-hum. So I say that it's not -- we're
6 not done yet discussing it. I didn't expect to do it today. I
7 have notes back in my hotel room. I was going to offer my lay
8 opinion, at several points, to the value of the property, as
9 the owner of the property. I have basis for it. I don't have
10 it with me today, Your Honor; these are numbers I --

11 THE COURT: You're going to be back on the witness
12 stand first thing in the morning, Mr. Reed.

13 MR. REED: I mean I have -- I mean I understand by the
14 rules that I'm allowed to, and that's the only thing I have for
15 you.

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 MR. REED: And I was going to discuss, I thought, the
18 value at this point. I believe there was a value at this
19 point. This is why I believe the value at this point.

20 THE COURT: All right. Are there other types of
21 damages that you're seeking to recover?

22 MR. REED: Your Honor, I -- punitive damages,
23 emotional distress. I'm sorry I lost it today; I clearly feel
24 it. This has been a very difficult situation for me.

25 There -- I have to review my notes about the

1 modification of that loan. There's a question, now, if we -- I
2 did my part on that workout. And I believe, now that you
3 mention it, I think there's -- we have something on a possible
4 additional subsequent workout, prior to the litigation, that I
5 believe that we did what we were supposed to do --

6 THE COURT: Well, I am going to want to hear from Ms.
7 Delehey whether GMACM ever returned a countersigned copy of the
8 loan modification. The testimony -- we haven't heard the
9 cross-examination yet, but the testimony from Mr. Reed is that
10 he signed it, returned it with a 3,000-dollar check, and never
11 received back the countersigned loan modification agreement.

12 He introduced in evidence, as an Exhibit MM, the
13 e-mail chain with Mr. Folweiler.

14 (Pause)

15 THE COURT: Because in the last -- in the e-mail
16 chain -- and I haven't heard the Trust's evidence -- on July
17 31, 2008, Mr. Folweiler e-mailed Mr. Reed, stating that: "I
18 had been waiting on the docs that you just sent to me so that
19 we could get the stopgap plan in place. The action is only
20 placed on hold once the agreement is in place and funds are
21 received."

22 On September 22nd, Mr. Reed e-mailed Mr. Folweiler,
23 saying in the third paragraph: "Even though it is moot at this
24 point now, I also want to tell you that your HQ never sent back
25 the executed written agreement as their package said it would."

1 And it was a longer e-mail than that, but -- and then Mr.
2 Folweiler responds, about an hour later on September 22nd,
3 saying: "That is great news. And as far as the docs are
4 concerned, they never send them back!"

5 So I need -- I do expect to hear testimony from the
6 Trust about what happened with the loan modification. In the
7 brief that I read, it certainly indicates that Mr. Reed
8 never -- that the loan modification was canceled when Mr. Reed
9 didn't send the required 7,000-dollar payment. But at least
10 Mr. Reed testified and offered e-mails to support that he never
11 got the signed copy back. And he has Mr. Folweiler saying they
12 never send them back. So I need to hear more about that.

13 MR. REED: Your Honor, I'm getting very tired.

14 THE COURT: We're going to stop in a few minutes, Mr.
15 Reed, within six minutes. Are there other areas of damages
16 that you're seeking to recover?

17 MR. REED: In my pre-trial brief, I think I have --
18 let me make sure I don't forget something.

19 (Pause)

20 MR. REED: So I think -- just make sure I say this --
21 I want to make sure -- try to make sure I do this right. I
22 don't want to -- I can't remember what I said.

23 So the damages -- the economic damages relating to the
24 house, 817 Matlack, are an accumulation of a bill that
25 otherwise would not be there but for either interference in the

1 sale or should be less because of there was a modification, or
2 work-out that wasn't completed, through no fault of my own. So
3 if, say for example, I owe the new mortgage company a million
4 dollars, but if the work-out had shown that the note would have
5 proceeded in a dollar amount that would have left me owing
6 400,000 dollars, but for their bad behavior -- you know, their
7 not finishing the deal with me, then I would want the 600,000
8 dollars. I mean, you don't have to give it to me; give it to
9 the mortgage company to pay them to reduce the dollar amount,
10 because it's a debt that I owe because of that.

11 The equity that would have been realized in different
12 points if the sale could have happened but for interference. I
13 proposed another possible remedy, that we bring it to date, the
14 lis pendens is cleared, and we have free ability to market it
15 now.

16 THE COURT: There's a new lis pendens that 21st
17 Century has put on it. It's got --

18 MR. REED: Right; I understand. So the emotional
19 distress, the punitive damages, the legal fees.

20 I think I covered the lessening of the mortgage
21 amount.

22 THE COURT: All right, we're going to stop for the
23 day. I have one ruling before we end for the day. Mr. Reed
24 had previously marked for identification the correspondence
25 with Mr. Curley as to the reasons that TD Bank declined to

1 refinance. I sustained the objections earlier this afternoon,
2 not on authentication grounds, which I believe a declaration
3 can be document custodian satisfied, but on the hearsay grounds
4 that they don't satisfy the requirement to be a business record
5 because they're not contemporaneous record of events. Mr. Reed
6 indicated he could have Mr. Curley here tomorrow morning to
7 testify. Ms. Hager stated an objection to Mr. Curley
8 testifying because he was not identified as a witness.

9 The Court is going to overrule that objection and
10 permit Mr. Curley to testify if he appears here tomorrow
11 morning at 9 o'clock. Mr. Reed certainly put the Trust on
12 notice of his intention to offer evidence from Mr. Curley as to
13 the reasons that the refinance failed. In fairness to
14 Mr. Reed, a non-lawyer, he could not have anticipated the
15 Court's ruling in refusing to admit into evidence the letters.

16 So one of two things can occur. And I want you to
17 just talk briefly with Ms. Hager about it when we end. If Ms.
18 Hager withdraws the objection to the two letters -- that's a
19 August 20th, 2012 letter, July 8th, 2014 letter, and I guess
20 it's also your August 20th, 2012 letter to Mr. Curley --if she
21 withdraws the objections, I will permit those exhibits into
22 evidence and not permit you to call Mr. Curley, who was not
23 identified. If she does not agree to withdraw the objection,
24 then I'll permit you to bring Mr. Curley here tomorrow.

25 In your second witness list, which differed from the

1 first, you indicated calling a TD Bank representative to
2 authenticate the letters. Well, the authentication is
3 resolved; the issue is the hearsay statement as to the reasons
4 that the refinance was declined.

5 In the August 20th, 2012 letter, the two relevant
6 paragraphs on this point: "It is my recollection that the
7 reason for this loan declination was that our primary" -- oh,
8 that's Mr. Reed's letter; I'm sorry. Mr. Curley's August 20th,
9 2012 letter: "As stated in your letter, dated August 20, 2012,
10 you were declined by TD Bank for a loan secured by the above
11 property back in early 2008. The reason you were declined was
12 due to a pending foreclosure of your first mortgage on this
13 property that was uncovered during our underwriting process."

14 So confer with Ms. Hager. She's perfectly entitled to
15 stand on her objection. If she does, I will permit you to call
16 Mr. Curley, provided he's here first thing in the morning.

17 MR. REED: I'm sure he can come.

18 THE COURT: You said he would come.

19 MR. REED: There are many e-mails from the legal
20 department asking me what time he's supposed to be here.

21 THE COURT: Okay, I just -- I will permit him to come
22 testify. We'll put him on out of order at 9 o'clock. He can
23 come and testify and be out of here quickly. If Ms. Hager
24 withdraws -- and I'm not trying to force her to do anything --
25 if she withdraws the objection, the letters will come in. And

1 as far as I'm concerned, that's the end of the matter, because
2 you didn't identify Curley as a witness.

3 And the only reason I'm -- would permit you to do
4 that -- to call him -- is that I don't think you could have --
5 you did identify the letters as exhibits, but you could not
6 have anticipated the basis of the Court's ruling. And so
7 that's going to be my ruling for the close of the business
8 today.

9 Mr. Reed, you need to get your testimony over and done
10 with, because you've used your time. I'm going to listen to
11 the end of your testimony. You say that you plan to offer
12 evidence on the value of the property. The Trust may have an
13 objection to it. Because I have ruled on similar objections
14 before, the law, as I understand it -- and if you're going to
15 object to Mr. Reed's testimony about the value of his property
16 at various points in time, you need to provide me with a brief,
17 by 9 a.m. tomorrow morning. I've ruled on this issue before,
18 and the law, as I understand it, and consistent with my prior
19 rulings, is that an owner of property is permitted to give
20 expert testimony about the value of the property. The Court
21 will consider what weight to apply to it. But it's an
22 exception to the ordinary rule about expert testimony. It
23 permits the owner of a property to testify to value. But I'll
24 permit you to provide me with a brief, by 9 a.m. tomorrow
25 morning, if you're going to object to Mr. Reed's testimony

1 about value.

2 But I think the first order of business is for you to
3 talk to Mr. Reed about the Curley letters, to see whether that,
4 at least, can get resolved.

5 MR. REED: Your Honor, would I have a copy of that
6 brief when -- upon simultaneous transmission?

7 THE COURT: Absolutely; of course.

8 Okay, so -- but if I'm correct, your only witness is
9 Ms. Delehey, right?

10 MS. HAGER: That's right, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. All right, I'll see you all ready
12 to start, sharply at 9 o'clock.

13 MS. HAGER: Excuse me, if it's okay, can we get from
14 Kate the time that we've used up?

15 THE COURT: You can.

16 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 5:35 PM)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I N D E X

WITNESS	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE
Drew David Murdock	Mr. Reed	30
Frank Reed	(Self, in narrative)	35, 164
Christy Donati	Mr. Reed	110
Christy Donati	Ms. Hager	120
Christy Donati	Mr. Reed	130
Evan Hendricks	Mr. Reed	135
Evan Hendricks	Ms. Hager	152
Evan Hendricks	Mr. Reed	155

E X H I B I T S

REED'S	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
1	Contract between the Reeds and the Jacobses	53
2	Commerce Bank appraisal re: Reed refinance	55
3	Proposal to purchase, and Lis pendens	102
5	Intragency review of foreclosure policies and practices	150

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

E X H I B I T S (cont'd.)

REED'S	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
10	Mortgage for Reed residence, fixed/adjustable-rate rider, and interest-only addendum	38
TRUST'S	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
DD	Roccisanos' June 12, 2010 proposal to purchase	166
MM	E-mail exchange	71

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, Hana Copperman, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Hana Copperman

HANA COPPERMAN

AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET**D 487

eScribers

700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607

New York, NY 10040

Date: September 17, 2014

	194:24	actual (7)	12:16;25:21;33:4;	agency (2)
#	accuracy (1)	9:25;10:8,13;	53:2;55:17,25,25;	79:17;151:5
#607 (1)	57:7	63:13;118:1;131:16;	56:3;102:6,6;	agent (4)
2:22	accurate (2)	134:22	150:11;166:12	101:10,16;151:8;
A	52:19;106:7	actually (32)	admitting (6)	156:7
	accurately (1)	10:6;16:11;18:4;	56:7,9,15;57:16;	aggravated (1)
	7:16	20:3;30:5;33:10,25;	162:23;170:15	76:24
	acknowledge (1)	38:13;45:2;48:19;	advance (7)	agree (28)
a/k/a (1)	23:10	56:24;59:12;64:13;	7:21;13:1,4,15;	17:1,8,15,24;18:4;
82:5	acknowledged (3)	70:2,5;77:13;81:9;	14:9;108:10;145:9	10;19:6,9,9;20:12;
abandon (1)	21:3;23:12;161:9	90:6,9;95:4;117:22,	adverse (1)	22:20,22;26:14;
189:5	acknowledgement (1)	24;118:8;119:19;	122:10	47:10;70:18;88:1,4;
ability (5)	161:10	127:13,24;154:12;	advice (3)	100:3;107:25;
6:2;12:1;136:13;	acknowledgements (1)	159:19;160:3;163:3;	169:22,23,25	125:25;127:22;
137:5;195:14	161:12	170:10;174:16	advise (2)	142:9;156:3;166:16;
able (27)	acquisitions (1)	add (4)	107:10,13	188:12;190:13,16;
8:25;12:11;20:9;	121:8	109:1,3,8;185:9	advised (1)	196:23
25:4;33:15;45:22;	across (1)	added (2)	107:17	agreed (9)
63:19;105:25;	151:12	44:13;59:25	advisement (2)	11:1;17:22;25:12;
106:10,12,16,17;	act (10)	addendum (3)	64:17;123:18	97:21;99:25;107:12;
107:2,8,11;108:8,11,	6:7;15:11;22:9;	37:25;38:22;39:8	affects (1)	147:25;150:3,5
21;112:8;139:23;	23:3;40:17;118:2;	addition (4)	137:2	agreement (33)
140:1;146:8,20;	130:21;131:3;	9:13;10:11;12:11;	affidavit (7)	24:23,25;25:13;
163:4;167:19;183:8;	160:11;161:22	26:20	159:1,22;161:1;	52:8,13;57:22;
187:21	acting (1)	additional (7)	162:15;184:19;	58:18;59:10,12,16;
above (2)	95:6	70:18;130:8;	185:7,7	60:12;64:1,3,5;
98:23;197:10	action (91)	143:13;162:3;	affidavits (4)	71:17;72:4;80:12;
ABS (1)	12:20;13:2,5,6,16,	164:15;165:17;	92:6;186:7,21;	83:16;86:5;97:20;
78:22	22;15:17;16:18;	193:4	187:6	107:8,23;108:11;
absolute (1)	18:3,6;19:7;20:3,4,6,	address (7)	affiliates (1)	164:8;170:12,21;
31:20	16;21:11,15;22:15,	29:9,10;76:14;	183:14	172:20;175:4;176:6,
Absolutely (3)	19;23:9,15,17,19,24;	79:15;135:24;	affirmative (3)	10;193:11,20,25
21:20;179:1;199:7	24:1,2;26:4,5,12;	160:14;188:16	11:21,24;26:12	agreements (2)
absolved (1)	39:13,14;40:22;41:1,	addressed (3)	afoul (1)	10:23,25
23:22	9;42:13;48:13;49:7,	123:11;158:24;	160:11	agrees (1)
accept (2)	10;52:11;59:14,22;	163:11	afternoon (11)	156:14
25:4;161:13	60:1;64:8;73:1,5;	addresses (1)	75:5,9,9;94:1;	ahead (83)
acceptable (1)	88:24;90:2,3,19;	160:14	103:21;104:7;105:9,	5:19;8:8;16:5;
104:13	91:20;92:16,21,22;	addressing (1)	13;120:19;152:17;	20:6,13;21:6,22;
accepted (2)	93:2,3;96:9,21;	123:8	196:1	24:11;26:16;27:22;
68:14;83:2	113:4,5;114:4,22,23;	adequate (1)	afterwards (1)	29:13,25;31:5;
access (3)	116:16,23,24;117:1;	145:5	118:23	34:13;35:23,24;
137:11;140:1;	119:18,24;120:7;	adjourn (1)	again (30)	40:12,14;42:25;
158:8	122:21;123:12;	109:4	10:14;11:9;16:9,	43:1,44:8;46:11;
accessible (1)	128:24;130:21;	adjourned (6)	16;19:16;35:20;	48:2,49:11;50:6;
140:15	131:10;132:10,13;	80:16;90:21,22,	39:8;50:20;52:12;	51:25;52:2,5,7,16;
accommodate (1)	144:1;146:24;148:4,	23;108:23;109:12	74:16;77:25;78:21;	54:5,12;56:22;57:13,
7:20	13;156:20;166:21,	adjust (2)	79:13,22;80:17;	20:58;3:62:3;64:21;
accompanied (1)	22;180:16;184:9,23;	72:19,19	88:15;90:25;91:13;	65:3;72:23;73:9,14;
161:9	185:5,15,25;190:22;	adjustable-rate (2)	94:15;96:5;97:25;	74:15,24;76:5;77:6,
according (3)	193:19	38:1,14	98:12,16;108:10;	12;82:15;84:10;
9:5,7;68:24	actions (5)	admissibility (1)	111:17;128:16;	85:6;90:24;91:17;
account (2)	112:12,17;141:15;	35:7	139:2;174:23;	101:2;112:13;113:1,
25:22;76:10	149:13;151:24	admissible (4)	186:24;190:23	25;118:17;120:13;
accrued (1)	active (1)	33:4;64:16;95:1;	against (20)	123:23;124:6,20;
189:8	59:1	100:23	15:18;16:18;18:7;	130:25;134:18;
accrues (1)	activities (1)	admission (2)	23:20;26:12;88:2;	135:18;136:19;
126:1	43:4	64:16;159:2	92:19,22;94:20;	137:25;139:1,4;
accumulated (6)	activity (5)	admit (7)	97:17;103:19;	142:20;147:9,17;
114:4;144:17;	136:13;144:4;	55:15;56:7,18;	122:22;140:23;	148:17,22;150:20;
167:22;172:6;	161:21;162:1,3	57:15;60:3;77:9;	142:4;144:5;163:20;	151:1,21;157:2,19;
187:25;191:5	acts (1)	196:15	166:22;177:17;	163:20;170:3;186:4;
accumulation (1)	23:4	admitted (12)	179:6;183:17	188:24,25

September 15, 2014

aid (1) 176:24	154:11	appropriate (2) 95:6;151:19	95:5	6:20;40:12;56:23;
alerted (1) 35:15	apart (2) 11:25;178:5	appropriately (1) 95:4	assertion (1) 25:2	69:7;71:11
alerting (1) 32:18	apologize (1) 147:6	approve (4) 138:7;141:2;	assessing (1) 22:20	attesting (1) 92:6
alienability (1) 138:3	apparent (1) 183:11	153:23;154:7	asset (3) 142:1,3;189:9	attorney (8) 41:21;49:13,13;
all-cash (3) 65:22;66:13;81:4	apparently (2) 67:12;88:21	approved (3) 9:23;10:9;154:7	assets (1) 68:3	73:12;91:4,5;121:1,3
alleged (2) 9:20;23:2	appealing (1) 66:16	approximately (3) 178:17;180:21;	assign (4) 17:6,16,23;24:6	Attorneys (3) 4:3;11:20;75:2
alleging (1) 12:13	appear (4) 58:22;91:9;123:8;	185:2	assigned (3) 18:18,24;81:20	attorney's (2) 9:16;11:15
allow (7) 45:3;88:1;100:1;	158:7	April (2) 150:11;152:2	assignee (3) 16:4,22;23:13	atypical (2) 98:22;160:10
131:19;150:4,5,16	appearance (1) 185:12	area (3) 132:23;137:14;	assigning (1) 112:8	audibly (1) 81:7
allowable (1) 148:15	appearances (2) 5:3;105:8	175:11	assignment (14) 16:13;17:3,5,11;	Audio (1) 151:22
allowed (1) 192:14	appeared (2) 16:14;177:8	areas (1) 194:15	18:9;19:10;21:1;	audit (2) 149:12;151:8
almost (4) 87:7;88:6;112:1;	appears (6) 24:5;39:5,7;70:17;	arguably (1) 24:2	23:9;113:6;114:14;	August (16) 106:10;124:12;
140:13	159:21;196:10	argue (8) 9:17;11:4,4;13:10,	125:19;132:7,15;	129:21,24;159:2,3,
along (3) 8:11;106:20;	applicable (1) 186:13	13,23;96:14;185:14	133:5	16;160:16;161:17,
178:12	application (11) 10:8;47:5,10,21,	argued (2) 8:12;183:12	assignments (2) 112:6;113:3	17;162:6;196:19,20;
although (2) 38:10;44:16	24;48:7;57:1,1,4;	arguing (4) 13:18;14:10,21,23	associated (5) 101:24;122:9;	197:5,8,9
always (6) 52:18;53:22;	138:8;154:8	argument (9) 10:1;13:24;14:2,	148:13;149:2;155:9	authenticate (2) 100:7;197:2
80:21;98:19;106:15;	applications (2) 46:20,21	24;15:8;20:23;	Association (2) 125:1,2	authenticated (1) 35:12
179:17	applied (7) 9:22;49:21,24;	39:17;107:17;152:9	assume (2) 116:9;187:24	authentication (6) 35:10;161:14;
amended (7) 15:20;16:1;19:4;	50:8;160:10;177:22,	arguments (3) 37:8,11;39:3	assumes (1) 57:8	163:11,11;196:2;
20:25;24:9;59:3;	24	arises (1) 129:19	assuming (3) 13:11;16:17;	197:2
67:9	applies (1) 145:24	arm's-length (1) 60:7	146:25	authenticities (1) 160:25
amendment (2) 16:2,12	apply (1) 198:21	around (6) 26:5;95:14;96:2;	assure (1) 104:20	authenticity (10) 92:7;100:19;
among (1) 187:11	applying (1) 64:23	97:19;138:13;	assured (1) 78:20	159:14;160:12;
amount (24) 10:3,4,6,14,14;	appraisal (27) 48:20;50:14,19;	178:16	attach (1) 53:2	161:6,7;162:11,14,
24:14;25:12;49:19;	51:4,4;54:17;55:18,	arrange (1) 108:16	attached (12) 20:25;36:19;	18,21
72:13,17;84:7;89:3;	19;56:1,12,17;57:4,	arrangement (1) 147:3	37:22;39:6;99:21,	authority (7) 14:18;17:14,16,
116:2;168:23;169:6;	7,8,19;58:8;181:14,	arrearage (1) 170:13	22;159:19,21;161:1,	17;20:3;23:14;
184:24;185:9;	14,16,18,19,20;	arrived (1) 71:24	6;162:16;174:17	126:15
187:20,23,23;	182:3;188:4,5;	aside (5) 15:16;91:20;	attaching (1) 45:20	authorized (1) 161:11
191:21;195:5,9,21	191:21,24	107:19;187:23;	attachments (1) 48:23	available (5) 6:22,25;135:6;
amounts (4) 11:4,20;25:15;	appraisals (5) 50:13,24;58:7;	191:17	attack (1) 86:23	137:12;140:19
187:13	188:6;191:11	assemble (1) 21:17	attempt (2) 25:4;78:5	average (2) 42:15,16
analysis (1) 191:3	appraise (2) 25:3,12	asserted (10) 39:12,12;55:18;	attempting (1) 8:16	award (1) 189:6
angry (1) 87:3	appraised (3) 49:18;56:8;98:23	57:15;75:25;77:10;	attempts (3) 10:11;24:15;26:18	aware (10) 63:2;84:15;126:4;
answered (1) 30:11	appraiser (2) 25:9;56:5	95:6;103:19;104:24;	attend (1) 124:9	128:24;129:2,10;
antenna (1) 16:13	appreciate (4) 47:23;108:18,20;	191:14	attention (5)	140:15;149:3;
anticipated (2) 196:14;198:6	157:20	asserting (4) 15:2;19:22,24;		152:17;176:13
anything's (1)	approach (2) 56:2;150:15			awareness (1) 144:4

September 15, 2014

166:19;170:21	140:8	77:19	65:9	88:24;90:2,3,15;
B	bankruptcy (2)	below (1)	borne (1)	108:21;112:17;
	6:9;190:6	13:20	11:21	135:3;140:12;165:4;
	banks (1)	bench (3)	borrow (1)	195:13;196:24
back (65)	121:17	5:16;35:22;65:17	142:3	bringing (4)
15:20;33:16;49:6;	Bank's (2)	beneficiary (1)	Borrower (26)	13:4,15;134:24;
9:53;24:58;4,17;	26:13;159:20	17:20	2:2,6;6:18;9:6,10;	146:13
59:8,18;65:13,17,20;	Bar (2)	besides (2)	11:5,8,13,22;12:5;	brings (2)
67:1,16;68:8,20;	125:1,1	37:1;83:14	13:7;20:2;23:23;	69:10;138:6
69:1,4;71:18;72:1,4;	Barbara (3)	best (7)	37:5;40:21;70:1;	broke (1)
78:7,10;80:7,8,14;	6:17;45:19;184:17	51:24;58:9,11;	105:10,22;131:5;	134:13
18:85;18:90:5,16;	base (2)	70:9;76:18;172:12;	144:22;146:23;	broker (2)
95:10,13,21,23;96:1;	22:13;126:15	186:18	153:15,18,21,25;	98:4;181:15
105:23,25;106:11;	Based (5)	Bethesda (1)	186:11	brokerage (1)
109:15;116:6,8,11,	8:24;21:4;122:3;	135:22	Borrowers' (2)	79:18
17;119:13;132:22;	145:6;178:19	better (4)	24:13;36:4	Brook (1)
134:25;135:3;143:5;	basically (5)	29:18;160:4;	borrowing (1)	63:13
163:23;166:9;168:5;	113:19;138:18;	164:19;190:8	157:3	brought (9)
174:1;175:21;176:8;	151:10;157:1;	beyond (7)	Both (11)	44:16;59:24;
177:12;179:21;	160:14	16:15;46:15,16;	10:25;11:1;39:5,7;	63:24;92:22;147:22;
180:19,22;192:7,11;	basing (1)	132:15;133:1;	107:1,17;108:5,21;	164:11;172:7;
193:11,24;194:11,	142:17	149:19;172:7	157:22;160:25;	174:14;180:13
12;197:11	basis (15)	biggest (1)	162:6	BT (9)
back! (1)	11:6;12:7;33:14;	177:3	bottom (2)	25:9;58:19,24,25;
194:4	142:18;144:15,16;	bill (8)	69:2;165:9	59:7;60:19;99:11;
backed (2)	152:8;159:16;161:8;	93:11,13;183:7;	bought (2)	100:5;101:24
49:8;57:22	162:11,14;171:20;	184:10;187:25;	60:11;88:21	Buckeystown (1)
background (4)	191:8;192:9;198:6	189:8;191:4;194:24	bounced (1)	4:4
42:20;43:6,14;	bathroom (1)	billed (1)	90:13	build (1)
56:9	51:6	183:5	bound (1)	139:20
back's (1)	bathrooms (1)	billing (1)	58:18	builder (1)
80:17	168:7	186:19	boutique (1)	98:9
backup (1)	baths (1)	bills (3)	111:12	builders (1)
49:5	76:22	91:21;92:7;184:19	Braitman (1)	168:12
backyard (1)	Bear (5)	binder (4)	22:17	building (1)
168:11	21:21;106:21;	36:10;38:15;53:3;	breach (9)	121:6
bad (7)	119:22;127:9;	54:5	8:21;12:13,24;	built (1)
89:20;90:7;94:14;	166:10	biography (1)	13:11,13;14:1,10,15;	137:7
159:19;160:3;	became (5)	102:1	15:2	burdens (1)
168:17;195:6	63:2;96:5,5;	bit (9)	breached (1)	9:1
balance (2)	176:13;183:11	9:16;12:8;76:15;	14:5	business (20)
46:13;84:6	Becau (1)	80:1;91:25;95:14;	breaches (1)	29:8;43:4;48:7;
Bank (67)	141:25	106:8,10;135:5	6:1	51:8,11;54:19,21;
18:19,24;25:9,16;	become (2)	bite (1)	breach-of-contract (5)	59:9,13;76:25;
35:1,5,12,21;45:8,9,	122:17;189:13	86:11	12:12;14:3,4,25;	159:22;161:1;162:1,
19,20;46:3,23;47:5,	bedrooms (3)	blah (3)	36:1	10,19;185:18,19;
10,21,24;48:8,10,20,	76:22;85:12;168:7	82:6,6,6	break (4)	196:4;198:7;199:2
24;49:21,24;50:1,5,	began (1)	blaming (1)	33:19;65:5;	buy (6)
9,11,13,15,15,16,17,	124:12	77:11	107:24;163:19	24:21,22;42:15;
19;54:17,18;55:1,8,	begin (2)	blanche (1)	Brett (1)	83:24;86:2;98:1
13,19;56:10,17,25,	29:5;34:21	26:4	10:25	buyer (16)
25;57:5,6,18;80:19;	beginning (2)	blank (4)	bricks (1)	41:24;42:1;44:15;
109:20;147:4,19,21,	95:19;145:11	18:9;113:5;	73:25	74:7;75:5;146:19,
23;148:3;152:18;	behalf (10)	114:12;133:6	brief (6)	21;148:18;154:9;
157:2;158:20;159:1,	17:11;18:3;23:9,	bode (1)	103:11;194:7,17;	155:23;156:3,6,12,
7,10;162:15,16;	14;43:11;73:22;	183:24	198:16,24;199:6	14,20;191:18
163:10;188:4;	105:14;109:5;122:4;	body (1)	briefly (1)	buyers (2)
195:25;197:1,10	136:15	160:21	196:17	62:16;98:24
banker (1)	behavior (1)	book (1)	bring (27)	buyers' (2)
138:7	195:6	72:9	12:1,1,6;13:6,21;	42:5,7
banking (1)	behind (2)	bookkeeper (1)	23:19;25:21;26:20;	buyer's (1)
136:2	24:21;168:6	92:2	31:21;32:12,18,23;	148:18
bankruptcies (1)	bel (1)	bore (1)	33:13,15,21,22;	buying (4)

49:14;156:4,6; 182:13	169:21;175:17; 176:23;178:12; 179:17,18,19;180:2, 2,3,9;189:14;191:5; 192:3;196:3,16; 197:17,22;199:4,13, 15	179:20;183:1,17 cases (9) 23:11;53:23; 112:9;137:3,15; 144:17;152:23; 153:4;178:25 cash (18) 9:25;10:7;24:16; 44:24,25,25;45:3,4; 46:14;48:19;60:10; 65:23;69:7;74:19; 92:4;154:9,10; 167:20 cashier's (1) 83:5 cash-out (3) 45:11;46:12;50:9 categories (2) 9:3;140:8 category (1) 154:11 cause (4) 94:10;136:15; 141:15;151:24 caused (2) 51:4;84:14 CC (1) 2:2 cede (2) 5:13,15 central (1) 140:25 Century (1) 195:17 CEO (1) 185:10 certain (2) 49:19;175:20 certainly (13) 20:10,23;22:16; 27:8;31:19;32:13; 106:18;107:20; 108:8;139:11; 142:18;194:7; 196:11 certificate (3) 116:2;132:7; 161:10 certification (1) 45:21 certified (4) 128:1,10,19,23 certifying (1) 91:24 CFA (1) 8:22 chain (6) 70:4,17;162:5; 178:7;193:13,16 chair (3) 66:2,6;101:14 chance (6) 15:5;37:7,17;39:2;	135:9;160:23 chancery (7) 116:14;117:11; 118:2,4;119:4,9,20 change (4) 51:9;81:23;83:4; 174:15 changed (4) 16:3;60:14,15; 78:19 characterize (1) 105:20 charge (1) 92:22 chargeable (1) 13:12 charged (3) 92:20;183:23; 184:21 charges (1) 185:9 check (10) 35:17,19;76:13, 16;83:5,5;87:12; 158:9;183:8;193:10 checks (4) 89:20;90:7,13; 168:17 children (2) 76:22;78:24 chose (3) 12:2,2;25:22 Christina (2) 2:3;125:12 Christmas (3) 76:18;87:12;88:7 Christy (3) 6:22;110:7,15 C-H-R-I-S-T-Y (1) 110:15 chronology (1) 164:11 circles (3) 15:4,7;146:1 circumstance (3) 13:6;22:19;79:1 cited (4) 151:7,8;157:21,21 civil (2) 22:18;159:24 Claim (23) 2:3,7;9:2,13; 11:24;12:12,12;14:3, 4;15:1;19:25;28:9; 36:5;39:14,16;43:7; 94:20;97:17;103:20; 105:7;167:12; 174:19;189:5 claimant (2) 7:24;8:11 claimants (18) 8:16,20;9:2,17,22; 10:12;11:4,8;12:10;	14:24;15:11;22:5; 24:24;25:7,8;26:4; 28:8;122:4 claimants' (1) 24:14 claimed (1) 11:20 Claims (20) 2:2,7;5:21,22; 6:18;8:20;12:7,10, 10;19:25;28:10; 32:2;33:14;36:5; 39:12;103:18; 104:24;105:10; 109:17;163:20 clarification (1) 179:3 clarify (1) 157:18 Clark (1) 184:17 clean (1) 167:25 clear (20) 7:17;8:23;15:13; 23:16;29:20;45:5; 47:22;48:8;50:4; 84:5;96:19;97:6; 161:19;165:13; 166:24;167:25; 169:5;175:1;183:3, 21 cleared (1) 195:14 clearly (5) 133:7;159:12; 162:20,22;192:23 clerk (8) 35:15;45:18; 48:22,24;110:13; 127:24;128:10,19 clerks (1) 134:24 Clerk's (4) 35:15,20,21; 129:19 client (9) 13:25;14:11,11; 43:11;122:10,12,13, 15,16 clients (5) 21:15;107:12; 112:17;121:20; 122:7 client's (1) 14:21 clock (1) 103:9 close (21) 34:18,19;45:11; 61:15;66:21;67:4,6; 71:14;74:8;79:14; 82:7;94:9;103:5;
C	cancel (4) 25:2,5,11;107:10 canceled (1) 194:8 cancelled (2) 42:1;60:19 candid (1) 106:9 Capital (2) 105:6;109:16 caption (2) 117:1;118:14 car (1) 79:2 card (1) 138:15 care (4) 22:18;87:14,17; 136:1 career (1) 121:15 careful (1) 41:6 carefully (1) 17:20 Carol (1) 165:4 carries (1) 117:1 carte (1) 26:4 Carter (24) 6:23;58:20,21; 61:22;75:10;76:3,9; 77:2,4,8,12;78:20; 79:17,20;81:10; 82:4;89:9;99:10; 100:6,15,23;158:6; 169:8;174:14 Carter's (1) 174:17 Case (48) 2:6;7:17;11:21; 12:3,6,9;13:20,22; 14:25;15:14;16:9; 17:5;18:20;19:6,19; 22:16,25;24:10; 25:7;36:3;41:7;51:1; 58:23;92:17;93:9,15, 15;95:2;113:17; 116:15;118:8,14; 119:8;120:11,12; 125:6,15;126:14; 127:18;129:20,21; 130:13;145:10; 147:12;153:3;	cases (9) 23:11;53:23; 112:9;137:3,15; 144:17;152:23; 153:4;178:25 cash (18) 9:25;10:7;24:16; 44:24,25,25;45:3,4; 46:14;48:19;60:10; 65:23;69:7;74:19; 92:4;154:9,10; 167:20 cashier's (1) 83:5 cash-out (3) 45:11;46:12;50:9 categories (2) 9:3;140:8 category (1) 154:11 cause (4) 94:10;136:15; 141:15;151:24 caused (2) 51:4;84:14 CC (1) 2:2 cede (2) 5:13,15 central (1) 140:25 Century (1) 195:17 CEO (1) 185:10 certain (2) 49:19;175:20 certainly (13) 20:10,23;22:16; 27:8;31:19;32:13; 106:18;107:20; 108:8;139:11; 142:18;194:7; 196:11 certificate (3) 116:2;132:7; 161:10 certification (1) 45:21 certified (4) 128:1,10,19,23 certifying (1) 91:24 CFA (1) 8:22 chain (6) 70:4,17;162:5; 178:7;193:13,16 chair (3) 66:2,6;101:14 chance (6) 15:5;37:7,17;39:2;	chancery (7) 116:14;117:11; 118:2,4;119:4,9,20 change (4) 51:9;81:23;83:4; 174:15 changed (4) 16:3;60:14,15; 78:19 characterize (1) 105:20 charge (1) 92:22 chargeable (1) 13:12 charged (3) 92:20;183:23; 184:21 charges (1) 185:9 check (10) 35:17,19;76:13, 16;83:5,5;87:12; 158:9;183:8;193:10 checks (4) 89:20;90:7,13; 168:17 children (2) 76:22;78:24 chose (3) 12:2,2;25:22 Christina (2) 2:3;125:12 Christmas (3) 76:18;87:12;88:7 Christy (3) 6:22;110:7,15 C-H-R-I-S-T-Y (1) 110:15 chronology (1) 164:11 circles (3) 15:4,7;146:1 circumstance (3) 13:6;22:19;79:1 cited (4) 151:7,8;157:21,21 civil (2) 22:18;159:24 Claim (23) 2:3,7;9:2,13; 11:24;12:12,12;14:3, 4;15:1;19:25;28:9; 36:5;39:14,16;43:7; 94:20;97:17;103:20; 105:7;167:12; 174:19;189:5 claimant (2) 7:24;8:11 claimants (18) 8:16,20;9:2,17,22; 10:12;11:4,8;12:10;	claimants' (1) 24:14 claimed (1) 11:20 Claims (20) 2:2,7;5:21,22; 6:18;8:20;12:7,10, 10;19:25;28:10; 32:2;33:14;36:5; 39:12;103:18; 104:24;105:10; 109:17;163:20 clarification (1) 179:3 clarify (1) 157:18 Clark (1) 184:17 clean (1) 167:25 clear (20) 7:17;8:23;15:13; 23:16;29:20;45:5; 47:22;48:8;50:4; 84:5;96:19;97:6; 161:19;165:13; 166:24;167:25; 169:5;175:1;183:3, 21 cleared (1) 195:14 clearly (5) 133:7;159:12; 162:20,22;192:23 clerk (8) 35:15;45:18; 48:22,24;110:13; 127:24;128:10,19 clerks (1) 134:24 Clerk's (4) 35:15,20,21; 129:19 client (9) 13:25;14:11,11; 43:11;122:10,12,13, 15,16 clients (5) 21:15;107:12; 112:17;121:20; 122:7 client's (1) 14:21 clock (1) 103:9 close (21) 34:18,19;45:11; 61:15;66:21;67:4,6; 71:14;74:8;79:14; 82:7;94:9;103:5;

107:19;137:5; 146:20;23;156:21; 167:19;188:20; 198:7 closed (3) 53:24;66:19;156:1 closer (4) 28:19;29:16;66:2; 124:14 closes (1) 156:15 closing (16) 77:15,19,23,24,24, 24;78:14,18;79:7; 83:1,3,3;103:5; 105:24;154:12; 156:8 coffee (2) 79:25;80:4 coincide (1) 87:24 Coles (1) 182:13 collapse (2) 191:1,1 collect (2) 89:18,24 collected (2) 89:20,23 collecting (1) 28:3 collection (1) 144:4 college (3) 62:18;63:13,16 coming (10) 23:4;39:21,21; 43:4;59:12;74:18; 87:3;99:6;100:7; 103:21 commence (4) 15:17,18;23:15; 40:22 commenced (5) 23:19;46:8;59:6; 73:2;132:13 commencement (2) 12:20;23:8 commences (1) 23:24 commencing (1) 59:22 comment (2) 65:9;160:17 Commerce (15) 49:24,25;50:1,5, 11,19;54:17,18;55:1, 8,13,19;56:25;57:5,6 commercial (1) 111:9 commit (1) 72:20 commitment (1)	72:22 commitments (1) 121:8 committed (2) 16:14;127:3 common (3) 6:1;23:1;75:2 communication (8) 56:16;57:18; 62:13;90:17,25;96:4, 19;178:6 communications (1) 183:8 comp (1) 62:21 companies (3) 62:16;75:2;123:6 company (28) 41:22;62:13,19, 20;68:21;69:4; 73:17;74:7;79:7,10, 11,19,21;82:3;83:21; 86:25;87:24;88:4; 96:5;114:2;121:7, 25;149:5;175:3,16; 181:6;195:3,9 comparison (1) 56:2 competent (1) 39:1 complain (1) 152:3 complaint (34) 15:19,22;16:1,3,3, 17;19:5;20:25;22:5, 7;24:9;25:20;39:20, 20;41:4,19;73:23; 112:7,8;113:2; 115:11,13,25;117:3, 8,21,24;118:3,21; 125:18;126:5; 129:20;145:7;167:9 complaints (3) 111:20,21;130:17 complements (1) 151:11 complete (7) 47:5,24;64:22; 67:21;68:25;70:8; 186:19 completed (6) 37:7;47:10; 104:11;134:12,15; 195:2 completely (2) 10:10;142:2 completeness (2) 70:21;164:14 completing (1) 105:25 completion (1) 68:2 compliance (1)	23:18 complicated (2) 155:12,12 complications (1) 181:11 comply (1) 23:23 complying (4) 12:25;13:14; 14:14,17 component (1) 12:9 components (1) 20:10 compose (1) 164:2 compound (1) 144:23 Comptroller (1) 150:9 computer (4) 106:21;134:20,22; 135:1 computers (1) 63:16 conceivable (1) 140:20 concern (6) 109:22;159:5; 160:14,15;177:7; 179:21 concerned (4) 71:13;91:24; 194:4;198:1 concerning (1) 126:20 concerns (1) 160:24 concluded (1) 199:16 conclusion (8) 12:6;23:7;37:13; 39:2;56:4;59:3; 108:22;128:5 conclusive (1) 22:18 condition (1) 161:22 conditions (1) 126:1 condos (1) 76:23 conduct (1) 122:6 conducted (3) 8:2;161:21,25 confer (1) 197:14 Conference (6) 2:6;80:7;91:23; 106:24;107:11; 189:2 confidential (4)	177:14;179:19,24; 180:2 confirm (2) 112:16;139:12 confirmed (1) 115:9 confirms (1) 139:13 conflict (6) 50:24;58:24; 91:13;96:13;122:5; 185:21 conflicted (3) 15:24;93:11; 183:13 conflicting (2) 50:13;58:6 conflicts (1) 177:9 confusion (1) 102:21 connection (12) 9:16;25:7;57:5,8; 67:19;74:22;112:15; 117:25;122:21; 152:5;179:4;183:23 consent (2) 96:18;97:21 consequences (1) 23:2 consequential (1) 51:9 Consequently (1) 162:24 conservatively (2) 48:18;112:11 consider (5) 22:20;43:6;54:9; 123:18;198:21 consideration (1) 68:16 considered (1) 115:6 consistent (1) 198:18 consisting (1) 102:3 constantly (1) 98:11 Consumer (6) 15:11;22:9; 137:10;138:13,16; 149:7 contacted (1) 80:19 contain (3) 36:6;37:4;186:19 contained (2) 57:7;167:6 contemporaneous (2) 186:12;196:5 contends (1) 171:9	content (1) 160:6 contest (1) 12:14 contested (3) 12:14;115:22; 116:7 contesting (1) 131:22 contingency (2) 65:22;66:14 contingent (1) 51:16 continue (4) 93:19;94:17,21; 185:18 continued (2) 51:13;90:13 contra (1) 39:24 contract (53) 6:1,8;22;13:11; 25:3,5,11;36:2,6,6, 23,24;39:21;40:3,4, 11,18;41:2,23;44:3, 22;48:14;49:4,9,16; 51:16;52:14;53:4, 11;54:4;58:9,25; 60:2,5;62:4;67:9; 69:7,8;73:16;74:17; 78:18;81:20;99:5; 102:11,13,15,22; 103:4;121:1,3; 157:12;164:16; 172:16;191:17 contracted (1) 87:25 contractor (2) 50:15,17 contracts (3) 36:2;38:9;51:9 contractual (3) 14:14,18;59:15 contrary (2) 15:25;146:15 control (1) 106:3 controversial (1) 54:3 conversation (4) 21:23;49:13; 51:13;105:21 conversations (2) 30:8;177:18 convey (2) 167:25;169:5 convince (1) 158:6 convinced (1) 157:2 convincing (1) 8:24 Cooper (45)
---	---	--	---	---

September 15, 2014

10:25;24:17,19, 22:67:12;69:8; 78:19,20;79:4,9,15, 19;80:2,11,11;81:15, 21,24;82:10;83:5,7, 10,17;85:9;86:7; 88:21;89:8,20;91:2; 94:12;95:15;97:19, 21;98:15;164:1; 168:4,9,10,15,24; 170:24;171:4,23; 181:23;188:15 Cooper's (1) 81:2 copies (10) 18:15;27:14; 39:10;53:18;91:21, 22;115:25;125:18; 127:13;160:4 Copperman (1) 2:20 copy (24) 35:4;37:23;69:1, 11;82:12;92:2,3; 101:25;116:1;127:6, 8,11;128:1,10,19,23; 129:15;159:19; 160:2,3;164:19; 193:7;194:11;199:5 corner (1) 56:13 Corporation (2) 11:11;120:25 correction (1) 16:6 correctly (2) 68:2;143:18 correspondence (4) 35:11,13;49:16; 195:24 corresponding (1) 15:12 Cosala (1) 23:1 costs (1) 93:1 coughed (1) 186:24 counsel (15) 5:3;7:15,21;21:2; 31:1;52:24,25; 53:25;63:1;106:16; 121:8;169:22,25; 176:25;177:19 count (2) 35:25;36:1 counterclaimants (1) 25:15 counterclaims (1) 25:14 counterparties (1) 25:8 countersigned (2)	193:7,11 countersued (1) 25:8 country (1) 139:15 counts (1) 15:10 county (15) 62:18;90:7; 114:23;115:14; 116:6,14,21;118:15; 119:12,12;127:24; 128:9,13,15,18 couple (4) 36:18;86:21; 105:19,21 coupon (1) 72:9 coupons (2) 71:23;72:10 course (10) 20:8;66:15; 104:18;118:22; 124:5;144:7;154:2; 161:25;168:17; 199:7 courses (1) 190:22 COURT (745) 5:2,8,12,13,15; 6:12,16;7:9,12,12, 13;8:5,8;9:6;11:23; 12:18,23;13:10,24; 14:7,23;15:4,16; 16:5,11,15,24;17:3, 11,15,19,23;18:1,6, 12,18,22,24;19:2,14, 24;20:12,14,17,19, 19,22;21:8,14,22,24; 22:1,3,7,13,23,25,25; 23:6;24:1,8;25:10; 26:1,8,10,16,24; 27:4,6,9,13,18,22,25; 28:4,11,13,15,18,23; 29:3,5,8,12,15,19,25; 30:4,11,16,18,20,24; 31:8,12,14,18;33:17; 34:8,12,17;35:1,3, 18;36:10,14,23;37:6, 10,16;38:4,8,12,18, 20,24;39:23,25;40:2, 8,12,14,19,24;41:3, 14,16,18;42:4,6,8,11, 13,18,25;43:5,17; 44:1,5,8;45:13,15, 17,18,24;46:2,7,11, 17,25;47:2,4,8,13,15, 17;48:2,10,16,22,24; 49:11,23;50:1,6; 51:21,25;52:2,5,7, 12,16,22,23;53:6,8, 10,15,17,22;54:12, 15,22;55:7,12,15,23;	56:20,22;57:3,11,13, 25;58:12,14,20; 60:14,18,22,25;61:3, 6,8,10,13,18,20,23; 62:3,6,9,20;63:14; 64:15,19;65:3,7,11, 12,15,24;66:1,5,8,11, 17,19,21;67:1,6,13, 16,18,21,24;68:13, 22;69:12,15,18,23, 25;70:11,13,15,20, 25;71:2,7,11,13,25; 72:6,11,14,23;73:4, 7,9,11,14,22;74:8,13, 15,21,24;75:8,11,13, 15,17,19,21,24;76:3, 5,8,13;77:5,9,15,22; 78:3,7,9;80:24;81:3, 6,14,18;82:2,7,12,15, 21,23,25;83:16,25; 84:3,10,17,21;85:3, 6,15,18,22;86:4,8,16, 19;87:10;88:8,13,24; 89:2,4,6,15,18,22; 90:1,4,9,15,23;91:5, 10,17,20,22;92:8,12, 19,24;93:8,17,24; 94:24;95:13,18,21, 23;96:1,3,8,11,16, 24;97:4,7,10,13; 98:2,4,6,12,15;99:1, 16,19,22;100:2,13, 22,25;101:2,12,17, 19,21;102:9,13,17, 21;103:4,7,9,13,23; 104:1,4,9,15,19; 105:5,12,15;106:6,9, 12,15,23;107:7; 108:16,20;109:3,7, 11,15,21,25;110:5,8, 12,17,19,24;111:2,5, 8,15,19,23;112:13, 20,23,25;113:8,10, 24;114:6;115:1,4,7, 24;116:6,9,12,14,15, 18,22;117:7,9,10,11, 13,14,16,17,20; 118:2,4,7,10,17,25; 119:5,7,9,15,19,20, 22,24,25;120:4,13, 16;122:20,24;123:4, 7,20,22;124:1,4,6,14, 17,20;126:7,24; 127:1,4,10,12,15,17; 128:13,15,21,22,25; 129:14,18,24;130:7, 24;131:2,14,17,19; 132:2,4,11,18,25; 133:4,10,13,15,20, 22,25;134:5,7,11,23; 135:3,7,12,13,16,18, 20,23,25;136:1,4,6, 16,19,23;137:1,17,	24;138:23;139:1,4, 10;140:11;141:10, 17,19;142:5,8,14,17, 20;143:3,4,11,13,20, 24;144:10,14,20,25; 145:7,18,22;146:5,8, 11,15,18;147:5,9,13, 17,23;148:2,8,17,22; 149:18,22,24;150:7, 17,20,23;151:15; 152:1,9,14;155:1,22; 156:5,12,19;157:4,8, 10,13,15,17,19,24; 158:1,3,10,18,22,25; 159:13;160:5;161:2, 4;163:2,4,6,12,13; 164:3,6;165:1,4,6,8, 18,20,22;166:2,5,7,9, 16,21,22;167:9,12, 17;169:11,19,23; 170:2,16,22;171:1,6, 8,15,18,22;172:1,10, 19,25;174:1,5,8,11, 20,22,25;175:5,8,13, 23;176:1,4,9;177:13, 16;178:5,11,16,21; 179:5,11,13,13,16; 180:1,4,7,15,19; 181:1,10,12,20,25; 182:3,5,8,13,14,19, 23;183:2,21;184:1,3, 5,10,12,15,17,21; 185:2,4,8,13,15,21, 23;186:2,8,14,16,21, 24;187:1,4,9,11,17; 188:12,20,23,25; 189:18,21,24;190:2, 4,6,11,13,16,19; 191:10;192:3,11,16, 20;193:6,15;194:14; 195:16,22;196:9; 197:18,21;198:20; 199:7,11,15 courtroom (5) 35:18,19;45:18; 65:12;135:6 court's (3) 22:8;196:15;198:6 cover (4) 95:10;132:24; 150:10;167:24 covered (3) 151:6;163:15; 195:20 create (1) 98:24 created (1) 99:3 creates (1) 122:5 credit (11) 46:14;104:2; 137:16,20;138:8,14;	152:22,23,23;154:8; 160:11 creditor (2) 153:14,24 creditors (2) 140:6,9 creditworthiness (1) 137:3 criticized (1) 48:19 cross (2) 104:15;133:2 cross- (2) 104:11;130:22 cross-examination (10) 31:12;32:16; 120:16,17;124:1,2; 132:22;152:14,15; 193:9 cross-examine (3) 123:20,23;158:14 cross-examines (1) 132:20 crux (1) 5:22 cumulative (1) 139:21 cup (1) 79:25 cure (2) 131:6,7 Curley (19) 159:3,5,17; 160:24;162:6;163:3, 6,8;195:25;196:6,7, 10,12,20,22,24; 197:16;198:2;199:3 Curley's (4) 159:3;161:17,18; 197:8 Currency (1) 150:10 current (8) 12:1,2;25:22; 26:20;44:6;147:24; 170:13;189:13 currently (1) 111:6 custodian (2) 45:20;196:3 custom (8) 75:2;113:14,21; 114:1;118:22; 123:10;132:12,13 customarily (1) 120:8 customers (1) 140:10 cut (1) 94:2 cutoff (1) 79:21 cutoffs (1)
--	---	---	---	--

79:12	61:3;66:19;67:4; 81:19;84:14;96:17; 110:1;145:6;170:11; 190:4,6;195:7	defendant (7) 115:21;116:6,12; 127:19,20;128:11,13	designed (1) 140:18	52:3
D		desirable (1) 176:22	desire (1) 50:23	discharge (9) 117:5;118:10; 119:11;120:12; 126:10;127:25; 128:5;129:4,8
Dabbert (1) 48:22	dealing (4) 74:25;94:14; 137:9;183:16	defendants (2) 112:7;114:18	despite (1) 161:19	dischargeable (1) 118:12
damage (4) 6:7;84:13,15; 136:14	dealings (1) 50:4	defended (3) 92:16;96:20;184:3	detailed (2) 184:19;186:19	discharged (6) 116:25;119:18; 127:19,21;130:1,5
damaged (1) 85:8	debt (3) 144:4;168:1; 195:10	defending (2) 92:21;93:2	details (1) 106:5	disclosing (1) 106:5
damages (24) 8:12,14,23;9:2,20; 10:16;12:8,11; 22:12;25:25;44:19; 19:93:7;183:19; 187:11,15,18; 189:12;192:21,22; 194:15,23,23;195:19	debtors (2) 13:12;18:19	defense (3) 93:10;96:9;185:4	determination (1) 58:11	discovered (2) 85:8;147:21
damaging (1) 145:2	decade (1) 44:14	defenses (1) 39:12	determined (1) 13:20	discovery (5) 11:16;107:25; 108:3,4;160:22
damned (1) 87:16	December (4) 86:6,6,7;89:22	defied (1) 58:21	development (1) 45:7	discretion (1) 80:21
data (1) 125:15	decide (2) 5:6;22:8	delay (2) 45:1;106:9	deviation (1) 168:12	discuss (6) 5:20;36:1;80:18; 131:16;163:18; 192:17
date (22) 19:8,10;38:23; 53:12;55:22;71:6; 72:15,17;102:8; 103:5;108:6;119:24; 120:2,7;125:7; 129:1;132:8;150:10, 14;160:20;166:15; 195:13	decided (4) 49:15;53:23; 175:11;188:18	delayed (1) 77:15	Di (1) 23:1	discussed (3) 11:16;16:2;151:18
dated (11) 23:8;45:19; 101:23;102:5; 129:18;160:9,21; 162:6;164:9;165:9; 197:9	decides (1) 116:16	delays (1) 7:8	diagnosis (1) 161:22	discussing (3) 104:2;176:25; 192:6
dates (2) 59:21;97:11	decision (6) 12:4;54:10; 129:16,18;130:2; 131:24	Delehey (7) 36:4,11,15;37:23; 167:7;193:7;199:9	Diamond (1) 111:11	discussion (8) 50:22;72:25; 91:23;153:25; 164:22;188:7; 190:15;191:3
David (1) 29:7	declaration (12) 15:25;36:3,3,15, 15,19;37:23;161:7, 13,19;167:7;196:2	demand (3) 155:24;156:8,21	differed (1) 196:25	discussions (4) 56:10;105:20; 148:3;152:19
dawned (1) 189:3	declarations (1) 36:12	demonstrate (2) 20:14,20	different (21) 27:24;28:6;50:17, 18;72:16;80:12; 102:24;103:11; 114:13;118:25; 127:14;139:15; 144:21;145:14; 154:22;160:20; 169:1,2;181:10,12; 195:11	dismiss (5) 118:5,20;119:4; 131:24;184:7
day (7) 64:2;111:3; 158:15;159:8; 160:22;195:23,23	declination (1) 197:7	demonstrated (1) 18:7	differently (1) 25:23	dismissal (13) 96:6;117:7,24; 118:2;120:3,7,10,11; 129:20,24;130:2,4; 185:14
days (13) 63:16;76:20;86:6; 87:12;88:6;97:23, 23;119:13;131:7,11, 12,13;145:9	declined (4) 195:25;197:4,10, 11	denied (2) 10:10;160:8	difficult (3) 18:14;21:16; 192:24	dismissed (27) 13:22;15:15;16:9; 19:19,23;24:1;26:1; 116:15,23,24;117:3, 21,23;118:8,22; 119:8,19,20,21,25; 120:2,9;126:14; 128:25;180:16; 185:24,25
days' (3) 12:20;13:4;14:9	declining (2) 10:22;162:17	deny (1) 101:6	difficulty (3) 5:8;143:16;176:19	dismissing (2) 130:13,13
DD (8) 164:25;165:1,8, 20;166:6,11,15,17	decree (1) 97:21	department (6) 35:10,14;80:25; 149:12;151:9; 197:20	direct (8) 26:18;30:1;34:24; 62:25;110:22; 134:12;135:10; 158:13	disparaging (1) 94:7
deadline (1) 5:17	deep (1) 138:19	Depending (1) 119:12	disadvantage (1) 122:15	dispute (14) 15:14;19:21,25; 25:1;26:11;41:8; 48:15;93:1;100:19; 102:17;139:7,11,12,
deal (12)	default (21) 13:7;20:5;22:5; 23:20,21,23;63:8; 64:11;86:4;88:17; 112:9;115:21,21,22; 126:1,4;131:5,6,8; 145:11,23	depose (2) 160:1,23	disagree (2) 13:8;26:14	
	defaulted (5) 73:24;114:7; 144:22;145:15; 146:21	deposit (4) 25:6;58:4,16; 146:20	disallowed (1) 28:10	
	defaulting (1) 24:23	deposition (1) 125:23	discern (1)	
	defects (1) 58:8	deputy (2) 35:18;45:18		
	defend (1) 73:4	derives (1) 37:14		
		describe (4) 118:19;121:5; 138:1;143:4		
		described (3) 120:7;128:3; 136:24		
		description (2) 106:7;156:17		

September 15, 2014

13 disputed (1) 19:22 disputes (2) 22:4,6 disqualify (1) 122:3 disrespect (2) 65:11,19 distress (4) 141:7,7;192:23; 195:19 diverted (1) 48:25 division (4) 91:15;93:7,14,15 Doc#7017 (1) 2:2 docket (2) 118:7;119:25 docs (2) 193:18;194:3 doctrine (1) 70:20 document (29) 14:8;24:4;43:23; 54:24,25,25;55:2,5, 7,10,11,12,24;56:3, 12,24;68:17;69:3; 70:3,8;76:6;82:10; 114:22;150:16; 160:7;161:9;162:16; 163:10;196:3 documentation (1) 107:9 documents (41) 17:20;19:3;20:24; 21:4;31:21;32:12; 33:20;37:19;38:5,13, 13,14;39:10,18;67:3, 22;68:2,7,24;71:22; 72:7;80:10,24; 84:24;91:24;109:20; 110:1;112:3;114:2, 9;117:23;152:19; 161:9,16,20;162:20, 22;165:15;170:18; 174:18;186:20 document's (1) 56:5 dollar (6) 10:3;49:19; 168:23;185:8;195:5, 9 dollars (44) 8:17,18;24:19,24; 25:6,16,18,19;26:19; 46:16;48:20;51:9; 56:3,11,13;72:21; 74:19;76:10;79:5; 82:16;83:8,17,23; 84:9;85:10;86:10; 87:15,24;88:3,4;	89:1,10;93:12,13; 168:8;170:21,23; 181:13;184:22; 185:2;190:24;195:4, 6,8 Donati (20) 6:22;29:1;103:22; 110:7,8,15,17,20,24; 111:18;114:20; 118:19;119:3;120:6, 19;129:14;130:12; 131:20;133:2; 134:16 D-O-N-A-T-I (1) 110:16 Donati's (3) 122:25;123:9,18 done (19) 21:18;48:21; 51:12;57:19;62:11; 83:22;84:13;104:22; 110:1;113:17; 181:14,22,23; 183:13;185:18,18; 191:11;192:6;198:9 door (1) 81:10 doorstep (1) 94:16 double (1) 189:8 doubts (1) 160:12 down (6) 34:14;59:25; 74:11;79:5;107:24; 177:5 draft (1) 107:15 drafted (1) 82:11 drastically (2) 169:1,2 draw (1) 56:23 drawn (1) 152:5 Drew (1) 29:7 drink (1) 80:4 Drive (3) 6:3;8:14;29:10 dry (1) 78:15 due (5) 9:7;26:24;28:7; 116:2;197:12 duplex (1) 42:21 duplicate (1) 22:2 DuPont (5)	111:10;120:21,25; 121:5,14 duration (1) 7:1 duress (1) 169:4 during (24) 11:16,17;30:14; 37:10;49:1;52:20; 53:20;90:18,20; 91:1;92:8;93:21; 95:9;143:4;149:14; 168:17;174:12; 177:10;179:5,11; 180:7;181:24;191:7; 197:13 duties (2) 121:5;122:6 duty (6) 20:1,2;22:11,15, 17;23:5 E earlier (7) 42:12;129:25; 155:11;169:4;170:8; 174:6;196:1 early (8) 8:19;12:16;30:6, 15;61:15;104:7; 162:7;197:11 easements (1) 121:6 easily (1) 142:24 economic (1) 194:23 Edgar (9) 25:9;52:20;58:19, 24;60:20;98:7; 99:12;100:5;101:24 editor (1) 137:7 effect (10) 117:24;136:9; 138:17;144:1,21; 145:14;149:3;153:6; 181:7;191:2 effected (1) 117:7 effective (1) 129:10 Effectively (1) 153:22 effects (1) 138:2 effort (5) 24:9;158:8;178:3, 8;179:20 efforts (4) 58:10,11;108:20; 176:10	eighteen (1) 42:16 eighty (1) 49:18 either (19) 8:25;18:7,12; 23:13;29:8,16;36:7; 52:24;88:14;89:8; 105:24;108:4;109:1; 116:15;119:8;138:3; 149:8;180:11; 194:25 elaborate (2) 141:16,18 element (1) 15:2 elements (2) 15:1;176:23 elicit (2) 131:19;143:17 else (10) 8:3,5;47:21;66:25; 91:8;131:14;132:4; 133:8,10,18 else's (1) 51:22 e-mail (16) 69:13;70:3,6,9,17; 71:3,5;72:3;74:11; 92:1;178:7;184:13; 187:14;193:13,15; 194:1 e-mailed (3) 91:25;193:17,22 e-mails (4) 69:19;187:14; 194:10;197:19 emotional (2) 192:23;195:18 employed (2) 120:21;140:22 employee (2) 17:9;23:11 employment (1) 124:21 enable (2) 172:3,11 encountered (1) 177:3 encumbered (1) 138:20 encumbers (1) 138:23 end (12) 6:5;25:19;74:12; 82:24;87:19;106:1; 149:6;158:15; 195:23;196:17; 198:1,11 ended (3) 24:23;25:6,10 endorsed (7) 18:9,16,17;19:18;	113:5;114:12;133:6 endorsement (2) 27:16;133:5 engage (1) 184:8 engaged (2) 105:19;184:9 enjoyed (2) 88:18,19 enough (8) 7:4;23:5;65:13; 86:24;88:12;127:13; 169:7;172:11 ensued (1) 58:24 ensure (1) 44:25 ensuring (1) 9:11 enter (10) 7:17;62:4;102:10, 13,15;107:21; 117:20;129:20; 150:3;167:3 entered (11) 11:1;25:13;39:22; 119:19;129:24; 130:2,5;131:24; 146:19;152:3;166:3 entering (2) 175:3;176:18 entertain (2) 81:2;169:12 entertained (1) 169:3 entertaining (1) 175:16 entire (2) 35:12;70:3 entirely (2) 95:6;179:18 entirety (2) 70:5;100:4 entitled (11) 9:18;10:16;15:13; 16:8;25:11;26:9; 37:11;70:22;93:1,3; 197:14 entitlement (3) 8:12;26:3;28:9 entity (2) 28:3;149:9 equal (2) 49:19;160:11 equitable (1) 191:9 equity (5) 188:1,9;189:6,15; 195:11 errors (2) 51:2,3 eScribers (1) 2:21
--	---	---	---	---

escrow (9) 79:6,7;82:21,22; 87:15;89:3,4,23; 156:7	50:21,21;53:1,2,2,6, 12,21,24,25,25;54:5; 10,12,14;55:17,20; 56:3,8;60:3,5,17,18; 70:9,22;71:4,5,7; 74:11;77:1;80:23; 83:20;99:25;102:6, 8;103:20;112:3; 114:3;123:16;146:9; 150:3,11,13,24; 151:16;152:2;157:5; 158:21;161:8,20; 162:24,25;164:6,20; 166:13,15;171:8; 178:6;186:18; 191:12;192:3; 193:12,16;196:12, 15,22;198:12	excused (10) 12:25;13:11,19, 25;14:13,22,23; 31:14;133:15; 157:17	expenses (1) 8:18	37:25;38:10;59:17; 60:9;63:2;68:18; 84:12;87:23;92:5; 145:14;160:25; 178:10
especially (4) 58:6;65:23;87:6; 140:9		excuses (1) 14:17	experience (10) 76:20;110:25; 111:3,19;124:22; 136:1,22;142:22; 143:7;148:12	factors (1) 98:21
ESQ (4) 4:7;187:2,2,3		execute (2) 102:15;118:12	experienced (1) 45:2	facts (13) 6:6;12:14;21:16; 32:2;37:12,17;38:5; 39:15;78:1;125:15; 147:12;154:1;162:7
essentially (3) 7:23;9:15;107:16		executed (3) 72:4;161:10; 193:25	expert (10) 125:5,21;126:19; 136:2,7;137:15; 151:7;157:22; 198:20,22	factually (1) 67:9
Estate (7) 52:20;76:17,19; 98:8;110:25;111:9; 144:12		execution (1) 36:24	expertise (1) 149:20	fail (1) 53:21
estimated (1) 6:8		executive (1) 79:18	expiring (1) 131:12	failed (4) 67:7;126:7; 146:20;196:13
estimation (1) 191:5		exhibit (60) 33:1,2,6,24,25; 36:8,10,20;38:15,20, 23;53:3,3,12;54:7, 16;55:15,22;69:10, 12,18,23;70:5,11,16, 23,23;71:2,6;99:19, 21,22,24;100:3,10, 14;101:22;102:3,8; 150:3,7,13,24;164:4, 5,25;165:8,20; 166:11,15,17; 167:12,15;174:18; 182:20;183:5; 184:15,16;186:12; 193:12	explain (4) 37:17;55:23; 94:18;130:19	failing (1) 8:19
Evan (3) 6:22;133:21;134:9	ex (2) 43:8;111:16		explained (3) 49:3;169:4;182:17	failure (3) 16:15;25:24;94:9
E-V-A-N (1) 134:9	exact (2) 84:7;185:9		explaining (3) 32:24;33:22;40:14	Fair (8) 40:17;130:20; 131:3;139:6;141:4; 155:7;156:17;182:6
Evans (1) 29:2	exactly (6) 14:7;43:19;44:4; 125:7,7;183:7		explanation (3) 47:18;50:7;160:8	fairly (1) 189:15
even (28) 10:3,15;12:4,6; 17:8;21:4;35:24; 43:22,22;50:12; 68:18;70:23;72:5; 77:2;79:23;92:10; 93:23;101:13,16; 102:19;104:10; 107:8;167:20;177:5; 189:16;191:13,14; 193:23	exam (1) 130:23	exhibits (20) 19:3;31:22;32:24, 25;33:3,5,13;36:3; 47:20;53:20;61:23; 62:2;69:11;82:13; 162:24;167:7;186:5; 187:5;196:21;198:5	expressed (1) 109:22	fairness (1) 196:13
evening (1) 83:6	EXAMINATION (8) 30:1;104:12,16; 110:22;130:9; 135:10;155:1,4		expressing (1) 189:21	fall (6) 76:17;90:11,11; 162:23;168:4,18
event (4) 63:3,6;101:13; 161:22	examine (1) 6:5		expressly (2) 156:14;188:16	familiar (3) 117:13;126:20; 140:17
events (1) 196:5	examining (1) 94:4	exist (1) 47:1	extend (1) 89:9	family (4) 10:23;76:21;77:2; 170:9
everybody (1) 127:14	example (6) 18:8;19:25;99:6; 117:20;164:14; 195:3	existence (5) 13:11;22:15;57:3; 148:12;176:14	extended (3) 89:16,17;164:23	far (4) 12:7;149:16; 194:3;198:1
everyone (1) 79:14	exceeds (1) 130:22	existing (11) 47:5,25;49:5; 138:9;140:9;155:24; 156:13,15,22;172:3, 11	extent (14) 11:19;43:3;51:19; 64:12;65:15;70:2,7; 77:7;89:13;91:13; 94:25;113:13; 140:18;183:3	fault (2) 94:8;195:2
evict (1) 88:24	except (2) 122:15,18		external (1) 185:21	favor (4) 127:19,20;128:10, 12
evicted (6) 24:23;90:1;97:20; 168:4,4,17	exception (6) 31:7;162:10,19, 23;186:13;198:22		extra (1) 127:8	favorable (1) 175:17
eviction (1) 97:21	excess (2) 85:9;191:25		extremely (1) 176:21	fear (1) 180:4
evidence (106) 8:14,21,24,24; 9:15,21,23,24;10:3, 4,7,13;11:18;15:13; 26:11;27:14,19;33:3, 4,10,10,24;34:3,4; 35:1;37:1,6,11,12, 12;38:16,20,22;39:1, 3,4,22;43:4,24;	exchange (8) 69:13,19;70:6,10, 21,22;71:3,5	expand (1) 44:11	F	feasibly (1) 138:11
	exclude (3) 113:12;122:25; 152:6	expanding (1) 84:23	face (6) 24:5;55:5,10; 56:24;161:19;177:5	features (2) 51:5;99:4
	excluded (4) 44:19,20;84:25; 162:24	expect (2) 192:6;194:5	face-to-face (1) 179:9	February (9) 96:7;129:18; 145:11;169:20; 170:6,14,20;171:11, 13
	exclusively (1) 112:1	expected (1) 169:16	facie (1) 14:25	Federal (8) 26:13;58:22;
	excuse (6) 7:8;8:4;13:14; 14:12;126:10; 199:13	expecting (1) 169:2	fact (21) 10:9;12:15;14:5; 19:17;22:19;25:11; 26:20;28:5;36:25;	
		expeditiously (1) 158:13		
		expended (2) 74:19;187:12		

September 15, 2014

137:1;139:18;150:9; 159:24;161:8,20	files (3) 20:2;116:6,12	184:20;185:18	69:16,20;71:4,19; 72:3;73:1;193:13,17, 22;194:2,11	foreseeability (1) 136:14
fee (1) 57:19	filing (26) 5:17;13:1;22:6,9, 11;108:5;111:20,21; 112:1;114:21; 115:18;117:2; 118:13;119:11; 120:3;130:17,21; 132:8;136:9;141:14, 20;144:1,11,20; 145:13;174:19	firms (1) 151:4	F-O-L-W-E-I-L-E-R (1) 69:16	foreseeable (1) 149:4
feel (1) 192:23	fill (1) 164:10	firm's (1) 114:20	font (3) 160:19,20,20	foreseeably (1) 141:15
fees (8) 9:16;11:15;12:5; 93:3;183:22;187:12, 17;195:19	filled (3) 48:8;68:7;71:17	First (46) 5:11;14:5;15:3; 17:3;24:25;28:13; 30:5;35:15,25;36:14, 22;38:12;44:23; 46:13;50:25;56:12; 62:23,24;63:21; 67:7;69:19;81:14; 83:14;100:14,23; 101:22,23;102:22; 123:15;136:23; 146:19;153:4; 162:12;167:15; 171:3,6,10;172:5; 180:13;189:4; 190:23;192:12; 197:1,12,16;199:2	footage (2) 51:5;168:7	foreseen (1) 23:3
fell (1) 11:1	filling (2) 78:22;79:13	five (10) 10:19;43:22; 68:12;76:10;78:24; 85:13;88:6;129:12; 145:23;169:1	force (1) 197:24	forget (1) 194:18
fella (1) 61:17	final (1) 115:23	fix (1) 86:23	foreclose (4) 26:3;125:25; 131:4,11	forgotten (2) 68:18;103:2
felt (2) 58:7,12	finalize (1) 107:20	fixed (1) 29:19	foreclosing (2) 149:10,15	form (3) 31:24;32:5;90:12
few (6) 33:11;36:8; 119:13,13;163:15; 194:14	finalized (1) 107:18	fixed/adjustable-rate (2) 38:21;39:6	foreclosure (165) 5:23,24;9:5,8,17; 11:3,19,25;12:20; 13:1,5,6,16,20,21; 15:12,14,17,19; 16:18;18:2,6;19:7, 19,22,23;20:3,6,16; 21:5,11,15;22:8; 23:8,17,19,24;24:9; 25:20;26:1,1,13; 30:7;39:13,19;40:17, 25;41:5,9;42:1,13; 46:8;48:12;49:7,9; 52:11;59:6,14,18,22; 60:1;62:25;63:1; 64:8;73:1,5,23; 80:15;90:19;91:20; 92:16,21;93:2,10; 96:7,9,21;103:22; 111:20,20;112:4,12, 17;113:15,21;114:3, 7,9,19,20,22,23; 115:3,8,10,11,12; 116:5,7,12,13,17; 117:8,15,19,25; 118:2,3,4,6,21; 119:21;120:9;121:9, 20;122:21;123:11, 12;125:18,20;126:5, 14;128:5,9,18,24; 129:21;130:17,21, 21;131:3,8,10,16; 132:8,10,13;136:10; 137:12;138:6; 141:15,20;143:5; 144:1;146:24;147:1; 148:4,13;149:2; 150:8,12;151:10,12; 156:20;169:15,16; 180:14,15;183:9; 184:9,22;185:5,15, 24;197:12	formally (1) 49:15
FFA (10) 15:17;16:15,17; 20:20;22:14;23:6, 18;24:1,3;131:22	finally (3) 88:7;92:3;95:15	flew (1) 179:10	formulate (1) 148:9	formatting (1) 160:19
fictitious (1) 82:5	finance (1) 147:20	flow (2) 44:25;48:19	forth (1) 11:7	Former (4) 122:6,12,15;128:7
field (1) 137:6	financial (8) 44:13;74:6;84:1; 137:2;141:7;147:3; 149:4;176:19	flowed (1) 24:15	forty (6) 41:25;44:3;49:17; 50:18;60:2;97:23	formerly (3) 122:9,11,13
fifty (1) 88:8	financing (9) 25:4;44:24;45:8; 49:17;58:10;60:10; 65:22;66:14;138:4	flyer (1) 63:6	forward (10) 19:23;45:6;79:4; 80:22;105:23;112:8; 116:16;146:23; 158:12;170:18	formulate (1) 148:9
fight (2) 64:9;87:19	find (13) 6:7;21:16;36:7,8; 63:19;68:18;72:1; 88:20;138:9;156:21; 166:20;167:7; 187:10	focus (5) 15:11;97:16; 103:17;104:23; 163:20	found (3) 58:12;151:9; 162:19	forth (1) 11:7
figurative (1) 138:14	finding (1) 25:10	focused (4) 20:19,20;71:11; 92:14	foundation (3) 54:23;100:6; 149:19	forty (6) 41:25;44:3;49:17; 50:18;60:2;97:23
figuratively (1) 138:12	fine (8) 22:3;56:21; 104:18;107:3,5; 108:19;160:16; 176:1	focuses (1) 103:20	four (5) 88:6;111:25; 126:17;160:9,9	forward (10) 19:23;45:6;79:4; 80:22;105:23;112:8; 116:16;146:23; 158:12;170:18
figure (2) 86:23;177:8	finish (2) 32:21;187:4	Foerster (2) 21:14;105:10	four-and-a-half (1) 126:16	found (3) 58:12;151:9; 162:19
file (25) 18:6;19:7;20:4,6; 26:4;43:23;73:20; 112:4;114:22; 115:11,13,14,21; 116:4,9;117:2,5; 123:7,17;131:12; 145:7;149:5;160:2; 180:16;192:1	finishing (1) 195:7	Fol (1) 72:1	fourteen (1) 174:9	foundation (3) 54:23;100:6; 149:19
Filed (38) 2:3;5:21,22;16:16; 17:5;18:2;19:5,6,8; 20:15;22:5;23:17; 25:20;35:3;39:13; 41:9;42:2;48:13; 49:7;73:19,21; 92:17;93:4;105:7; 112:9;115:13;118:5; 120:11;126:5;128:6; 145:15;148:4; 156:20;166:21; 167:9;177:17;184:5, 6	fireplace (1) 51:6	follow (1) 105:22	fourth (1) 8:22	frame (2) 32:1;62:12
filer (1) 149:2	FIRM (19) 4:2;63:8;91:14,14; 111:12,12;114:1; 118:20;119:3;122:8, 13;128:7,20,22; 130:19;183:9,13;	followed (2) 112:9;118:22	fraud (3) 15:11;16:14;22:9	Frank (4) 2:3;4:10;125:11, 14

September 15, 2014

195:14 freely (1) 179:19 freeze (1) 138:19 Friday (5) 35:14;78:14,17; 83:1,6 front (6) 36:10;39:4; 113:19;127:5,6; 128:4 fruition (1) 103:3 fruits (1) 5:21 fulfill (1) 14:25 full (6) 29:6;84:6;86:21; 134:7;191:20,20 fundamental (1) 37:3 funding (3) 45:9;121:25; 122:21 funds (5) 24:14;25:5;77:1; 78:21;193:20 further (11) 31:10;66:6;107:9; 109:9;129:13; 154:24;155:1,21; 157:18;176:10; 180:14 future (1) 80:17	46:18 given (9) 12:19;21:23; 23:14;54:18;79:5; 131:23;132:25; 145:5;183:25 gives (3) 56:2;131:7;191:17 giving (2) 132:19;184:18 Glenn (1) 105:5 GMAC (49) 11:9,21;12:3; 13:21;15:13,23;16:7, 20:17;9:18;19:24; 19:6,12;20:5;21:10; 28:7;62:22;63:15, 20:66;23:67;16; 69:14;77:11;121:23; 122:4,20;126:7; 136:15;141:1;149:9, 9,10;151:4,8,17; 157:21,22;163:20; 166:22,23;170:11; 176:13;177:11,19; 183:14,18,23;184:3; 188:14 GMACM (46) 13:14;14:13; 15:16,17,23;16:4,14, 16:18;6,12;20:20; 23:11,13,19,24;26:2, 6,12,24,25;27:4,6,10, 16,19;28:2;90:17; 91:1;92:19,22;94:8, 10,20;96:17,20; 97:17;103:19; 113:16;123:1;152:4; 170:5;177:17,24; 178:6;179:6;193:7 GMAC's (4) 123:12;136:11; 149:13;151:10 goes (9) 25:17,18;80:6; 87:17;113:10;116:6, 17;145:19;162:3 Goldberg (1) 183:9 good (7) 61:1;80:3;98:8; 105:9,13;120:19; 152:17 good-faith (1) 79:5 Gordon (1) 85:22 government (3) 82:6;149:11;151:5 graduate (1) 124:11 graduated (1)	124:12 Graham (1) 36:4 grandmother (1) 77:14 granted (4) 115:22,22;116:20; 118:6 great (4) 84:14;184:24; 190:8;194:3 ground (1) 121:6 grounds (2) 196:2,3 guess (15) 6:5;51:3;62:22; 68:16;71:20;86:10; 100:17;111:18; 174:12;180:9; 186:17;187:22; 188:17;191:2; 196:19 guy's (1) 87:12	148:21;149:16; 150:3,6;151:13,25; 152:16;157:14; 158:14;159:1,6,18; 160:6;161:3;162:13; 163:1,6,8;164:13,24; 165:2;166:8;178:23; 179:3,7,15;186:11, 15,17;187:7;192:1; 196:7,17,18;197:14, 23;199:10,13 Hager'll (2) 33:4;34:1 Hager's (5) 32:20;43:23; 50:20;155:2;165:24 half (3) 9:12;26:19;44:14 Hallinan (5) 111:11;112:1; 121:13,16;124:21 halt (3) 138:7;146:13; 147:22 Hampton (1) 85:10 Hana (1) 2:20 hand (6) 28:21;34:14;43:9; 51:12;110:10;134:2 handed (2) 48:23;159:19 handle (4) 31:16;75:2;91:4; 101:5 handled (3) 98:11;128:2,7 handling (1) 96:8 handwritten (1) 56:13 hanging (1) 138:12 happen (7) 49:20;61:3;78:6; 87:17;144:6,8; 179:15 happened (25) 5:25;52:16;57:21; 58:14;59:18;60:25; 61:4;62:3,9;85:20; 94:18;103:8,8; 113:20;147:15; 156:24;174:22; 175:5,8,8;176:4; 183:17;188:9;194:6; 195:12 happening (2) 81:2;139:7 happens (8) 35:24;86:19,24; 87:24;89:6;116:10,	18,22 happy (3) 74:4,6;105:18 hard (1) 78:15 harm (3) 141:15,20;151:24 harmful (1) 144:1 head (6) 78:1;97:8,12; 126:18;129:9; 166:19 heading (1) 76:17 hear (16) 10:1,11,18;26:11; 35:18;68:23;80:4; 94:25;95:1;131:1; 145:18;147:19; 152:9;193:6;194:5, 12 heard (12) 19:2;23:10;30:8; 63:21;67:2;80:16; 81:15;107:17; 146:21;189:1;193:8, 16 hearing (11) 6:21;15:21;16:2; 103:11;105:7; 107:17,18,21,22; 108:2,17 hears (1) 116:14 hearsay (21) 30:19;31:3,7;56:5; 57:16;64:14;77:8; 89:14,14;100:9; 161:18;162:10,20, 22,24;172:22; 186:12,13;188:17; 196:3;197:3 heart (1) 86:23 heightened (1) 151:24 held (5) 18:8;23:13;79:7; 83:25;88:2 help (4) 52:24;54:2; 103:17;164:17 helped (1) 165:24 helping (1) 167:14 Hendricks (16) 6:22;133:21,22, 25;134:9,11;135:12; 136:20,21;138:1,16; 139:14;141:14; 143:7;144:10;151:3
G		H		
game (1) 73:13 gaps (2) 7:7,8 gather (1) 170:7 gave (14) 16:17;20:21;49:8; 63:24;64:24,24; 67:18;68:8;76:6; 84:11;86:25;87:21; 88:17;185:6 general (2) 140:16,19 generally (1) 122:17 generic (1) 163:9 gentleman (3) 64:7;81:3,12 gets (1) 162:11 gist (1)		Hager (183) 6:13,15,17,17; 7:16;8:3,4,7,9;11:24; 12:18,21;13:8,17; 14:2,20,24;15:9,19; 16:6,20;17:2,10,13, 17,22,25;18:5,11,13, 21,23;19:1,9,16; 20:8,13,17;21:7,13, 20,23,25;22:2,4,22; 24:13;26:9,10,15,17; 27:2,5,7,12,17,21,23; 28:1,5,11,12;30:10, 17,19;31:13;32:3,15; 34:22;35:5,6;36:18; 37:22;38:19;41:24; 42:25;43:3;46:17; 47:19;48:23;51:19; 52:4;53:8,9;54:7,22, 23;58:1,62:1;64:12, 18;67:13;69:11,25; 70:1,15,25;71:1; 77:7;89:13;91:25; 92:9;95:4;98:25; 99:25;100:2,3,19,21; 104:9,14,18;109:22; 112:18;113:12; 114:5,25;118:24; 119:6;120:18;122:2; 123:2,5,19,24;124:3, 5,7,8;127:8,13,16; 129:13;130:22; 131:25;132:1,21; 133:10,12,14;139:9; 141:8,24;143:1,10;		

H-E-N-D-R-I-C-K-S (1) 134:10	42:14;43:16;45:23; 46:22;47:16;48:1,6; 51:19,24;53:5,7,9, 13;54:23;55:4,9; 56:24;58:22;59:20; 64:6,12,25;65:2,8; 66:16;67:5;68:2; 70:1,19;71:1;74:10; 77:17;78:4,8,23; 80:14;81:17,25;84:5, 22;86:9;87:4;89:13; 90:6;91:3,19;93:5; 96:4,22;98:14,25; 100:12,24;102:20; 103:1;104:14;105:9, 13,18;106:8,9,14; 107:3,5;108:15,19, 25;109:2,9,19; 120:14;122:2; 123:19,21;127:11; 130:16;132:1; 133:12,19;134:19, 20;141:13;142:15; 143:15;148:10,16; 149:21,23;150:2,6, 15;152:8,12;155:21; 157:14;158:20; 159:6,12;163:1,3,4, 8,23;164:2,15,24; 165:7,12;166:8,18, 20;167:3;169:14,21; 170:8;171:13; 174:23;178:2,9,15, 19;182:4,16;183:1,6, 24;184:2,14;186:7, 10,11;187:16,19; 188:22;189:1,23; 192:10,22;194:13; 199:5,10	16;49:14;51:15,17, 18;52:21;59:1; 74:18;78:9;81:5,10, 12;84:8;86:12,15,23; 88:2,18,19,22;90:10, 16,19;95:15;97:18, 25;98:23;99:6,9,10, 11,12;136:14; 137:22;142:1,10; 154:4;167:20,23; 168:7,10,13,19,20; 174:4;175:19; 178:18;180:11,22; 181:5;182:13; 187:24;188:2,3; 189:2,4,6;190:23,24; 194:24	57:12;72:19,20 important (2) 142:1;167:3 impossible (3) 10:15,16;98:3 impression (1) 100:5 improper (2) 21:18;113:17 improve (2) 42:16;44:11 improved (1) 44:24 inability (1) 8:13 inadmissible (2) 151:14,18 inappropriate (1) 143:19 include (5) 53:3;70:18;112:6; 115:25;116:1 included (3) 42:19,21;114:18 includes (2) 70:16;100:4 including (4) 25:8;40:16; 137:10;145:21 income (2) 84:17,18 incomplete (1) 70:3 incorporating (1) 40:16 increasing (1) 84:23 incurred (4) 11:19;12:5; 187:13,18 indeed (1) 37:1 independent (2) 26:13;39:14 indicate (4) 17:7;55:1;92:15; 192:2 indicated (13) 31:19,23;37:24; 46:18;56:2,10,11; 57:17,19;126:6; 134:13;196:6;197:1 indicates (4) 56:1;139:11; 155:6;194:7 indicating (1) 32:7 indication (1) 191:18 individuals (2) 60:8;139:23 indulgence (1) 157:21	industry (7) 124:24;140:6,17, 25,25;143:5;151:12 inference (1) 189:25 Infinity (1) 79:6 information (22) 57:7;63:22,24; 118:13;122:14,17, 18;131:7;136:12; 137:2,9,11,12,13,15; 139:24;140:5,13,19; 144:19;161:24; 165:14 informed (1) 29:1 inherently (1) 14:6 in-house (1) 111:10 initial (3) 81:4;92:9;115:25 initially (1) 98:10 initiated (1) 131:8 injurious (1) 23:3 Inn (1) 85:10 inquire (3) 31:2;99:13;143:21 insist (1) 155:24 inspections (1) 62:11 instance (1) 15:3 instead (3) 12:2;35:2;175:21 institution (2) 58:7,9 instructing (1) 30:25 instrument (1) 37:4 instrumental (1) 10:6 insurance (2) 171:11,12 intend (1) 32:12 intended (4) 97:2,4;179:24; 180:20 intending (2) 27:19;163:17 intends (1) 6:23 intent (7) 31:7;101:11,13, 15;131:4,11;183:18
Henricks (1) 103:24	13;54:23;55:4,9; 56:24;58:22;59:20; 64:6,12,25;65:2,8; 66:16;67:5;68:2; 70:1,19;71:1;74:10; 77:17;78:4,8,23; 80:14;81:17,25;84:5, 22;86:9;87:4;89:13; 90:6;91:3,19;93:5; 96:4,22;98:14,25; 100:12,24;102:20; 103:1;104:14;105:9, 13,18;106:8,9,14; 107:3,5;108:15,19, 25;109:2,9,19; 120:14;122:2; 123:19,21;127:11; 130:16;132:1; 133:12,19;134:19, 20;141:13;142:15; 143:15;148:10,16; 149:21,23;150:2,6, 15;152:8,12;155:21; 157:14;158:20; 159:6,12;163:1,3,4, 8,23;164:2,15,24; 165:7,12;166:8,18, 20;167:3;169:14,21; 170:8;171:13; 174:23;178:2,9,15, 19;182:4,16;183:1,6, 24;184:2,14;186:7, 10,11;187:16,19; 188:22;189:1,23; 192:10,22;194:13; 199:5,10	18;52:21;59:1; 74:18;78:9;81:5,10, 12;84:8;86:12,15,23; 88:2,18,19,22;90:10, 16,19;95:15;97:18, 25;98:23;99:6,9,10, 11,12;136:14; 137:22;142:1,10; 154:4;167:20,23; 168:7,10,13,19,20; 174:4;175:19; 178:18;180:11,22; 181:5;182:13; 187:24;188:2,3; 189:2,4,6;190:23,24; 194:24	important (2) 142:1;167:3 impossible (3) 10:15,16;98:3 impression (1) 100:5 improper (2) 21:18;113:17 improve (2) 42:16;44:11 improved (1) 44:24 inability (1) 8:13 inadmissible (2) 151:14,18 inappropriate (1) 143:19 include (5) 53:3;70:18;112:6; 115:25;116:1 included (3) 42:19,21;114:18 includes (2) 70:16;100:4 including (4) 25:8;40:16; 137:10;145:21 income (2) 84:17,18 incomplete (1) 70:3 incorporating (1) 40:16 increasing (1) 84:23 incurred (4) 11:19;12:5; 187:13,18 indeed (1) 37:1 independent (2) 26:13;39:14 indicate (4) 17:7;55:1;92:15; 192:2 indicated (13) 31:19,23;37:24; 46:18;56:2,10,11; 57:17,19;126:6; 134:13;196:6;197:1 indicates (4) 56:1;139:11; 155:6;194:7 indicating (1) 32:7 indication (1) 191:18 individuals (2) 60:8;139:23 indulgence (1) 157:21	industry (7) 124:24;140:6,17, 25,25;143:5;151:12 inference (1) 189:25 Infinity (1) 79:6 information (22) 57:7;63:22,24; 118:13;122:14,17, 18;131:7;136:12; 137:2,9,11,12,13,15; 139:24;140:5,13,19; 144:19;161:24; 165:14 informed (1) 29:1 inherently (1) 14:6 in-house (1) 111:10 initial (3) 81:4;92:9;115:25 initially (1) 98:10 initiated (1) 131:8 injurious (1) 23:3 Inn (1) 85:10 inquire (3) 31:2;99:13;143:21 insist (1) 155:24 inspections (1) 62:11 instance (1) 15:3 instead (3) 12:2;35:2;175:21 institution (2) 58:7,9 instructing (1) 30:25 instrument (1) 37:4 instrumental (1) 10:6 insurance (2) 171:11,12 intend (1) 32:12 intended (4) 97:2,4;179:24; 180:20 intending (2) 27:19;163:17 intends (1) 6:23 intent (7) 31:7;101:11,13, 15;131:4,11;183:18
hereby (8) 38:22;53:11; 55:19;71:5;102:7; 150:13;166:14; 189:5	13;54:23;55:4,9; 56:24;58:22;59:20; 64:6,12,25;65:2,8; 66:16;67:5;68:2; 70:1,19;71:1;74:10; 77:17;78:4,8,23; 80:14;81:17,25;84:5, 22;86:9;87:4;89:13; 90:6;91:3,19;93:5; 96:4,22;98:14,25; 100:12,24;102:20; 103:1;104:14;105:9, 13,18;106:8,9,14; 107:3,5;108:15,19, 25;109:2,9,19; 120:14;122:2; 123:19,21;127:11; 130:16;132:1; 133:12,19;134:19, 20;141:13;142:15; 143:15;148:10,16; 149:21,23;150:2,6, 15;152:8,12;155:21; 157:14;158:20; 159:6,12;163:1,3,4, 8,23;164:2,15,24; 165:7,12;166:8,18, 20;167:3;169:14,21; 170:8;171:13; 174:23;178:2,9,15, 19;182:4,16;183:1,6, 24;184:2,14;186:7, 10,11;187:16,19; 188:22;189:1,23; 192:10,22;194:13; 199:5,10	18;52:21;59:1; 74:18;78:9;81:5,10, 12;84:8;86:12,15,23; 88:2,18,19,22;90:10, 16,19;95:15;97:18, 25;98:23;99:6,9,10, 11,12;136:14; 137:22;142:1,10; 154:4;167:20,23; 168:7,10,13,19,20; 174:4;175:19; 178:18;180:11,22; 181:5;182:13; 187:24;188:2,3; 189:2,4,6;190:23,24; 194:24	important (2) 142:1;167:3 impossible (3) 10:15,16;98:3 impression (1) 100:5 improper (2) 21:18;113:17 improve (2) 42:16;44:11 improved (1) 44:24 inability (1) 8:13 inadmissible (2) 151:14,18 inappropriate (1) 143:19 include (5) 53:3;70:18;112:6; 115:25;116:1 included (3) 42:19,21;114:18 includes (2) 70:16;100:4 including (4) 25:8;40:16; 137:10;145:21 income (2) 84:17,18 incomplete (1) 70:3 incorporating (1) 40:16 increasing (1) 84:23 incurred (4) 11:19;12:5; 187:13,18 indeed (1) 37:1 independent (2) 26:13;39:14 indicate (4) 17:7;55:1;92:15; 192:2 indicated (13) 31:19,23;37:24; 46:18;56:2,10,11; 57:17,19;126:6; 134:13;196:6;197:1 indicates (4) 56:1;139:11; 155:6;194:7 indicating (1) 32:7 indication (1) 191:18 individuals (2) 60:8;139:23 indulgence (1) 157:21	industry (7) 124:24;140:6,17, 25,25;143:5;151:12 inference (1) 189:25 Infinity (1) 79:6 information (22) 57:7;63:22,24; 118:13;122:14,17, 18;131:7;136:12; 137:2,9,11,12,13,15; 139:24;140:5,13,19; 144:19;161:24; 165:14 informed (1) 29:1 inherently (1) 14:6 in-house (1) 111:10 initial (3) 81:4;92:9;115:25 initially (1) 98:10 initiated (1) 131:8 injurious (1) 23:3 Inn (1) 85:10 inquire (3) 31:2;99:13;143:21 insist (1) 155:24 inspections (1) 62:11 instance (1) 15:3 instead (3) 12:2;35:2;175:21 institution (2) 58:7,9 instructing (1) 30:25 instrument (1) 37:4 instrumental (1) 10:6 insurance (2) 171:11,12 intend (1) 32:12 intended (4) 97:2,4;179:24; 180:20 intending (2) 27:19;163:17 intends (1) 6:23 intent (7) 31:7;101:11,13, 15;131:4,11;183:18
here's (5) 66:1;94:6;158:10; 170:22;177:16	80:14;81:17,25;84:5, 22;86:9;87:4;89:13; 90:6;91:3,19;93:5; 96:4,22;98:14,25; 100:12,24;102:20; 103:1;104:14;105:9, 13,18;106:8,9,14; 107:3,5;108:15,19, 25;109:2,9,19; 120:14;122:2; 123:19,21;127:11; 130:16;132:1; 133:12,19;134:19, 20;141:13;142:15; 143:15;148:10,16; 149:21,23;150:2,6, 15;152:8,12;155:21; 157:14;158:20; 159:6,12;163:1,3,4, 8,23;164:2,15,24; 165:7,12;166:8,18, 20;167:3;169:14,21; 170:8;171:13; 174:23;178:2,9,15, 19;182:4,16;183:1,6, 24;184:2,14;186:7, 10,11;187:16,19; 188:22;189:1,23; 192:10,22;194:13; 199:5,10	18;52:21;59:1; 74:18;78:9;81:5,10, 12;84:8;86:12,15,23; 88:2,18,19,22;90:10, 16,19;95:15;97:18, 25;98:23;99:6,9,10, 11,12;136:14; 137:22;142:1,10; 154:4;167:20,23; 168:7,10,13,19,20; 174:4;175:19; 178:18;180:11,22; 181:5;182:13; 187:24;188:2,3; 189:2,4,6;190:23,24; 194:24	important (2) 142:1;167:3 impossible (3) 10:15,16;98:3 impression (1) 100:5 improper (2) 21:18;113:17 improve (2) 42:16;44:11 improved (1) 44:24 inability (1) 8:13 inadmissible (2) 151:14,18 inappropriate (1) 143:19 include (5) 53:3;70:18;112:6; 115:25;116:1 included (3) 42:19,21;114:18 includes (2) 70:16;100:4 including (4) 25:8;40:16; 137:10;145:21 income (2) 84:17,18 incomplete (1) 70:3 incorporating (1) 40:16 increasing (1) 84:23 incurred (4) 11:19;12:5; 187:13,18 indeed (1) 37:1 independent (2) 26:13;39:14 indicate (4) 17:7;55:1;92:15; 192:2 indicated (13) 31:19,23;37:24; 46:18;56:2,10,11; 57:17,19;126:6; 134:13;196:6;197:1 indicates (4) 56:1;139:11; 155:6;194:7 indicating (1) 32:7 indication (1) 191:18 individuals (2) 60:8;139:23 indulgence (1) 157:21	industry (7) 124:24;140:6,17, 25,25;143:5;151:12 inference (1) 189:25 Infinity (1) 79:6 information (22) 57:7;63:22,24; 118:13;122:14,17, 18;131:7;136:12; 137:2,9,11,12,13,15; 139:24;140:5,13,19; 144:19;161:24; 165:14 informed (1) 29:1 inherently (1) 14:6 in-house (1) 111:10 initial (3) 81:4;92:9;115:25 initially (1) 98:10 initiated (1) 131:8 injurious (1) 23:3 Inn (1) 85:10 inquire (3) 31:2;99:13;143:21 insist (1) 155:24 inspections (1) 62:11 instance (1) 15:3 instead (3) 12:2;35:2;175:21 institution (2) 58:7,9 instructing (1) 30:25 instrument (1) 37:4 instrumental (1) 10:6 insurance (2) 171:11,12 intend (1) 32:12 intended (4) 97:2,4;179:24; 180:20 intending (2) 27:19;163:17 intends (1) 6:23 intent (7) 31:7;101:11,13, 15;131:4,11;183:18
Hi (1) 120:20	80:14;81:17,25;84:5, 22;86:9;87:4;89:13; 90:6;91:3,19;93:5; 96:4,22;98:14,25; 100:12,24;102:20; 103:1;104:14;105:9, 13,18;106:8,9,14; 107:3,5;108:15,19, 25;109:2,9,19; 120:14;122:2; 123:19,21;127:11; 130:16;132:1; 133:12,19;134:19, 20;141:13;142:15; 143:15;148:10,16; 149:21,23;150:2,6, 15;152:8,12;155:21; 157:14;158:20; 159:6,12;163:1,3,4, 8,23;164:2,15,24; 165:7,12;166:8,18, 20;167:3;169:14,21; 170:8;171:13; 174:23;178:2,9,15, 19;182:4,16;183:1,6, 24;184:2,14;186:7, 10,11;187:16,19; 188:22;189:1,23; 192:10,22;194:13; 199:5,10	18;52:21;59:1; 74:18;78:9;81:5,10, 12;84:8;86:12,15,23; 88:2,18,19,22;90:10, 16,19;95:15;97:18, 25;98:23;99:6,9,10, 11,12;136:14; 137:22;142:1,10; 154:4;167:20,23; 168:7,10,13,19,20; 174:4;175:19; 178:18;180:11,22; 181:5;182:13; 187:24;188:2,3; 189:2,4,6;190:23,24; 194:24	important (2) 142:1;167:3 impossible (3) 10:15,16;98:3 impression (1) 100:5 improper (2) 21:18;113:17 improve (2) 42:16;44:11 improved (1) 44:24 inability (1) 8:13 inadmissible (2) 151:14,18 inappropriate (1) 143:19 include (5) 53:3;70:18;112:6; 115:25;116:1 included (3) 42:19,21;114:18 includes (2) 70:16;100:4 including (4) 25:8;40:16; 137:10;145:21 income (2) 84:17,18 incomplete (1) 70:3 incorporating (1) 40:16 increasing (1) 84:23 incurred (4) 11:19;12:5; 187:13,18 indeed (1) 37:1 independent (2) 26:13;39:14 indicate (4) 17:7;55:1;92:15; 192:2 indicated (13) 31:19,23;37:24; 46:18;56:2,10,11; 57:17,19;126:6; 134:13;196:6;197:1 indicates (4) 56:1;139:11; 155:6;194:7 indicating (1) 32:7 indication (1) 191:18 individuals (2) 60:8;139:23 indulgence (1) 157:21	industry (7) 124:24;140:6,17, 25,25;143:5;151:12 inference (1) 189:25 Infinity (1) 79:6 information (22) 57:7;63:22,24; 118:13;122:14,17, 18;131:7;136:12; 137:2,9,11,12,13,15; 139:24;140:5,13,19; 144:19;161:24; 165:14 informed (1) 29:1 inherently (1) 14:6 in-house (1) 111:10 initial (3) 81:4;92:9;115:25 initially (1) 98:10 initiated (1) 131:8 injurious (1) 23:3 Inn (1) 85:10 inquire (3) 31:2;99:13;143:21 insist (1) 155:24 inspections (1) 62:11 instance (1) 15:3 instead (3) 12:2;35:2;175:21 institution (2) 58:7,9 instructing (1) 30:25 instrument (1) 37:4 instrumental (1) 10:6 insurance (2) 171:11,12 intend (1) 32:12 intended (4) 97:2,4;179:24; 180:20 intending (2) 27:19;163:17 intends (1) 6:23 intent (7) 31:7;101:11,13, 15;131:4,11;183:18
higher (3) 44:9;169:10;177:6	80:14;81:17,25;84:5			

intention (2) 31:19;196:12	42:23	86:22	35:16;43:1,10; 178:11	Las (1) 83:23
interactions (1) 42:2	invoice (1) 187:14	January (6) 86:6;88:13;89:2,3, 23;111:14	Kelly (1) 120:23	last (10) 9:12;48:7;65:9,10; 68:11;105:21;154:3; 159:11;160:22; 193:15
Interagency (1) 150:8	invoices (2) 11:15;187:14	Jeffery (2) 91:12;93:9	Kentucky (3) 175:13,23;176:3	late (2) 83:6;183:9
interest (11) 9:3,7;11:13;17:7; 24:6;43:19;74:2; 122:5;167:21;172:6; 185:22	involve (2) 137:1,3	Jeffrey (1) 182:20	kept (5) 24:24;54:20,20; 68:21;161:25	later (6) 68:6;88:21;130:3; 145:16;165:19; 194:2
interested (8) 60:6;115:16; 140:4;145:20; 174:14;181:5,8; 182:12	involved (15) 21:10,11,14; 60:10;74:2;93:14; 15;112:11;126:18; 148:19;152:24; 153:1,5;181:22; 185:20	Jersey (33) 6:4;16:25;18:1; 22:16,25;24:8; 29:11;58:11;63:1; 75:1;88:16;111:12; 113:15,22;114:18; 115:8;117:7,13,16, 24;119:17;121:11; 123:11;125:1; 126:19;129:11; 130:20;145:9;153:2, 4;175:11,21;190:20	Kevin (3) 4:3;105:8,14	Lauren (1) 36:4
interesting (3) 9:11;49:14;72:2	involves (1) 115:17	join (1) 40:22	key (2) 95:10;162:5	LAW (26) 4:2,6;1;18:1; 20:10,15;22:16; 23:23;30:25;41:2; 48:22;63:8;93:7,14, 15;111:6;114:1; 123:8;124:9;126:16; 128:20,22;134:24; 137:9;182:20; 198:14,18
interest-only (3) 37:25;38:22;39:8	involving (6) 48:9;137:15; 144:18;152:23; 153:1,3	joined (1) 40:22	kicked (2) 146:12;157:11	lawfully (1) 161:10
interests (1) 122:10	ironically (1) 49:20	judge (18) 91:8;96:14;105:5; 116:14,16;117:10, 14;118:1,9;125:20; 129:14,16;130:1,12; 131:23;136:24; 179:22;185:20	kids (3) 80:9;85:13;87:6	lawsuit (5) 115:17;177:17; 179:6,11;180:13
interfered (2) 157:12;188:14	irregularities (1) 151:9	judgment (18) 25:13;112:10; 115:23;116:2,3,4,19; 117:23;127:19,20; 128:1,10,12,19,23; 130:2,4;184:7	kind (16) 64:25;76:10,15; 77:20;91:13;96:13; 99:2,4,5;120:11; 136:13;137:11; 141:5;176:17;191:1; 192:2	lawyer (25) 31:25;37:19;42:2; 53:20;63:9,10;73:4; 74:21;93:6;95:3; 96:17,17,18,21,25; 111:5,6;122:7,9,11; 138:20;184:6,7,8,8
interference (6) 6:2;187:22;188:8, 10;194:25;195:12	issue (27) 13:19;15:21,24; 16:7,8;19:16,20; 22:18;23:4,6;24:1; 26:2;37:21;58:5; 65:8;70:4,9;71:20; 91:7;123:8;129:19; 145:8,10;160:13; 185:19;197:3; 198:17	judgment's (1) 116:20	kitchen (1) 30:8	lawyers (10) 42:3,4;53:19; 91:19,21;92:15,16, 20;96:6;156:7
interplay (1) 44:4	issues (10) 8:9;9:8;58:3; 65:13;74:6;92:14; 136:24;175:2; 176:19;190:6	judicial (1) 40:22	knew (7) 44:17;77:12,13; 86:10;139:25;181:4; 183:16	lawyers' (1) 93:3
interpretation (1) 155:7		July (13) 7:5;36:15;61:16; 125:7,8;159:4; 160:13,18,22; 161:18;162:6; 193:16;196:19	knowing (3) 44:13;78:25;140:4	lay (2) 100:6;192:7
interrupt (1) 47:18		jumped (2) 20:23;95:14	knowingly (1) 122:7	Leading (9) 112:18;114:5,25; 118:24;139:9;140:8; 141:8;143:1,10
interruption (1) 48:19		June (7) 61:16;100:16; 101:23;165:10,17; 166:11,14	knowledge (16) 41:13;55:16,20; 56:16;57:17;77:10; 137:6,14,15;140:3; 144:17,21;161:24; 162:9;172:12;179:7	leak (1) 84:14
into (50) 11:1;19:20;24:17; 29:21,22;33:24; 34:4;38:22;39:22; 45:6,9;53:12;55:20; 62:4;71:5;74:1; 76:18;78:1;80:7; 85:7;86:22;99:25; 102:6,7,10,13,16; 114:14;118:4;144:3; 145:19,20;146:9,19; 150:3,11,13;164:6, 20;166:15;170:17, 17;175:4;176:12,18; 178:6,14;180:4; 196:15,21	J		known (1) 122:17	leaning (1) 66:5
Intragency (1) 150:12	jacket (1) 34:8		knows (1) 185:20	learn (2) 101:4;139:23
introduced (3) 164:4;178:6; 193:12	Jacobs (7) 10:23;44:1,2;54:4; 58:25;59:2;99:5	K	Krisden (2) 184:20;187:3	lease (2) 82:8,18
investigate (2) 176:15,16	Jacobses (26) 25:1,2,6,10;44:15; 48:15;49:4,6,14,15; 50:8,13,22;51:15,20; 52:8,13;53:4,11; 57:22;58:12;59:9; 60:8,19;94:9,10	Karen (1) 186:3	L	leases (2) 121:6,6
investigated (2) 149:11;172:13	Jacobses' (8) 25:5;42:5,6;50:14, 19;58:8;163:14; 188:12	Kate (1) 199:14	lack (2) 23:17,18	least (7) 8:17;28:2;67:2; 88:10;129:25;194:9; 199:4
investigation (1) 151:5	jail (3) 90:7;138:14,15	Kay (1) 23:1	land (2) 118:15;128:6	
Investment (1)	jammed (1)	keep (4)	Landing (1) 29:11	
			language (2) 59:15;188:5	
			large (1) 62:14	
			larger (1) 121:8	

September 15, 2014

leave (3) 103:13,15;121:13	letting (3) 95:7;97:22;131:5	118:11,12,13,22; 119:3,11,17;120:8; 12:125:19;126:10; 20;127:18,21,25; 128:6;129:3,4,7,8, 10;130:1,5,14,18; 136:10;138:2,6; 139:6,8,16,22;141:3, 4,14,20;142:2;143:8; 144:11,20;145:2,13, 15;147:22;148:13; 149:2;152:3;153:2,3, 7,9,15,19,20,23; 154:1,5,16,19,21,21; 155:6;156:5,20; 157:1;176:14; 189:13;195:14,16	12:25;14:17;20:1; 46:20;47:20;57:3,5; 141:2;147:20; 153:18,23;160:10; 162:18;167:19,20; 170:12,17;177:24; 193:1,8,11;194:6,8; 197:7,10	Louise (2) 6:23;99:10 Louisville (5) 175:13,23,25; 176:2,2 lounging (1) 65:10 love (2) 86:9;158:9 lower (5) 20:17,19;51:5; 61:7;126:7 lowered (1) 74:4 lunch (8) 29:2;92:8;93:20, 21;95:9,11;134:13; 163:13
leaves (1) 7:4 ledge (1) 135:1 leeway (5) 37:18;39:17; 43:13;132:19;133:1 left (4) 25:14;59:11; 63:10;195:5 leg (1) 162:12 legal (17) 11:6;12:7;35:10, 14;37:7,13;39:17; 42:2;57:12;80:25; 113:20;114:22; 120:23;187:12,17; 195:19;197:19 legally (2) 67:8;72:2 LEGG (1) 4:2 lend (1) 145:22 lender (18) 37:5;40:21;113:6; 114:7,11,13;131:6; 132:12;138:7;141:1; 143:7,25;145:22; 146:2,3,5;147:4; 153:21 lenders (3) 111:13;121:17; 145:21 lending (1) 153:21 length (2) 70:17;71:3 less (3) 184:21;191:21; 195:1 lessening (1) 195:20 letter (40) 33:1;45:18;48:23; 100:4,7,9,14,15; 101:10,23;131:4; 138:13;139:3;159:2, 4,4,7,8,17,18;160:2, 7,13,13,15,18,19,21; 161:16,17,18;162:9; 174:17;196:19,19, 20;197:5,8,9,9 letters (15) 35:10;159:11; 160:25;161:6;162:5, 5,8;163:5;183:10; 196:15,18;197:2,25; 198:5;199:3 letter's (1)	liability (4) 5:22;22:20;23:22; 57:8 liable (2) 11:8;12:5 licensed (1) 121:11 lien (6) 83:14;154:16,20, 21,21;155:6 liens (15) 10:5,14;46:16; 84:8;137:4;148:24; 156:9,13,15,22; 171:2,2,5;172:4,12 life (4) 76:12;86:22; 94:14;175:18 light (4) 22:8;58:6;65:23; 66:18 liked (2) 51:1;98:24 likely (3) 139:11,13;149:3 limbo (2) 180:12,14 limine (3) 113:12;122:24; 137:18 limited (10) 55:16,20;57:17; 91:13;93:10,10,24; 103:24;132:21; 170:2 limits (1) 137:22 Linda (4) 91:11,12;185:11; 187:2 line (4) 46:14;59:23; 80:19;121:14 lines (1) 166:25 lingering (1) 49:12 lion's (1) 93:12 liquid (1) 89:12 liquidate (2) 183:20;189:15 liquidity (1) 81:1 lis (81) 15:12;100:18,19; 102:4,7;111:21; 114:22;115:14,18; 116:22,25;117:5;	list (12) 5:3;56:6;98:1,4, 13,13;99:12;163:7,9, 9,10;196:25 listed (10) 36:11;43:24;44:6; 59:17;61:10;97:25; 98:12;163:5,6,8 listen (3) 43:7;190:18; 198:10 listening (1) 101:8 listing (6) 10:19;58:18; 59:15;61:8,9;99:3 litigated (3) 12:3;13:20;58:5 litigation (12) 50:12;57:23;58:6; 142:23;143:9; 153:11;155:8;175:2; 176:13;177:10; 191:23;193:4 little (15) 9:16;37:18;63:4,4, 4;75:6;76:15;80:1; 85:12,13;86:22; 95:14;106:8,10; 124:14 live (6) 42:21,23;78:15; 79:2;135:22;139:14 lived (2) 37:17;44:17 lives (1) 11:12 living (7) 8:18;9:12;11:12; 44:11;85:10,10,12 LLC (1) 2:21 loading (2) 74:18;75:18 loan (31) 9:7,9,9,22,23,24;	loan-application (1) 9:21 local (1) 62:18 located (3) 115:15;116:21; 118:16 locks (1) 138:18 lodge (1) 109:23 logical (1) 152:5 long (13) 43:24;65:9,10; 68:11;73:20;77:15; 78:9;108:1;121:3; 129:10,23;146:2; 177:7 longer (4) 11:9;76:19,20; 194:1 look (28) 32:19,21;35:16; 39:11;47:9;55:9; 64:8;70:11;72:11; 77:2;87:3,14,15; 114:10,12,14,17; 119:25;131:21; 140:1;145:7;160:5; 164:18,21;165:1,15; 166:9;182:19 looked (5) 17:19;19:3,4; 20:24;74:11 looking (12) 37:21;38:5;54:2; 65:20;67:3;72:1; 99:19;102:22;133:5; 147:20;149:6;187:9 loop (1) 105:24 loss (1) 26:25 lost (3) 9:13;146:25; 192:23 lot (13) 18:17;54:2;86:15; 99:6;106:25;137:6, 13,15;146:21; 149:10;152:23; 190:7,8 lots (2) 149:10;168:12	mail (3) 68:6;76:13,16 mainly (1) 140:18 maintained (1) 59:13 maintenance (1) 111:21 major (2) 140:6;154:15 majority (2) 98:8;152:21 makes (2) 57:6;142:3 making (7) 10:15,16;43:1; 50:25;112:7;162:2; 170:5 man (3) 10:24;81:5;87:5 manage (1) 121:8 managed (1) 121:20 Management/Scheduling (1) 2:6 many (7) 47:12;63:15; 98:17;99:7;137:3; 151:4;197:19 March (6) 96:7;111:10,14; 121:4;164:9;165:16 Mark (4) 10:24;61:17; 69:16;74:17 marked (3) 38:15;92:14; 195:24 market (37) 6:3;8:16;10:19,21, 22;43:18,21;44:17;
M				

September 15, 2014

45:4;59:8;60:5,6,15; 76:20;97:19;98:23; 99:8,11,12;144:11; 153:7;168:5;174:3; 9;178:13;180:22; 189:14,16,18,22; 190:7,7,19;191:12, 16,18;195:14 marketing (1) 44:13 Maryland (2) 135:22;139:14 matches (1) 118:14 material (4) 51:3;58:8;78:15; 134:21 materially (1) 122:10 materials (1) 67:18 Matlack (5) 6:3;8:13;45:14; 46:5;194:24 Matt (3) 73:12;91:11;92:1 matter (37) 15:21;20:4,5; 36:24;41:11;51:10; 55:17,21;56:1,8; 57:15;63:2;68:18; 75:25;77:10;80:15; 92:9;105:2,2;107:12, 13;108:3;118:22; 122:8,12,13;129:15; 131:22,24;155:12; 162:12;178:10; 180:24;181:6;191:2, 14;198:1 matters (5) 106:25;113:15; 136:2;152:21;153:1 Matthews (6) 2:7;4:3;105:2,8, 14;109:6 May (39) 12:18;30:14;32:3, 7;36:14;40:21;44:5; 48:8;54:7;55:4;63:3; 64:6,16,16;78:2; 79:11;92:25;94:13; 100:24;102:5,5; 105:8;107:10; 109:22;115:16; 117:20;124:13; 139:15;140:4; 145:15;148:18; 150:15;152:4;159:5; 167:9,11;181:15; 192:1;198:12 maybe (13) 26:11;30:5;42:16; 43:20;61:15;62:13;	63:25;77:21;81:16, 16,17;172:6;183:21 Mays (1) 29:11 McCaffrey (1) 55:1 McCrink (18) 73:12,12;74:21; 91:6,8,11;93:14; 96:8,14;184:9,10,12, 17,19,20,21;185:19; 187:3 McCrink's (1) 92:1 MD (1) 4:5 mean (66) 7:11,13;13:2; 14:15;19:2;21:17; 37:15,16;38:24; 39:18;43:1;60:23; 65:10;69:2;71:11; 73:10;74:4;78:6; 81:8,9;83:19,20; 86:13,15;87:3,4,5, 11;89:11;92:10; 94:6,7,9;95:22; 96:24;98:8,16,16,20, 20;104:6;107:14,24; 144:23;145:25; 154:13;164:9; 165:22;169:3; 172:17;174:23; 180:24,25;184:25, 25;185:1;189:7,21, 22;190:13,16,23; 191:12;192:13,13; 195:8 meaning (6) 5:24;37:19,20; 42:4,15;64:9 meaningless (1) 13:5 means (1) 30:21 meant (1) 58:11 meantime (1) 80:8 measure (1) 99:7 mediation (18) 177:10,14;178:20, 22,24,25;179:2,3,5, 17,18,22,23,24; 180:1,2,7,10 mediations (1) 179:9 meet (2) 8:25;20:9 meeting (10) 62:14,14;63:12; 64:10;66:23,24;	67:1;68:5;73:15; 91:23 member (1) 124:24 memorandum (4) 92:13,13;123:8,17 memory (5) 52:10;62:24;97:6; 127:3;164:17 Menendez (1) 62:15 Menendez's (1) 62:15 mental (1) 171:15 mention (2) 40:15;193:3 mentioned (3) 26:22;120:21; 141:14 MERS (12) 17:6,7,12,14,16, 16,18,19,20,23; 23:10;24:6 message (2) 35:13;141:5 messages (1) 63:10 met (7) 22:7;69:14,15; 81:3,5;170:11,20 Metrocities (1) 18:18 microphone (11) 28:19;29:16,17,21, 23;34:18;65:4;66:3, 12;124:15;134:3 microphone's (1) 29:17 mid-December (1) 86:16 middle (1) 86:7 mid-sentence (1) 151:22 midst (1) 32:17 might (11) 10:17;23:5,6;36:8; 43:22;50:20;63:20; 140:11;159:15; 164:17;183:7 million (14) 26:19;41:25;44:3; 49:17;50:18;60:2; 76:10;102:18,19; 168:8,14;174:15; 190:24;195:3 million- (1) 167:18 million-450 (4) 167:17;169:11,12; 172:10	million-eight (1) 69:9 million-nine-something (1) 191:25 million-one (1) 174:15 million-three (4) 102:20,21,23; 167:16 mind (17) 42:17;49:5;51:22; 54:13;59:21;71:19; 79:6;80:5,14;96:13; 133:7;134:24;147:5; 179:20;180:3,3; 189:11 mindful (2) 94:21;163:19 mine (5) 45:10;48:18; 50:16,18;166:3 minimum (1) 12:19 minute (4) 28:18;55:10; 106:20;164:21 minutes (3) 33:11;194:14,15 mischaracterized (1) 112:21 mischaracterizes (1) 112:18 misfortune (1) 94:13 miss (1) 123:1 missing (3) 15:1;51:6,7 misstatement (1) 16:7 mistaken (4) 59:24;62:17;75:4; 168:2 misunderstood (1) 183:22 mitigate (1) 25:24 MLS (1) 59:11 MM (7) 70:5,11,16,23; 71:2,6;193:12 MO (1) 48:17 modification (16) 64:5,23;67:19; 68:9,15,17;71:14; 73:17;177:22,24; 193:1,8,11;194:6,8; 195:1 modify (1) 52:13 moment (11)	21:21;36:7;61:2; 65:2;74:3;77:17; 80:17;88:10;127:9; 164:2;170:13 moments (1) 36:8 Monday (7) 69:19;83:2,4; 106:25;159:11,14; 160:18 monetary (1) 183:19 money (29) 24:22;25:21,22; 26:24;44:24;76:1; 79:5,7,22;83:10,11, 12,13;84:1,11;85:1, 9,24;86:24;87:16,19, 20;88:5,11;89:10; 170:12;187:20; 188:1,7 money's (2) 87:21,22 month (6) 12:16;75:7;86:10; 89:10;90:12;97:23 monthly (1) 72:8 months (12) 24:20;42:12,16; 51:13,14;68:12,12; 89:9;145:16,23; 165:19;174:9 months' (1) 87:25 month's (1) 88:3 Moorestown (6) 6:3;85:3,19;87:10; 189:24;190:19 moot (2) 71:20;193:23 more (23) 33:16;45:3,3;75:6, 21;89:9,18,24;94:3, 12;108:12;120:15; 121:14;123:24; 136:25;140:17; 148:19;152:13; 157:22;160:9; 170:10;184:19; 194:12 Morgan (2) 45:19;160:1 Morgan's (3) 161:7,13,19 Moriuchi (4) 100:5,17;101:24; 102:1 morning (18) 35:3,13,15;48:24; 80:24;146:16,18; 148:3;177:17,23;
--	---	---	--	--

183:3;187:6;192:12; 196:6,11;197:16; 198:17,25 Morrison (2) 21:14;105:10 mortgage (137) 8:19;9:4;11:8,10, 11;12:1,2,15,17,19, 21;13:3,15,15;14:8, 16;15:23;16:4,21,22; 17:1,6,21;19:11,12; 23:21;26:20,21; 28:7;36:6,19;37:24; 38:6,21;39:5,6,13, 24,25;40:1,3,10,17; 41:8,9,21;44:6; 47:24;49:21;50:9; 62:13,16,19,20,21, 22;63:9,15,23;64:6, 23;67:19;68:21; 69:3;71:13;73:1,5, 17;74:7;83:13,14,18, 25;86:25;92:16,21; 96:5,20;112:5;113:3, 15,21;114:2,13; 116:1;117:25; 121:17,23;122:4,21; 123:11,12;125:19; 126:7;132:7,14; 138:7,23;142:6,8; 144:22;145:11,15, 23;146:24,25; 147:24;149:5; 155:19,23,25;156:4, 7;167:22;169:20; 170:5,6;171:2,3,6, 10,10;172:5;175:3; 176:13;180:12; 181:6;184:22;185:4, 15,24;189:12; 191:24;195:3,9,20; 197:12 mortgagee (4) 14:17;26:25; 155:24;156:19 mortgages (9) 47:6,11,25;48:11; 49:6;62:17;144:18; 156:9,22 mortgagor (1) 142:9 most (8) 16:25;23:1;81:23; 139:17;142:1; 145:25;163:9; 183:22 mother (1) 78:24 motion (5) 5:12;45:6;118:5; 122:24;137:18 motions (1) 113:12	motive (4) 31:7;101:11,12,15 move (20) 24:17;28:19;66:2; 78:3,7;83:9;85:7; 90:2;95:21,23;96:1; 106:19;112:8; 115:23;116:16,19; 122:2;158:12; 175:11;184:7 moved (12) 78:10;85:3;87:7; 98:15;113:12; 116:13;174:1; 177:12;178:14,16; 180:21;184:6 moving (8) 18:2;45:6;74:17; 85:15;130:17; 175:13,21;178:12 Mrs (1) 9:9 much (19) 19:17;28:11; 29:12;69:6;80:4; 81:1;86:8;92:20; 97:8;101:4;105:15; 109:11;110:17; 123:17;131:6; 133:15;134:11; 145:5;191:7 multiple (6) 39:9;43:19;63:25; 76:22;99:13;188:21 Murdock (13) 28:14,15,21,24; 29:3,6,7,22;30:6,13, 18;31:3,14 Murdock's (1) 31:3 must (2) 78:23;126:19 myself (1) 121:15 mystery (1) 149:9	Naoji (3) 100:4;101:9,23 narrative (15) 31:24;32:5;47:18; 48:3;49:2;57:14; 58:3;69:10;71:8; 72:24;80:18;84:4; 95:5;97:15;163:18 nation (1) 176:18 nature (4) 51:6,11;68:3; 183:11 Nayogi (1) 169:9 near (5) 34:20;60:4;80:17; 161:23;162:8 nearby (1) 85:17 nearly (2) 8:18;26:19 necessarily (6) 76:18;98:20; 106:2;153:17,20; 181:2 necessary (8) 5:14;7:15;45:12; 59:12;112:3;114:3, 9;163:5 need (38) 19:20;29:21,22; 31:18,20;32:10,22, 22;33:3,12,13;34:8; 40:6;54:9;55:10; 65:2;66:9;75:6; 93:21;94:5,17,20,21; 103:12,16,16; 104:16,23;106:2,19; 107:24;118:5; 158:12;186:6;194:5, 12;198:9,16 needed (9) 15:2;48:6,21; 58:10;72:20;75:21; 76:2;91:22;182:25 needs (4) 8:23;51:11; 105:22;163:20 negative (2) 137:5;144:7 negligence (5) 8:21;15:10;19:25; 22:18;23:2 negligent (2) 22:9,11 negotiable (1) 37:4 negotiate (2) 102:24;175:6 neighbor (3) 168:6,10,22 neighborhood (7)	168:11,19,21; 176:20,21;177:6; 182:13 neighbor's (1) 190:24 Neither (4) 40:21;162:8,9; 188:12 nervous (2) 41:22;51:17 net (1) 89:16 netted (1) 26:19 Nevertheless (2) 24:22;160:24 New (53) 2:23;6:4;9:4;11:5, 10;16:25;18:1; 22:15,25;24:8;27:3; 29:11;52:8;57:22; 58:11;61:7,9;63:1; 71:22,23;75:1;82:8; 88:16;111:12; 113:15,22;114:18; 115:8;117:5,7,13,15, 24;119:17;121:11; 123:11;125:1; 126:19;129:11; 130:20;132:22,24; 145:9;153:2,3; 169:16;170:19; 175:11,21;180:16; 190:19;195:3,16 news (2) 63:4;194:3 newsletter (2) 137:8,8 newspaper (2) 63:5,5 next (20) 31:5,8,15;60:25; 84:19,25;85:19; 86:19;89:6;97:18; 99:16;103:8;106:1; 120:4;142:20;148:5; 149:22,25;152:10; 184:8 next-door (1) 168:6 NJSA (1) 126:20 nodded (2) 79:16,16 no-duty (1) 22:13 nominee (1) 17:18 none (3) 11:6;26:24;33:9 nonetheless (3) 51:8;63:7;169:3 non-lawyer (1)	196:14 nonpayment (2) 14:1,16 nonsensical (1) 14:16 nonspecific (2) 9:13,20 nor (1) 188:13 normal (4) 54:21;62:10; 86:12;117:15 normally (3) 44:10;84:22;147:8 Norman (1) 105:9 notary (1) 161:11 note (52) 11:11;15:23; 16:23;17:1,3,6,7,21, 24;18:4,8,9,14,15,16, 19,25;19:13,15,18; 23:13,14;24:6,7; 27:6,10,15,19;36:6, 18,23,25;37:1,3,24, 25;38:1,39:23;40:3; 53:15;64:11;72:2; 112:4,5;113:3,5; 114:10;116:1; 125:19;132:14; 133:6;195:4 noteholder (5) 12:24;18:3,8,10; 23:15 notes (13) 27:14,14;32:13,14, 19,20;64:2,3;87:1; 95:9;103:17;192:7, 25 notice (44) 12:20;13:1,4,15; 14:9,18,22;16:15,17; 20:21;23:25;24:3; 39:20;40:10,16; 41:1;49:8;55:16,20; 56:15;57:17;75:24; 77:9;88:17,17; 100:18;115:15; 120:3;126:2;131:4, 10,23;145:5,6,8; 151:24;153:9,10,10, 11;154:14,15; 160:19;196:12 notify (2) 138:10;140:7 notorious (1) 21:1 notwithstanding (2) 28:5;160:25 nowhere (1) 87:18 number (18)
--	--	--	---	---

September 15, 2014

25:8;26:21;33:1,2; 34:1;45:10;46:15; 54:14;86:11,11;87:2, 13;100:15;105:7; 115:14;117:2;166:3; 185:8 numbers (3) 33:2;50:18;192:10 numerous (1) 116:4 NY (1) 2:23	7:1;12:9;14:11; 20:9;35:6;104:15; 106:15;147:11; 168:15 occasion (1) 87:11 occupancy (1) 83:4 occupation (1) 162:1 occupy (1) 84:20 occur (5) 67:11;79:8; 126:13;168:5; 196:16 occurred (4) 17:4;18:22;21:5; 188:10 occurs (1) 107:10 o'clock (12) 33:19;79:13; 103:10;104:7;105:1; 107:1,6;158:11,16; 196:11;197:22; 199:12 Oct (1) 81:16 October (5) 43:21;77:21,25; 81:16;97:24 odd (1) 98:18 off (18) 9:9,10;38:12; 59:19;83:13;84:7; 86:25;100:14; 104:21;126:17; 129:9;135:8;136:23; 171:2,5;172:3; 178:13;190:23 offer (67) 27:11,13,19;33:6, 10,23,24;38:12; 43:25;44:1,2;53:21; 54:7,7,14;61:14,16, 20,21,24,25;65:21, 22;66:13,13;70:21, 23;81:11,12;82:8; 98:22;99:16,25; 102:25;164:7,8,16; 165:10,16,17,20,24; 166:2,17;167:15,15, 23;168:25;169:1,10, 13;170:7;172:2,11; 174:13,14,20;176:9; 181:22;182:8,14; 186:5,9;187:5; 192:7;196:12; 198:11 offered (5) 33:3;53:1;57:14;	70:2;194:10 offering (6) 33:25;38:15;39:2; 53:6;69:23;70:7 offers (1) 182:9 Office (14) 35:15,20,21; 62:16;92:1,2;114:8; 115:9,12;129:19; 135:20;150:9,10; 165:14 officer (1) 161:11 Offices (1) 182:20 official (1) 53:17 offshore (1) 76:1 often (3) 7:20;115:18;153:1 old (1) 63:16 once (11) 7:22;78:21;79:22; 96:16,25;115:9,13; 146:3;177:17,19; 193:20 one (68) 9:3,25;10:23; 13:12;15:1,16;21; 21:2;22:4,6;23:6; 25:16;30:4;36:7; 42:21;44:24;45:7; 46:7;48:6,9;50:16; 53:14,22;69:3,3; 74:23;81:19;85:1; 86:9;92:13;98:22; 102:16;108:18; 109:18;110:2,3; 117:4,6;118:10; 119:9;128:2;134:24; 136:16;137:7; 138:13;139:19; 140:18;145:1;151:4, 6;153:4;157:18,21; 169:10,17;177:21; 178:25;179:21; 180:16;181:24; 182:3;183:14; 185:16;187:14,14; 188:15;191:4; 195:23;196:16 one- (1) 167:24 ones (1) 170:19 one-three (2) 167:24;168:25 one-three- (1) 168:25 ongoing (1)	187:25 only (31) 5:6;8:14;13:6; 17:15,19,20;23:5,6, 17;37:24;47:13,21; 53:1;65:9,10;75:24; 77:9;81:1;99:4,11; 124:21;128:3; 159:13;162:11; 171:9;180:9;192:3, 14;193:19;198:3; 199:8 open (1) 106:21 opened (1) 81:9 opening (11) 5:4,6;6:10,20;8:6, 7;15:5;21:6;41:24; 46:18;47:19 operations@escribersnet (1) 2:25 opinion (13) 113:16,20;131:20; 142:17,19;144:10, 13,14,15,16;145:18; 161:22;192:8 opinions (1) 147:11 opportunity (12) 5:5;11:25;32:21; 33:5;34:1,24;95:2; 121:14;123:16; 159:25;160:1,11 opposed (1) 81:19 opposing (1) 7:21 opposite (1) 8:15 option (9) 24:18;82:9,18; 86:1,2,3;90:12;98:1; 175:14 options (1) 44:24 orally (1) 185:14 ordeal (1) 91:2 order (34) 7:5,16,22;9:6; 22:8;41:20;104:12; 107:15;108:12; 112:3;113:2;117:10, 21;118:1,9,19,21; 119:4,8,24;120:1,2, 2,6,9;125:20;130:12; 134:14;135:5; 137:18,23;168:13; 197:22;199:2 ordered (5) 7:1,6;50:15,16;	78:21 ordinarily (5) 55:24;132:18,21; 177:14;178:24 ordinary (2) 161:25;198:22 organization (1) 162:1 organizations (1) 124:25 original (16) 18:8,16;19:13,15, 18;21:11,15;23:13, 14;48:9;74:16; 81:19;117:2;118:13; 165:16;183:8 originally (3) 39:12;68:4;77:19 originating (2) 113:6;114:13 others (1) 23:4 otherwise (6) 16:7;70:8;100:6; 122:19;127:6; 194:25 out (56) 7:21,24;20:23; 36:22;37:22;44:16, 24;45:3;46:13;48:8; 49:6,8,9;50:25;51:2; 57:23;64:2;67:11; 68:7;71:17,21; 77:13;78:3,9;80:9; 84:6,12;86:23;87:14, 23;88:20;89:3,23; 90:2,9;93:11;94:5; 95:7,15;98:15; 104:12;134:14; 135:5;138:9,15; 156:21;165:25; 168:9,15,24;175:11; 181:11;185:21; 188:18;197:22,23 out-of-court (1) 31:2 outright (1) 24:22 outside (1) 121:8 outstanding (1) 156:9 over (21) 10:18;11:13; 24:19;41:7;53:24; 66:2,5,6;84:8;87:19; 105:18;111:25; 123:16;132:22; 139:7;142:23; 162:11;164:23; 168:8,14;198:9 Overlook (1) 22:17
---	--	--	---	---

overnighted (1) 35:14 overrule (8) 34:3;43:5,12; 112:25;130:24; 151:15;162:21; 196:9 Overruled (11) 99:1;113:13; 114:6;115:1;119:7; 131:2;133:1;139:10; 148:22;161:8; 162:18 overruling (1) 107:16 overstepping (1) 115:6 owe (5) 9:3;20:2;88:3; 195:3,10 owed (2) 187:20;188:8 owing (1) 195:5 own (6) 18:4;26:22;39:13; 73:22;92:17;195:2 owned (7) 17:16;24:6;27:6, 10,19;84:17;144:2 owner (8) 15:23;79:17; 141:6,21;144:5; 192:9;198:19,23 ownership (1) 112:4	paragraph (8) 15:22;16:3;40:4,7, 10,17,20;193:23 paragraphs (1) 197:6 parallel (1) 148:24 part (21) 32:14;43:6;54:21; 56:9;70:21;92:12, 25;97:20;107:15,16; 114:20;131:9; 142:18;149:13; 151:18;153:13; 156:4;174:16; 190:15,15;193:2 participants (1) 140:25 participate (1) 26:12 particular (7) 70:4;138:1; 151:23;159:18; 168:19;176:20,21 particularly (4) 16:12;19:4;20:24; 145:2 parties (11) 7:14,14,18,20; 37:3;106:17;153:9, 11,12;154:10;178:25 parties' (1) 106:16 partner (3) 45:9;79:11;184:20 partners (1) 89:11 party (15) 7:6;12:13;13:12, 12;15:2;18:2,2;31:1; 36:25,25;60:9;74:2; 126:12;174:14; 179:21 passed (1) 79:22 passing (1) 90:7 past (1) 105:19 pattern (2) 136:12;149:13 Pause (32) 22:24;24:12; 36:17,21;40:5;55:3, 6;59:4;70:14;71:9; 78:12;89:7;91:18; 106:22;119:2,23; 135:4;143:12;148:7; 150:1;152:11; 163:22,25;164:12; 165:3;167:1,5,8; 177:25;187:8; 193:14;194:19	pay (25) 8:19;9:6;11:8,13; 12:15,24;14:15; 63:9;83:13,17;84:7; 86:7,25,25;87:25; 88:14,15;142:10; 171:10,10,11,23; 172:3,8;195:9 paying (6) 9:11;12:17;40:12; 58:4;60:6;85:23 payment (19) 24:16;68:15,21,21, 22,22;71:18,22,23; 72:7;85:25;87:25; 145:11;171:4,23; 189:7,9,9;194:9 payments (13) 28:3,7;41:9,13; 72:8;85:23;90:12; 147:24;169:17,20; 170:5,9,19 payoff (3) 155:24;156:8,21 pejorative (1) 97:14 penalties (1) 172:6 penalty (1) 161:14 pendens (81) 15:12;100:18,20; 102:4,7;111:21; 114:23;115:14,19; 116:23,25;117:5; 118:11,12,13,23; 119:4,11,17;120:8, 12;125:19;126:11, 21;127:18,21,25; 128:6;129:3,4,7,8, 10;130:1,5,14,18; 136:10;138:2,6; 139:6,8,16,22;141:3, 4,14,20;142:2;143:8; 144:11,20;145:2,13, 15;147:22;148:13; 149:3;152:3;153:2,3, 7,9,15,19,20,23; 154:1,5,16,20,21,21; 155:6;156:5,20; 157:1;176:14; 189:13;195:14,16 pending (8) 59:11,18;115:17; 141:3;143:8;155:12; 170:18;197:12 Pennsylvania (1) 125:1 people (13) 62:17;76:22; 81:23;90:8;93:25; 97:22;99:6,7,18; 106:2;149:10,15; 181:8	people's (1) 137:2 percent (2) 49:18;139:12 percentage (1) 183:6 perfectly (1) 197:14 performance (4) 12:13;13:19; 14:21;126:1 performed (4) 11:18;15:3;50:15; 57:5 perhaps (5) 12:15;16:14; 21:16;51:15;54:13 period (12) 10:20;11:14; 24:20;90:18,20; 91:1;149:14;151:7; 163:24;164:23; 177:4,21 perjury (1) 161:14 permissible (1) 149:20 permit (18) 31:23;32:2,6; 33:14;113:19; 122:16,19;123:7; 127:7;134:16; 196:10,21,22,24; 197:15,21;198:3,24 permits (1) 198:23 permitted (3) 32:13;113:14; 198:19 person (8) 79:18;81:19; 110:2;122:7,11; 144:2,8;182:12 personal (6) 41:12;137:2,11, 15;144:19;175:18 personally (2) 96:19;177:18 person's (1) 142:1 perspective (6) 39:1;59:9,13;60:5; 76:25;78:23 ph (3) 55:1;63:13;169:9 Phelan (5) 111:11,25;121:13, 16;124:21 PHILLIP (3) 4:7;105:13;107:4 phone (4) 79:17;87:2;158:8,	9 photographs (1) 99:3 phrase (2) 138:14;143:16 phrasing (1) 143:18 physical (1) 120:6 physically (2) 37:2;65:8 Pick (12) 58:3,4,14;71:8; 72:23;84:3;95:8; 97:13,15,16;104:16; 163:18 picking (3) 28:20;66:12;134:3 piece (2) 35:1;111:23 pieces (1) 161:5 Pike (1) 4:4 pissed (1) 87:4 place (16) 29:19;45:3;50:10; 73:18;74:1,1; 114:24;120:25; 138:19;164:23; 166:24;178:2,25; 180:13;193:19,20 placed (2) 150:24;193:20 places (2) 69:2;85:13 plaintiff (8) 7:23;113:4; 114:11,12,14; 119:10;129:3,7 plan (7) 45:3;49:5;80:19; 108:2;142:12; 193:19;198:11 play (1) 45:9 played (3) 146:13;175:19; 176:6 pleadings (1) 11:7 Please (13) 5:2;28:23;34:12, 17;43:8;105:8; 107:13;109:15; 110:12,14;115:4; 134:5;158:18 pleased (2) 168:22,23 plenty (1) 25:21 plural (1)
--	---	--	---	---

P

package (3)
64:25;67:18;
193:25
page (8)
56:1,12;70:2,4;
100:9,16;101:25;
102:22
pages (7)
70:16;71:3;
100:15,23;101:22;
102:2,6
paid (27)
8:16;9:9,10;11:5;
24:17,19;25:19,23;
26:9,21;56:11;
57:19;82:16,17,17,
19,23,25;86:16;
88:25;92:3,3;
170:14;171:2,5;
181:13,17
papers (2)
49:23;108:5
paperwork (2)
64:22;71:17

36:2 plus (2) 80:3;172:8 pm (7) 105:4,4;109:14, 14;158:17,17;199:16 point (54) 9:14;14:8;18:18, 24;27:20;28:1; 36:22;37:20;46:19; 47:4,19,19;52:9,10; 55:4;64:10;69:10; 71:10,10,16,21; 73:19,21;74:5;87:7, 23;89:21;92:17; 94:6;96:6,10,11; 97:16;107:8,12,19; 119:16;123:9;128:9, 12,18;143:24; 164:11;166:24,24; 167:23;170:18,20; 176:12;192:18,19, 19;193:24;197:6 pointed (4) 37:22;51:2;59:16; 70:15 points (6) 32:3;95:10; 105:22;192:8; 195:12;198:16 Policies (2) 150:8,12 policy (1) 137:9 pop (1) 78:1 portion (5) 32:8;70:8;98:8; 151:20;164:6 posi (1) 27:9 position (18) 13:19;19:11,19; 20:7,9,11;22:10; 26:6,17;27:7,10; 52:23;54:1;58:25; 59:19;63:8;93:2; 175:21 positioned (1) 13:21 positions (1) 175:16 possession (3) 83:4;90:4;189:3 possibility (1) 48:11 possible (5) 67:19;138:11; 154:11;193:3; 195:13 post (1) 59:21 post- (1)	23:7 post-dated (3) 21:1;23:7,8 post-trial (1) 123:7 potential (8) 153:14,14,17,21, 24,25;154:9;155:6 power (1) 191:9 practice (18) 75:1;103:22; 111:8,9;113:15,21; 114:20;119:16; 121:11;123:10; 126:16,18;132:12, 14;136:12;140:6; 149:13;162:3 practiced (2) 111:11;112:1 Practices (2) 150:8,12 pre (1) 59:21 precedent (1) 126:2 preclude (2) 153:21;160:23 precluded (1) 179:1 precludes (1) 153:17 predecessor (1) 49:25 pre-empt (1) 139:19 prefer (2) 29:9;135:21 pre-filing (1) 131:9 prejudice (7) 15:15;16:10; 19:20;116:23,25; 117:22;131:24 preliminary (2) 8:9;123:24 pre-mark (2) 46:19;47:9 pre-marked (4) 31:22;33:9;47:20; 186:8 preparation (4) 111:20;119:10; 130:17;150:19 prepare (3) 115:11;121:6; 147:7 prepared (6) 6:19;45:10;54:25; 55:2;106:18;153:6 preparing (1) 121:7 preponderance (1)	8:21 prerequisites (1) 22:6 presence (1) 31:3 present (5) 7:7;64:20;80:12; 115:24;122:12 presentation (1) 188:6 presented (5) 5:12;15:24;24:8; 77:1;162:13 presently (4) 9:3,4;120:21; 121:11 presents (2) 80:11;117:22 preserving (1) 43:11 president (1) 79:10 pressure (1) 60:1 presumably (1) 11:16 pre-trial (6) 9:5;92:13;99:25; 100:3;108:5;194:17 pretty (11) 34:19;59:5;62:12; 64:25;68:3;88:16, 17;107:19;108:3; 169:8;177:12 prevail (1) 28:9 prevented (1) 157:11 preventing (1) 146:14 previously (7) 15:21;26:22; 31:23;35:8;122:9; 159:25;195:24 price (18) 10:19;41:25; 44:10;50:23;59:25; 60:9;61:1,7,8,9;62:6; 74:4;98:13;102:17, 24;175:20;177:5,6 prima (1) 14:25 primarily (1) 96:8 primary (2) 48:4;197:7 principal (2) 42:8;43:14 principle (4) 101:11;107:9,13; 108:11 prior (17) 6:20,24;28:2,6;	43:4;50:5;59:13; 79:3;111:11;122:3, 25;126:4;131:12; 152:21;191:1;193:4; 198:18 private (2) 22:14;145:21 privilege (1) 169:24 Pro (6) 4:10;5:3;7:14; 31:1;52:25;53:25 probably (4) 86:12;107:22; 146:1;162:18 problem (9) 19:21;54:15; 65:17;80:15;154:15; 176:24;177:1,3; 189:12 problems (1) 151:11 procedure (4) 117:14,16;131:9; 159:24 procedures (1) 133:4 proceed (20) 15:13;16:8,18; 18:3;29:3;34:4; 38:25;49:1;109:24, 25;110:5;113:2,4; 114:3,9,15;115:10, 18;116:3;130:13 proceeded (2) 62:10;195:5 proceeding (2) 128:9,18 proceedings (2) 121:20;199:16 proceeds (4) 9:25;10:2,7;33:11 process (11) 8:15;9:21;68:11; 112:2;115:3,8; 118:19;130:19; 148:19;179:24; 197:13 processing (1) 147:20 produced (2) 50:13,16 product (2) 98:24;99:2 professional (2) 122:6;124:24 professionally (2) 110:25;125:3 proffer (1) 101:9 profit (2) 42:23;162:2 profits (1)	9:13 projectory (1) 121:15 projects (2) 44:20;45:5 promise (1) 90:13 promises (1) 30:14 prompting (1) 94:3 promptly (1) 140:13 proof (7) 19:3;23:12;57:1; 116:1;167:12;170:7; 174:19 Proofs (8) 2:3;28:9;36:5; 39:14;97:17;115:24; 116:3;188:3 proper (3) 78:23;112:7,16 properly (1) 13:21 properties (15) 42:19,20,22,23; 44:11,14,25;47:12; 48:5,9;98:19;99:13; 140:22;144:19; 148:24 property (117) 6:3;8:13,16;10:5, 12,13;24:15,18,18, 20,21;25:1;26:18; 42:16;43:7,45:1,4, 11,13;46:3,4,7,9; 47:6,11,15,25;48:11; 49:19;50:14;59:14, 19;60:2,4,6,11,20; 62:11;83:14;84:13, 17,18,19,19;85:3,15, 19;86:1;90:5;98:10, 19;115:15,16,17; 116:21;118:15; 136:11;137:13; 138:3,17,18,20,23; 139:3,7;141:7,21,21; 142:23;143:8;144:2, 2,5,5,18;148:14,19, 20;149:7;153:7,23; 155:13,18,20,23; 156:4,6,9,21,23; 171:11,12,24;172:4, 12;174:10;176:11; 177:2,4;178:13; 181:15,18;183:20; 187:21;189:14,15; 191:11,13;192:8,9; 197:11,13;198:12, 15,19,20,23 proposal (10) 99:23;100:10,17;
---	--	---	---	---

September 15, 2014

102:4,7,9,18;165:8; 166:12,14 proposals (1) 105:23 propose (2) 101:1;191:16 proposed (7) 50:21;155:22; 163:14;164:15; 188:13,13;195:13 prove (7) 8:14,20;12:11; 24:13;28:9;57:3; 126:8 proven (1) 8:23 provide (11) 12:21;34:24; 37:11;83:20;117:21; 125:5,14,14;183:4; 198:16,24 provided (12) 20:25;35:2,11; 46:14;125:18,23; 142:12;150:18; 152:20;160:22; 163:13;197:16 provides (1) 96:18 proving (1) 26:2 provision (4) 13:3;15:22,24; 58:9 public (31) 136:2,9;137:3,10, 11,12,21;138:2,9; 139:22,22,25;140:2, 4,16,19,23;141:6,6; 144:4,18;145:8,19; 146:12;147:21; 152:24;153:10; 157:9;161:11; 180:24;181:1 publicly (1) 140:19 publisher (1) 137:8 pull (6) 29:16;34:18,19; 45:3;80:6;114:8 pulled (1) 80:9 punitive (3) 8:23;192:22; 195:19 purchase (21) 24:18;44:10;49:6; 50:8;52:14;59:3; 62:6;81:4;82:18; 86:1;88:2;99:23; 100:10,17;102:4,7, 10;146:23;165:9;	166:12,14 purchased (1) 85:4 purported (1) 24:7 purportedly (1) 76:9 purports (2) 17:5;160:7 purpose (8) 55:16,20;56:15; 57:17;91:22;93:12; 132:2;179:23 purposes (2) 56:4;131:22 pursuant (3) 41:1;159:22,23 pursuit (1) 93:6 push (1) 140:12 put (37) 7:22,24;8:24,9;21; 11:7;15:16;19:14; 21:9;23:12;34:8; 43:18,21;52:23; 53:14;74:1;79:5; 87:15;95:2;105:23; 108:12;127:5,6; 146:8;151:23;152:2; 164:19;168:4,8,9; 170:17;171:15; 174:18;180:22; 187:23;195:17; 196:11;197:22 puts (3) 8:1;138:18;153:11 putting (2) 107:19;144:3 Q qualified (1) 136:25 queue (1) 119:10 quickly (6) 74:7;83:22; 106:11;142:24; 149:1;197:23 quote (1) 22:22 quoted (1) 7:16 R raise (8) 8:5;28:21;34:14, 22,23;43:9;110:9; 134:2 raised (4) 47:18,19;123:2,15	raising (1) 54:3 range (2) 23:4;65:3 rate (1) 72:18 rates (1) 72:19 rather (3) 31:24;48:25;66:5 re (3) 2:2;55:19;91:3 reach (8) 52:8,13;57:22; 106:16,17;108:11; 172:20;176:10 reached (2) 107:8,22 reaching (1) 54:9 read (8) 5:16,16,18;40:7,8; 56:14;122:24;194:7 reading (2) 82:16;92:13 reads (1) 161:21 ready (4) 7:7;45:11;79:9; 199:11 real (11) 9:15;10:7;52:20; 76:17,18;98:7; 110:25;111:9; 144:12;154:13; 156:25 real-estate (5) 60:15;74:21; 176:19;190:4,6 reality (3) 10:21,22;26:3 realize (5) 6:2;45:4;93:23; 176:24;189:16 realized (4) 63:21;134:21; 188:10;195:11 really (9) 11:6;58:1,2;59:11; 87:2,4;104:23; 106:19;180:20 realtor (10) 25:9;44:16;75:10; 77:4;82:4;83:21; 88:16;101:24; 148:18;165:14 Realtors (5) 101:25;140:22; 176:15,25;181:4 realtor's (1) 165:14 reason (21) 11:6;12:4;20:22;	25:17;37:3;49:15, 20;59:25;93:24; 117:4;119:9;131:23; 154:6;156:25; 162:17;179:17,18; 191:10;197:7,11; 198:3 reasonably (2) 23:3;143:25 reasons (5) 6:1;11:2;195:25; 196:13;197:3 recall (4) 30:13;169:5; 172:14;181:25 receipt (5) 24:14;83:21; 120:6;127:25; 170:18 receipts (1) 92:4 receive (6) 40:25;69:1; 128:10,19;182:14,23 received (32) 35:13,20;38:22; 41:1;48:24;53:12; 54:20;55:7,13,19; 71:5;80:24;99:16; 102:7;115:13; 118:21;119:4; 128:20,20,22; 130:12;145:8; 150:13;165:10; 166:15;170:24; 171:3,22;182:9; 189:10;193:11,21 receiving (2) 68:4;171:8 recent (2) 28:2;163:9 recently (1) 41:23 recess (16) 33:19;34:5,11; 49:1;92:8;93:20; 103:8,10;105:1,3,4; 109:14;158:11,16, 17;163:13 recklessly (2) 149:10,14 recognize (1) 31:1 recognized (2) 8:17;162:23 recollect (1) 79:6 recollection (5) 46:15;165:13; 172:21;176:1;197:6 record (36) 21:9;29:20;43:2,8, 11;47:22;58:21;	71:20;79:3;105:6; 108:18;109:16; 110:13;115:14; 136:9;137:21;138:2, 9;139:22,25;140:2, 11;141:6;146:2,12; 157:9;161:22,23,25; 162:2,8;180:25; 181:1;186:13;196:4, 5 recording (2) 141:4;148:20 recorded (5) 118:14;126:12; 128:6;140:23; 162:17 recorder (1) 128:13 records (26) 45:20,21;50:20; 53:17;54:18;69:2; 118:15;128:6;136:2; 137:4,10,12,13; 140:4,23;144:19; 145:20;147:21; 152:24;159:20,22; 161:1,21;162:10,19, 186:19 recover (7) 93:1,3;146:20; 187:15,18;192:21; 194:16 red-covered (1) 134:25 REDIRECT (3) 130:9;132:21; 155:4 reduce (3) 50:23;88:2;195:9 reduced (4) 10:19;61:11; 98:16,17 reduction (1) 6:2 Reed (610) 2:3,4;4:10;5:3,4,7, 11,20;6:12,17,23; 7:9,10,12,22;8:11; 9:6,9;10:1,11;11:21; 12:14,19,23;14:14; 15:5;18:7;19:24; 20:4,21;25:14,21; 28:13,14,17,25;29:1, 4,13,14,18,20,24; 30:2,25;31:6,8,10, 16;33:15;34:7,10,13, 17,21,24,25;35:3,9, 22,25;36:13,22;37:6, 9,15;38:3,7,9,17,21, 25;39:19,24;40:1,3, 6,9,13,15,20,25; 41:11,15,17,20;42:5, 7,10,12,14,19;43:13,
---	---	---	--	---

16,18;44:2,7,9; 45:14,16,22;46:1,6, 10,12,17,22;47:1,3,7, 12,14,16;48:1,4,14, 17,23;49:2,8,12,25; 50:3,8,51:24;52:1,2, 3,6,9,15,17,22;53:5, 7,13,16;54:2,11,13, 17;55:2,4,9,14,16,19, 24;56:19,21,23; 57:10,12,13,24;58:5, 16,21;59:5;60:14,16, 21,23;61:1,5,7,9,12, 14,19,22;62:1,5,8,10, 21;63:15;64:24; 65:6,8,13,14,23,25; 66:1,4,7,10,15,18,20, 24;67:5,7,15,17,20, 23,25;68:14,23; 69:13,17,22,24;70:7, 12,15,19,22;71:4,7, 10,15;72:1,6,9,13, 15;73:3,6,8,10,12,15, 23;74:10,14,16,23, 25;75:10,12,14,16, 18,20,22;76:1,4,6,9, 14;77:13,17,24;78:4, 8,11,13;81:5,8,16, 25;82:3,10,14,19,22, 24;83:1,19;84:1,5, 11,18,22;85:5,7,17, 21,24;86:5,9,18,20; 87:11;88:9,15,25; 89:3,5,8,16,20,25; 90:3,6,11,21;91:3,6, 11,19;92:10,18,23; 93:5,9,23;94:23; 95:12,17,19,22,25; 96:2,4,10,12,22; 97:3,5,8,11,18;98:3, 5,7,14,16;99:2,17,20, 24;100:11,22,24; 101:1,4,16,18,20; 102:12,15,20;103:1, 6,12,16,22,24;104:2, 6;105:1;109:18,19, 22;110:3,7,19,21,23; 111:17;112:13; 113:10,11,25;116:9; 118:17,18;119:1; 120:5,13,14;122:22; 123:21,22;125:11, 12,14;127:11;130:8, 10,16;131:14,15,18, 21;132:3,6,17,24; 133:3,9,19,21,24; 134:12,15,16,18,20; 135:2,11,14,17; 136:19,21;137:25; 139:1,4;141:11,12, 19;142:20;143:14, 15,21,23,25;145:8, 10,23;146:1,19,21,	25;147:10,21;148:5, 8,9,11,15;149:21,22, 23,24;150:2,15,18, 22,24;151:1,2,21; 152:2,8,12,19;155:1, 3,5,21;157:15,16; 158:4,6,11,19,20,22, 24;159:3,4,7,8,17; 160:8;162:12;163:2, 3,12,21,23;164:1,5, 13;165:4,5,7,12,19, 21;166:1,3,6,18,23; 167:2,6,9,11,13,18; 169:12,19,21;170:1, 8,17,25;171:5,7,13, 17,19,25;172:5,13, 21;174:3,7,9,12,21, 23;175:1,7,12,14,25; 176:2,5,12;177:15; 178:1,9,14,19;179:9, 12,14,17;180:6,8,17, 24;181:4,11,19,21; 182:2,4,7,11,16,22, 25;183:6,23,24; 184:1,2,3,4,8,11,13, 16,18,24;185:3,6,12, 14,16;186:1,4,6,10, 22,23,25;187:2,9,10, 16,19;188:15,22,24; 189:1,20,23,25; 190:3,5,10,12,14,18, 21;191:16;192:5,12, 13,17,22;193:9,17, 22;194:7,8,10,13,15, 17,20;195:18,23; 196:5,11,14;197:17, 19;198:9;199:3,5 Reeds (11) 11:1;15:18;16:19; 23:20;24:17;25:4; 26:19;53:11;123:13; 126:4;145:14 Reeds' (1) 128:24 Reed's (26) 6:21;11:20;13:10, 13;14:1;36:20; 38:23;43:8;51:20; 53:12;54:24;55:21; 102:8;104:10,17; 109:17;111:15; 142:12;148:2; 150:13;152:17; 161:16;164:25; 197:8;198:15,25 refer (9) 32:20,25;33:1,2, 21;46:8;53:20; 114:7;166:19 reference (2) 59:21;134:21 references (1) 118:13	referral (1) 115:10 referred (2) 31:24;179:4 referring (4) 151:3;164:25; 165:6;179:5 refers (1) 151:16 refi (3) 45:11;46:12;49:22 refilled (1) 117:4 refinance (18) 8:13;9:14;46:19; 47:5,11,25;48:21; 49:5;50:9;54:19; 55:19;145:24;147:2, 25;162:17;196:1,13; 197:4 refinanced (1) 10:2 refinanced-loan (1) 46:20 refinancing (2) 48:11;160:8 reflect (3) 10:20;67:9;78:19 reflected (3) 118:7;185:10; 187:13 reflects (1) 70:5 refresh (1) 164:17 refreshes (1) 176:1 refusing (1) 196:15 regard (2) 119:3;136:23 Regarding (12) 2:6;49:13;57:6; 58:24;111:19;129:3, 7;155:16;163:5,14; 164:16;191:23 regards (2) 54:19;155:13 regime (4) 145:20;146:12; 147:22;157:9 regimes (1) 145:6 registered (1) 82:6 registration (1) 63:16 regrouped (1) 32:11 regular (3) 54:19;139:23; 162:2 regularly (2)	161:21,25 relate (3) 39:14;70:17;155:2 related (4) 121:9;122:8; 146:24;152:22 relates (3) 71:3;94:19;104:24 relating (7) 43:4;110:25; 122:14,18;130:20; 162:7;194:23 relation (3) 99:7;132:8;136:12 relations (3) 50:10;54:19,21 relationship (4) 46:22;48:5,7; 152:18 relatively (1) 61:1 relaxing (1) 80:4 relay (2) 110:24;111:18 release (2) 88:4;120:8 released (2) 88:8;155:25 relevancy (2) 72:2;98:25 relevant (13) 25:17;28:6;32:2; 36:2;37:20,21;43:6; 58:1,2;59:11;67:8; 111:19;197:5 reliance (1) 16:12 relief (1) 88:10 relist (4) 59:1,14,19;60:20 relisted (4) 59:10,24;61:5; 168:18 reluctant (2) 62:23,24 remain (1) 24:20 remainder (2) 84:11;102:3 remaining (2) 5:21;108:4 remains (2) 129:10;151:20 remarks (1) 41:24 remedied (1) 16:7 remedies (2) 190:22;191:4 remedy (2) 189:11;195:13	remember (29) 41:20;44:3;61:10, 15;62:6;63:12;68:1, 4,11;72:17;73:20; 77:20;81:17;84:7; 91:3;96:13,14,22; 97:16,22;98:14; 153:4;165:24; 167:21;168:20,22; 175:15,22;194:22 reminded (1) 63:15 removal (1) 111:22 renovate (1) 84:23 renovation (1) 45:7 rent (9) 85:23;86:7,8,17; 87:25;88:3,14;89:18, 24 rental (1) 42:20 rented (1) 42:22 rents (1) 86:12 rep (1) 170:11 repeat (3) 18:5;124:18; 187:16 rephrase (2) 129:6;141:12 report (17) 55:18;125:5; 140:3;151:3,7,7,11, 14,16,17,18,23; 152:2,4,8;157:21,22 reporting (5) 104:3;119:12; 137:16,20;152:23 reports (4) 104:3;151:6; 152:22,24 represent (5) 70:3;121:23,25; 122:7,20 representation (4) 57:6;122:3,14,18 representative (8) 63:13;64:13,15; 66:23;69:14,15; 163:10;197:1 representatives (2) 64:1;177:18 represented (11) 7:14;96:16,25; 98:9;121:17;122:10, 12,13;123:5;170:7; 177:19 representing (3)
---	--	---	--	---

21:9;114:1;184:22
represents (1)
111:13
repudiated (1)
42:1
request (1)
57:5
require (7)
12:19;18:1;32:1;
46:23;47:7;72:8;
122:16
required (14)
20:10,21;47:8;
68:15;83:10,17,20;
92:10;117:23;131:6;
145:9;156:22;171:1;
194:9
requirement (9)
13:1,14;14:8,14;
24:3;40:15;58:13;
161:15;196:4
requirements (2)
20:15;40:16
requires (3)
12:10,12;40:9
requiring (3)
13:4;36:24;58:9
resales (1)
98:11
ResCap (11)
2:2,6;36:4;63:20,
21;69:14;84:1;
91:15;103:11;
105:10;185:19
researched (1)
101:6
Reserve (2)
26:13;150:9
re-served (1)
169:15
resettled (1)
34:6
residence (4)
38:21;42:9;43:14;
135:15
residences (1)
48:4
Residential (12)
105:6;109:16;
111:13,19;112:2;
113:21;121:25;
122:20;123:10;
144:12;155:19,20
resistance (1)
59:15
resolution (3)
26:5;169:13;
183:19
resolve (5)
64:11;177:8;
180:10,10,18
resolved (8)
108:3;142:24;

155:8;172:17;175:3;
181:6;197:3;199:4
respect (25)
9:19;12:9,11;
15:10;16:8;24:25;
46:3;60:15;101:22;
103:18;105:7;
109:16;113:16;
122:16;123:12,12;
129:15;132:12;
133:4;156:9;160:6,
18;161:5;162:21;
186:17
respond (2)
72:22;100:11
responds (1)
194:2
response (5)
69:20;98:20;99:5;
115:20;134:3
responses (1)
126:15
responsibility (1)
23:22
responsible (4)
7:6,18;9:11;112:2
rest (4)
7:25;8:1;56:14;
158:13
result (5)
26:18;32:7;89:16;
116:15;144:6
resulted (2)
44:3;50:12
resulting (1)
9:20
results (1)
178:20
resume (5)
104:21;158:12,19,
22;163:2
resumes (1)
151:22
retain (3)
73:4;91:10;189:9
retained (3)
125:5,10;165:15
retrieved (1)
45:18
return (1)
25:5
returned (2)
193:7,10
reveal (1)
122:17
reverse (1)
155:15
review (8)
26:13;114:17;
121:7;150:8,12,16;
163:17;192:25
reviewed (2)
113:2;151:6

reviewing (9)
112:3,4,5,5,5,6,7,
22;132:14
revolving (1)
175:3
rework (2)
74:7;180:12
reworked (1)
170:11
RFC (3)
18:25;122:4;123:1
rid (1)
189:13
rider (2)
38:21;39:7
riders (1)
38:14
right (171)
5:2;13:2;18:3;
22:14;25:2;26:16;
27:2,18,25;28:4,21,
23,25;29:3,13;31:12,
14,20;34:12,14,17,
21,23;38:20;41:10,
16;48:2;50:6;52:16;
55:7,15;57:9,20;
58:14;60:19,23;
61:3;62:3;67:13,21;
70:20;71:2,15;
72:11;73:2,9,14;
74:15,24;75:11;
76:14;82:7,15;
84:21;85:6,18,24;
86:18;88:13;89:6;
90:1;93:22;95:13,
22;97:10,11;98:2,12;
102:9,23;103:7,13;
104:4,9,25;105:3,5,
16;106:15;108:20,
23;109:11,13,15;
110:5,10,12,19;
111:25;113:24;
120:4,22;121:1,9,18,
21;123:4;124:22;
125:25;126:21;
128:7;133:13,17,25;
134:2,3,5;135:1,25;
136:16;137:24;
138:21,24;139:17;
142:11;145:18;
146:10,11;150:7,23;
152:22;153:12,20;
154:10,12,17,24;
155:17,19;156:19;
157:10,13,17,24;
158:1,4,10,15,18,25;
161:4;166:4,9,11;
167:14;169:6,6;
172:1,10;174:11;
175:7;178:16;
180:15,17;182:19;
185:24;186:2,3,4;
187:4,7,11;190:21;

191:21;192:20;
194:21;195:18,22;
199:9,10,11
right-hand (1)
56:13
rights (1)
139:20
rises (1)
34:22
Robin (1)
29:10
ROBINSON (14)
4:7;105:13,14,19,
23;106:6,7,12,14;
107:3,4;108:14,24;
109:5
robo-signer (1)
21:2
Rocci (1)
175:14
Roccisano (6)
99:18,23;100:18;
164:7;175:15;
181:24
Roccisanos (18)
101:10,11,13,15;
102:4,10;164:8,23;
165:9,11;166:12;
167:15;169:10;
172:2,15,20;174:13;
175:11
Roccisanos' (1)
166:14
role (6)
6:9;52:24;93:10;
139:8;146:13;
175:19
roof (1)
84:14
room (5)
79:19;80:7;85:12;
86:15;192:7
ROSENBAUM (14)
105:9,10,16,18;
106:11;107:5;
108:15,16,19,25;
109:2,7,9,13
routine (1)
114:21
routinely (2)
130:19;170:10
RPC (1)
122:6
rule (14)
16:25;33:8;34:2;
54:8;128:3,4;129:2,
7;139:18,18;161:8,
20;162:10;198:22
ruled (2)
198:13,17
Rules (10)
6:8;122:5,15,19;
126:18;139:15,17;

159:24;162:23;
192:14
ruling (13)
32:9;53:21;56:22;
64:19;108:13;
113:11;123:9,14;
187:5;195:23;
196:15;198:6,7
rulings (1)
198:19
run (3)
7:24;131:11;
167:22
runs (1)
160:10

S

saga (1)
94:7
sale (29)
9:14;10:23,25;
24:25;25:13;44:6;
51:11,16;59:1;69:7,
8;71:14;74:9,22;
103:5;112:10;
116:20;144:18;
146:25;148:19;
155:22;167:20;
180:22;188:8,10,13;
190:25;195:1,12
sales (4)
41:25;56:2;
168:21;188:13
salvage (1)
24:9
same (21)
9:4;25:24;50:9,14;
58:7,9;62:12,12;
70:17;81:19;98:4;
99:8;114:11;122:8;
148:24;154:16,20;
159:10;160:13;
168:11;175:16
satisfied (9)
58:12;59:2,8;74:2;
155:25;156:13,15;
162:20;196:3
satisfies (2)
161:14;162:9
satisfy (8)
20:15;94:18;
142:5;156:22;
161:20;171:1;
172:11;196:4
savings (1)
8:17
saw (5)
16:12;20:24;
49:23;92:14;190:25
saying (6)
61:5;179:15;
191:23;193:23;

194:3,11 scared (1) 41:22 scarlet (2) 138:12;139:3 scenario (1) 155:15 schedule (6) 71:23;106:3,23; 108:3,5;116:20 scheduled (3) 77:19,21;80:16 Schmieg (3) 121:13,16;124:21 school (4) 63:17;80:9;124:9; 162:12 scope (4) 130:22;132:22; 133:2;149:20 Se (6) 4:10;5:3;7:14; 31:1;52:25;54:1 searches (1) 148:23 seat (5) 5:10;28:23;34:17; 110:12;134:5 seated (4) 5:2;34:12;109:15; 158:18 second (18) 19:11;40:20; 46:14;77:5;83:13,18, 25;92:24;99:20; 100:13,25;101:25; 119:22;146:21,22; 166:10;171:1; 196:25 section (3) 40:20,21;127:5 sector (1) 145:21 secure (2) 44:23;86:2 secured (1) 197:10 security (1) 88:2 seeing (3) 23:7;168:18; 176:16 seeking (8) 169:13;183:19; 187:12,15,18,20; 192:21;194:16 seem (1) 14:10 seemed (6) 51:14;59:18; 73:18,25;74:1;181:7 seems (6) 12:8;13:2;22:14;	23:16;40:15;102:1 sell (25) 8:13;10:12;24:15; 26:18;41:23;42:11; 44:23;45:4;51:17; 18:60:1;76:18; 98:17;136:14;142:3, 5,10;154:4;176:11; 177:2,4;178:18; 180:11,12;187:21 seller (1) 191:19 sellers (1) 62:16 selling (6) 45:1;76:17;90:18; 138:3;177:6;189:14 Senator (2) 62:15,15 send (10) 14:22;67:24;72:3, 5,5;141:5,5;194:4,9, 12 sent (14) 35:1;40:16;67:25; 68:7,15,20;71:17,18, 18;119:12;131:4,11; 193:18,24 sentence (1) 43:10 separate (12) 11:24;36:24,24; 37:1,2,2;38:9;50:2; 60:8;117:18;118:5; 178:5 separately (1) 37:2 Septem (1) 124:12 September (18) 43:20;45:19; 69:19,21;74:10,12, 12;75:15;77:19; 80:14;95:20;97:24; 106:25;107:11; 108:2,17;193:22; 194:2 serve (5) 16:15;23:25;90:6; 132:2;137:14 served (6) 39:19;40:9;41:3,5, 18;159:23 serves (1) 62:24 service (2) 115:18,20 serviced (1) 11:9 servicer (14) 9:4;11:5,10;12:25; 14:17;20:2,9;23:21; 27:8,24;28:2,6;	62:21;170:6 Services (9) 120:23;121:17; 138:10;140:3,7,12, 15,21;183:4 servicing (1) 149:5 sessions (1) 177:11 set (4) 63:18;67:10; 72:15;108:3 settle (2) 107:13;179:20 settled (1) 25:14 settlement (14) 67:10;74:18; 76:20,21;77:20,22; 78:18;79:9;81:16; 105:20,25;106:4,17, 18 settlements (1) 25:16 settling (1) 78:21 seven (1) 86:21 seven-bedroom (1) 86:14 several (9) 6:21;9:2;19:24; 42:12;51:14;72:10; 98:21;172:9;192:8 shall (2) 122:7,13 share (2) 93:12;136:1 shared (1) 113:11 sharply (1) 199:12 sheriff's (1) 116:20 Shocking (1) 106:12 short (4) 33:19;65:5;94:2; 168:13 shorthand (1) 14:12 shortly (4) 29:2;30:7;60:23; 110:4 show (12) 8:15;24:5;32:8,15; 60:17;92:8;94:1,24; 104:19;148:25; 187:5,6 showed (1) 79:9 showing (2) 76:10;99:15	showings (1) 43:19 shown (2) 35:7;195:4 shows (5) 10:8;27:15;43:23; 92:20;99:5 sic (9) 18:14;29:2;52:20; 69:21;85:22;101:24; 128:13;175:3; 190:25 side (9) 7:2,25;37:11;66:2; 93:25;107:25;108:4; 132:20;179:19 sign (1) 17:17 signatures (1) 69:3 signed (20) 11:11;16:13;17:4, 11;21:4;23:9;24:4; 37:2,25;38:1;39:5,7, 9;69:1;72:7;92:6; 100:14;184:20; 193:10;194:11 significance (1) 78:1 significant (1) 86:11 significantly (1) 44:9 signifies (2) 139:6;155:12 signify (1) 148:18 signing (2) 17:13;23:9 similar (7) 99:8,9;168:7,13, 13;176:17;198:13 simple (3) 22:10;59:25; 155:15 simpler (1) 93:5 simplify (1) 47:17 simply (9) 14:3;26:17;99:12; 138:21;144:21; 153:9,14;154:14; 177:2 simultaneous (1) 199:6 simultaneously (1) 115:18 Singh (4) 174:21;176:9; 182:9,12 Singhs (1) 175:6	single (1) 98:10 single-family (1) 42:20 singular (1) 93:6 sit (3) 34:14;65:18; 181:25 sitting (5) 5:9;65:9;80:20; 90:7;101:13 situated (1) 33:20 situation (11) 62:19;63:23; 76:24;79:22;80:2; 101:5;148:25;169:4; 180:11;182:17; 192:24 six (9) 7:2,9;12:10;19; 43:22;68:12;89:9; 90:12;93:25;194:15 six-minute (1) 34:5 sixty (3) 86:5,6;97:23 skip (1) 111:2 slide (1) 66:6 slightly (1) 169:9 slips (1) 54:13 small (1) 183:6 smaller (1) 76:23 Smith (1) 6:17 smoothness (1) 44:25 sold (13) 10:13;42:22;98:8, 10;168:8,13,22; 187:24;188:2; 189:10;190:24; 191:6,7 sole (2) 80:23;162:13 solely (4) 20:20;23:22;56:9, 15 solicitous (1) 95:4 somebody (5) 51:22;81:21; 117:22;133:18; 185:23 someone (8) 35:16;91:8;94:14;
---	---	---	---	---

141:3;158:7;161:24; 162:9;179:10 someone's (1) 137:5 sometime (2) 17:4;178:14 sometimes (2) 53:19;119:13 somewhat (1) 88:10 somewhere (2) 51:15;140:11 Sons (1) 101:24 soon (3) 76:11;107:11; 138:10 sore (1) 65:17 sorry (24) 18:5;27:5;50:3; 65:14;83:3;87:5; 91:16;109:2;124:16; 129:6;131:1;135:8; 139:5;141:25; 142:16;146:7;157:6; 164:1;165:5;172:22; 176:15;186:24; 192:23;197:8 sort (14) 26:5;39:9;87:14; 102:1;106:7;138:8; 9;139:2;140:2; 141:1,2;147:3,20; 149:5 sorted (1) 181:11 sorts (1) 151:9 sought (2) 23:25;49:21 sound (1) 150:23 Southern (1) 75:1 space (1) 44:12 speak (9) 29:21,22;48:10; 63:1,9;76:19;80:2; 96:17;179:19 speaking (1) 6:14 special (1) 139:2 specialized (5) 137:6,8,13,14; 144:17 specific (16) 10:4;11:17;12:16; 13:14;43:7;46:3,4; 83:11,12;117:1; 127:5;132:10;153:3;	157:22;160:17; 191:13 specifically (15) 6:22;7:5;9:2; 14:21;15:20;24:16; 103:18;104:24; 122:6;136:10; 137:21;151:8,17; 159:16;170:4 speculation (4) 119:6;141:9; 143:2;148:21 speculative (2) 9:19;10:10 speed (2) 33:17;93:22 spell (2) 110:13;134:7 spending (1) 48:20 spent (2) 63:25;85:9 spoke (7) 12:7;63:10,11; 79:16;81:9;101:9; 138:16 spoken (3) 63:7;125:3,12 sponsored (1) 62:14 square (3) 51:5;147:11;168:7 squared (1) 166:19 stage (2) 73:13;108:10 stakeholders (1) 145:21 stalemated (1) 59:17 stamp (4) 56:25;57:4,9; 102:5 stand (26) 13:23;18:13;27:2; 28:16;31:21;32:10; 23:33;12:20;34:13; 65:2,3,4,18;104:20; 21;105:17;110:9; 134:1;151:19; 158:12,19,23;163:2; 192:12;197:15 standard (1) 140:6 standing (24) 5:9;6:1;15:16,17; 16:9,18,21;19:5,7, 12,21;20:3,5;23:18; 24:4;65:9,16;66:3; 112:16,16;113:4; 126:8;137:2;158:25 standpoint (1) 51:8	stands (1) 101:10 start (5) 6:20;42:11;97:22; 124:11;199:12 started (5) 6:19;66:22; 176:24;183:16; 184:4 starts (1) 7:22 state (17) 16:3;20:21,22; 40:17;80:13;84:16; 110:13;117:13,16; 134:7;135:12;137:1; 139:15,16,18; 166:21;175:22 stated (3) 15:22;196:7;197:9 statement (14) 5:4,6;6:11;8:6,7; 15:6;21:6;22:21; 31:2;32:6;46:18; 182:6,20;197:3 statements (1) 72:12 states (1) 16:25 static (1) 18:14 stating (1) 193:17 status (3) 91:23;106:24; 189:2 statute (2) 20:21;145:9 statutes (1) 126:18 statutory (2) 22:17;127:5 stay (1) 134:23 stays (2) 116:5;118:4 stemmed (1) 25:1 stemming (3) 5:23;8:12;151:13 step (2) 15:20;136:16 step-by-step (3) 115:2,4,8 Stephan (10) 16:13;17:4,8,9; 21:1,4;23:9,11;24:5, 7 steps (2) 64:7;68:1 sticky (1) 53:14 still (20)	11:12;24:1;25:24; 26:22;41:11;49:14; 58:18;59:17;76:25; 83:7;87:21;89:11; 117:5;156:20; 159:10;176:20; 177:6;181:6;189:2; 191:25 stipulated (1) 145:4 stop (16) 28:18;34:22;46:1; 52:6;70:13;77:5; 92:24;101:17,21; 112:23,25,25;127:4, 4;194:14;195:22 stopgap (1) 193:19 stopped (1) 170:5 story (11) 39:1;54:6;58:15; 85:18;94:5,6,19; 95:7;97:14,14; 165:23 strayed (1) 149:21 Street (2) 2:22;135:24 stricken (3) 149:19,23,24 strike (1) 32:8 striking (1) 32:7 stuck (1) 21:19 study (1) 153:6 stuff (3) 71:24;72:4;80:8 subdivision (2) 98:9;168:11 subject (12) 65:22;66:14; 91:19;107:9;136:8; 140:23;149:12; 155:2;156:6,14; 171:8;187:22 subjects (1) 163:16 submissions (1) 9:5 submitted (1) 19:4 subpoena (3) 45:20;58:22; 159:23 subscribe (1) 140:7 subsections (1) 162:4 subsequent (2)	23:25;193:4 subsequently (6) 18:16,17;19:18; 24:19,23;78:7 substantial (1) 24:14 substantially (1) 122:8 substantive (1) 165:23 successful (1) 108:22 successfully (2) 28:8;175:6 successor (3) 14:12;21:10;26:7 sue (1) 12:2 suffer (1) 65:15 suffered (2) 26:25;74:5 sufficient (4) 54:24;167:19; 172:2;174:24 suggest (3) 21:17;97:4;189:22 suggesting (1) 97:14 suggestion (1) 66:1 suing (1) 25:7 Suite (1) 2:22 Suites (2) 85:11,11 sum (3) 26:23;28:8;102:23 summary (1) 184:7 summer (2) 30:6,15 sup (1) 68:24 supervised (1) 179:13 Supervision (1) 150:10 supplement (1) 139:18 supplemental (3) 36:15,19;37:23 supplements (1) 151:10 supplied (1) 11:16 support (3) 36:4;39:16;194:10 suppose (1) 154:10 supposed (21) 7:15;68:11,24;
---	---	--	---	---

September 15, 2014

71:16;72:5,9,13,18; 74:8;78:14,17;79:8; 83:1;85:22,25; 104:7;126:10; 127:18,25;193:5; 197:20 supposedly (4) 50:10;80:20; 93:25;170:14 Supreme (1) 22:25 sure (73) 7:3;21:7,20,24,25; 27:3;28:20;29:20; 31:16;34:18;37:21; 47:22;48:18;52:17; 18,18;57:25;59:6,7, 20;62:12;64:25; 66:11,17;67:2,13; 68:3;73:24;78:5; 79:4;86:24;88:16; 17:97:11;104:18; 112:6,7;113:3;114:2, 10;115:5;119:15; 124:7,17;125:7; 127:8,16;131:10; 134:2;136:3,5; 140:18;150:17,21; 154:2,19;156:12,14; 159:18;166:3,18; 169:8;170:23; 171:20;174:17; 177:12;180:6;185:6; 194:18,20,21,21; 197:17 surprise (4) 41:5;96:24; 140:21,24 surprised (3) 40:25;88:20,22 surprising (1) 183:15 surrounding (1) 154:1 suspect (1) 160:23 sustain (1) 34:2 sustained (15) 28:10;30:20,21; 31:4,9;51:21;89:15; 102:2;118:25; 141:10;143:3,11; 149:18;152:1;196:1 sustaining (2) 107:15;152:6 sworn (10) 28:16,22;32:23; 33:13;34:15,16; 110:10,11;134:1,4 System (2) 150:9,23 systematic (1)	44:12 T tables (1) 63:18 tabs (1) 53:14 talk (23) 9:15;38:4;45:8; 48:25;51:22;62:24; 66:23;80:1;94:12; 96:25;106:2;123:16; 132:9;136:22; 137:20;145:3; 159:14;164:14; 176:23;177:20; 188:2;196:17;199:3 talked (9) 52:25;54:4;73:10, 11;123:10;159:13; 177:19,22,23 talking (13) 15:4,7;39:23;46:1, 4;57:9;62:18;66:24; 77:22;137:19; 176:14;179:1,6 talks (1) 107:24 tax (1) 137:4 taxes (6) 171:11,12,24; 172:7,9,9 TD (52) 25:9,16;35:1,5,12, 21;45:8,9,19,20; 46:3,22;47:5,10,21, 24;48:8,10,20,24; 49:21,25;50:13,15, 15,16,17;56:10,17, 25;57:18;80:19; 109:20;147:4,19,21, 23;148:3;152:18; 157:2;158:20;159:1, 7,10,20;162:15,16; 163:10;188:4; 195:25;197:1,10 TD's (1) 35:10 telephone (5) 105:7;106:24; 107:11;108:1,17 TELEPHONICALLY (1) 4:7 telling (8) 20:1;54:6;64:9; 66:22;71:19;143:22; 165:23;178:23 tells (1) 89:8 ten (1) 87:12	ten-bath (1) 86:14 tendered (5) 90:12,13;168:16; 170:12,21 tens (1) 93:13 term (3) 51:3;138:21; 188:14 terminate (1) 49:16 terminology (1) 130:11 terms (10) 9:24;12:21;31:7; 68:14;103:6;105:24; 106:4;153:3;172:15; 191:19 Terrace (1) 22:17 terrible (1) 94:13 test (2) 23:1;171:16 testified (16) 26:15;56:16; 57:18;125:21; 132:11,16;136:23; 137:1;154:4;155:11; 160:10;170:23; 181:13,16;183:2; 194:10 testify (28) 7:7;19:15;31:19, 23;32:2,10,22,25; 33:14;101:12,15; 103:21;110:2; 113:14,20;133:2; 136:7;138:5;153:24; 159:9;170:9;175:24; 180:5;196:7,10; 197:22,23;198:23 testifying (11) 32:5,17,19,21; 51:20;56:5;64:13; 77:8;134:16;147:7; 196:8 testimony (59) 7:8;10:11,18;32:8, 14;33:22;34:24; 35:23,24;41:4; 54:24;65:21;66:12; 67:2;93:22;94:25; 103:25;104:11,17, 22;112:19;113:13, 16;119:16,17;122:4, 25;123:9,18;125:23; 131:20;133:16; 134:13;146:15,18, 22,22;147:19;148:2; 149:16,18;151:13; 152:21;158:4,13;	163:13,14,16,19; 191:20;193:8,9; 194:5;198:9,11,15, 20,22,25 thankful (1) 52:3 Thanks (2) 135:8;158:2 Thanksgiving (7) 78:13,17;79:23; 82:8;96:2;174:5; 178:17 That'll (2) 76:14;103:17 theoretical (1) 9:24 theoretically (1) 156:24 thereafter (1) 122:14 therefore (3) 17:23;28:3;162:7 There'll (2) 9:23;60:17 there're (2) 7:14;39:9 thinking (4) 69:6;71:21;77:20; 147:15 third (3) 60:9;153:9;193:23 thirty (7) 12:19;13:4;14:9; 131:7,11,12;145:9 thirty-five (1) 131:13 thirty-three (1) 137:9 though (8) 8:9;60:16;70:23; 100:9;126:24; 160:21;189:16; 193:23 thought (12) 49:23;51:2;52:9; 68:5;74:10;76:15; 80:14;81:11;90:18; 147:6;189:3;192:17 thoughts (1) 51:20 thousand (1) 84:9 thousands (3) 74:19;93:13; 112:12 three (15) 8:20;30:5;38:13; 67:25;70:16;71:2; 88:6;102:6;161:6, 16;165:19;167:19, 25;185:16;187:13 Thrift (1) 150:10	throughout (2) 8:15;10:20 throws (1) 138:14 tied (4) 87:21,22;89:10; 191:23 ties (3) 139:3;141:25; 142:2 tight (1) 108:3 till (2) 94:21;114:16 timeline (1) 77:18 times (12) 10:20;28:1,6; 59:20;65:16;97:12; 98:17;136:25; 137:16;166:19; 168:3;188:21 time's (1) 5:13 timing (3) 10:8;19:17;25:18 tired (1) 194:13 Title (21) 75:2;79:6,10,11, 19,21;82:3;83:21; 87:24;88:4;112:6; 114:17;121:7,7; 139:7;142:23; 148:23;155:16; 167:25;169:5;175:1 today (22) 6:22;7:15,19; 13:18,23;18:13,16; 27:2,23;28:6;35:8, 21;58:22;106:24; 137:20;159:19; 170:8;181:25;192:6, 10,23;198:8 together (1) 60:8 told (25) 57:21;73:15; 75:22,25;76:3;77:1; 79:12,21;87:21; 88:21;91:21;94:19; 146:3,5;159:7; 162:14;164:7;169:8, 8;171:20;172:22; 174:6;181:15; 188:16,20 tomorrow (10) 6:24;7:3,16;147:7; 163:4;196:6,10,24; 198:17,24 tongue (1) 191:23 tonight (1)
---	--	--	---	---

September 15, 2014

187:4 took (9) 5:16;35:12,22; 43:24;95:16;164:23; 166:24;169:22; 183:17 top (5) 56:25;69:6;71:18; 126:17;129:9 totally (3) 94:15;100:16; 101:7 towards (2) 85:25;150:19 town (5) 44:17;63:5;77:3,4; 176:20 track (1) 146:2 tractor-trailers (1) 86:21 trading (1) 183:10 traditional (1) 146:1 traffic (2) 99:15;176:17 train (1) 135:9 transaction (27) 41:25;59:2;60:7, 10:74;19;137:5,22; 138:6,8,19,22;141:3; 142:12;146:14; 148:25;149:8;154:6, 7;155:20,22;156:1,8, 15;157:12;163:14, 15;175:6 transactions (5) 75:3;79:12;99:14; 137:10;146:19 Transcribed (1) 2:20 transcript (1) 32:8 transf (1) 172:8 transfer (2) 27:16;172:9 transferred (1) 145:20 transmission (1) 199:6 transmitted (2) 159:22;161:24 transpired (2) 66:18;162:7 trap (2) 53:19,23 traveled (1) 139:15 Treasury (2) 149:12;151:9	treat (1) 120:10 tremendous (1) 98:20 Trenton (1) 115:12 Trial (13) 2:2;7:1,2,17,22; 8:25;11:17;33:11; 35:11;37:10;43:24; 53:21;108:6 trials (2) 7:13;8:2 trial's (1) 7:15 trick (2) 97:2;180:20 tried (4) 132:25;174:3,9; 178:18 trier (1) 22:19 tries (1) 160:14 trigger (1) 120:7 troubled (1) 158:20 troubling (2) 21:5;50:12 Trucking (1) 78:22 trucks (6) 74:17;75:18;78:2, 22;79:13;83:6 true (4) 8:15;13:3;27:23; 165:21 trump (1) 139:19 Trust (25) 6:18;9:6,10;11:5, 8,13,22;12:5;14:11; 21:3,10;24:13;70:1, 22;105:11,22; 113:12;131:21; 164:16;165:13; 171:9;186:11;194:6; 196:11;198:12 Trust's (10) 2:2;7:70:16;71:5; 92:13,25;107:16; 166:15;174:18; 193:16 truth (10) 55:17,21,25;56:4, 8,18;57:15;75:25; 77:10;191:14 try (15) 36:7;39:11;42:11; 53:22;65:4;66:4; 90:15;94:20;97:11; 98:17;106:19;108:7;	119:15;178:11; 194:21 trying (37) 24:21;37:18; 38:10,24;41:6; 43:10;47:17,21; 52:17;59:22;71:12; 81:21;86:23;94:2; 97:5,5;101:4; 130:11;132:9; 141:12;143:15,16, 16,20;148:9;163:23; 166:20,20;167:21; 168:3;171:15; 176:15,15;178:2,7; 181:21;197:24 Tuesday (1) 83:4 turn (2) 40:10;150:2 turned (4) 50:25;79:16;84:6; 168:2 turns (4) 44:16;67:11; 77:13;84:12 twenty (1) 136:25 twenty-five (2) 88:9;111:4 two (52) 10:22;16:20; 24:20;29:4;30:3; 36:11;38:5,9,13; 41:25;44:2,3;49:16; 50:18;55:9;60:2,8; 64:7;68:1,1;69:2; 85:1,11;87:25;91:6; 100:23;101:22; 102:2;106:19,24; 108:7;140:8;147:14, 16;154:10;159:10; 162:5;168:8,14; 172:22;175:16; 177:10;179:9; 187:14;190:21,21, 22,24;191:4;196:16, 18;197:5 two-day (1) 7:2 two-step (1) 68:10 type (1) 190:25 types (1) 192:20 typical (1) 155:19 typically (3) 76:19;142:24; 155:11	U Um-hum (5) 36:13;46:10; 68:23;143:23;192:5 un (1) 112:1 unable (3) 20:20;23:12;28:8 unclear (1) 174:8 uncomfortable (2) 52:23;65:19 uncontested (1) 118:3 uncovered (1) 197:13 under (13) 16:15,17;22:15; 44:22;45:7;64:17; 100:5;123:17; 130:20;151:4; 161:13;169:4;178:3 underst (1) 55:23 understood (7) 31:6;154:19; 155:11;162:13; 175:10,19;176:18 undertaking (1) 78:24 underwater (1) 167:23 underwrite (1) 143:8 underwriter (2) 153:22;154:7 underwriting (1) 197:13 undisputed (2) 145:10,12 undoubtedly (1) 33:6 unique (1) 117:2 unit (7) 116:5,14,17; 117:19;118:4,6; 119:21 University (1) 124:10 unknown (1) 9:14 unless (7) 18:7;24:5;96:18; 109:1;156:13,13; 178:22 unlike (1) 76:23 unpaid (2) 156:3;171:24 unreliable (1)	94:15 unsuccessful (1) 182:8 unsure (1) 149:23 unusual (1) 117:3 unwary (2) 53:19,23 up (73) 16:13;24:1,23; 25:6,10;28:15,20; 32:11,23;33:12,18, 20;34:6,13,14;50:17; 51:15;52:10;53:17; 57:23;58:3,4,4,14; 63:18;65:2,16; 66:12;71:8;72:23; 79:10;84:3;85:10; 87:3,10,18,21,23; 88:16;89:10;93:22; 94:1,24;95:8;97:13, 15,16;104:17,19; 105:22;108:8;110:8, 9;120:12;134:1,1,3; 137:7;138:18;139:3; 141:25;142:2;143:6; 163:18;164:11; 165:22;167:25; 176:7,7;182:13; 184:18;185:17; 199:14 update (1) 105:16 upon (4) 107:25;126:1; 127:25;199:6 upped (1) 167:17 upset (1) 79:14 usage (3) 113:14,21;123:10 use (18) 25:22;26:19; 31:22;32:12,13,14; 33:23;51:3;83:11,11, 13;122:14;137:10; 138:10;141:1; 152:24;171:23; 188:14 used (10) 10:24;50:17; 80:20;83:17;138:22; 140:10,22;176:17; 198:10;199:14 useful (1) 105:21 using (3) 33:1;97:15;140:17 usually (6) 7:20;53:24; 131:13;139:19,20;
---	--	---	---	--

September 15, 2014

142:1 utterly (2) 13:5;14:15	voice (1) 65:3 volumes (1) 84:24 voluntar (1) 117:11 voluntarily (2) 117:11;119:9 voluntary (1) 120:10	77:11 Weavers (3) 61:24;62:4;65:21 Weaver's (1) 90:16 week (6) 75:6;78:13;79:23; 105:21;106:1,1 weeks (9) 43:21,22,22; 86:21;105:19; 106:19,24;108:7; 119:14 weight (2) 151:20;198:21 welcome (2) 110:18;158:3 weren't (6) 21:11;25:3;71:13; 169:17;176:16,16 West (1) 2:22 what'd (1) 28:25 what's (17) 31:24;35:18; 51:12,22;53:25,25; 79:20,23;81:1; 85:19;99:14;143:24; 144:15;147:17; 180:3,3;192:3 whenever (1) 156:6 when's (1) 81:14 Whereupon (1) 199:16 whichever (2) 29:9;135:21 whisked (1) 79:19 whole (10) 26:6;70:22;86:22; 88:8;98:9;117:18; 145:19;149:24; 176:18;179:23 who's (5) 6:13;73:11;76:8; 94:4;103:21 whose (2) 122:10,12 Why's (1) 11:23 Widener (1) 124:10 wife (18) 5:22;8:12;30:8,14; 36:25;38:1;39:5,7,9; 41:11;42:15;48:20; 77:14;78:24;80:8; 85:14;88:18;170:10 willing (3) 5:13;50:25;83:7	willingness (1) 177:4 wind (3) 65:16;87:18; 120:12 wire (4) 76:2;79:12,21; 80:3 wish (7) 5:4,9;31:21; 123:20,23;127:4; 147:19 wishes (3) 34:2;107:25;108:4 withdraw (2) 26:5;196:23 withdrawing (1) 117:12 withdraws (4) 196:18,21;197:24, 25 withdrew (2) 12:3;26:12 withhold (1) 187:5 within (8) 43:21;55:18;65:3; 89:14;106:1;162:23; 167:6;194:15 without (18) 7:7,8;15:15;16:9; 19:20;31:1;95:5; 100:22;106:4;116:3, 23,24;117:22; 131:24;179:20; 180:4;187:21; 188:10 witness (131) 19:14;28:13,16, 22;29:7,10;30:23; 31:11,15,17,21; 32:10,23;33:12,20; 34:13,16;56:6,6; 58:22;94:4;101:14; 104:20,21;109:25; 110:6,9,11,15,18; 111:4,6,9;112:14,24; 113:23;115:5,9; 116:11,13,19,24; 117:8,10,15,18; 118:1,9,12;119:20; 120:1;122:3,23; 124:16,19;127:6; 129:17,23;130:6,16; 131:1,3;132:19; 133:17,23;134:4,6,9, 19;135:5,8,19,22,24; 136:2,5,9,18;137:19; 138:25;139:2,5; 142:7,11,15,18; 144:13,16,23;145:1, 3,17,19,25;146:7,10, 12,17;147:2,6,11,14,	18;148:1;150:15,18, 25;156:2,11,17,24; 157:6,9,11,18,20,25; 158:2,12,19,23; 163:2,5,7,9,9; 192:11;196:8,25; 198:2;199:8 witness' (1) 149:16 witnesses (17) 6:21,25;7:3,7,17, 21,23,24,25;8:1; 94:22;104:10,12,19; 109:18;134:14; 158:5 wondering (1) 77:25 word (2) 80:3,5 words (1) 144:6 work (16) 7:12,13;11:17,18; 62:17;92:15;120:23; 121:7;122:25; 179:17,18,18;180:2; 183:13,16,22 worked (9) 91:6,12,14,20; 93:6;98:19;102:12; 111:25;118:20 workers (1) 78:22 working (5) 91:15;142:13; 146:2;147:4;158:7 workout (2) 193:2,4 work-out (3) 71:12;195:2,4 world (5) 60:14,15;74:5; 154:13;156:25 worry (1) 64:8 worse (2) 189:16,18 worth (1) 60:4 wound (9) 52:10;57:23;58:4; 85:10;176:7,7; 182:13;184:18; 185:17 wrapped (1) 108:8 write (2) 53:15;83:5 writing (3) 61:17;107:18; 113:18 written (14) 23:14;49:16;
V	W			
vacate (1) 84:12 vacated (1) 21:4 vacation (3) 76:23;106:10,13 vacuum (1) 144:9 valid (2) 115:10;142:8 value (34) 6:3;44:13;49:18; 51:5;55:21;56:2,9, 19,20;57:16;76:19; 84:24;98:23;144:2, 12;153:7;181:14,18; 188:3,4;191:12,16, 18,20;192:2,8,18,18, 19;198:12,15,20,23; 199:1 values (1) 176:17 variable (1) 72:18 variety (1) 5:25 various (3) 10:2;32:3;198:16 Vegas (1) 83:23 vehicle (1) 115:15 verbal (1) 61:16 verified (1) 114:4 versus (1) 92:21 vice (1) 79:10 View (1) 38:25 violated (1) 22:9 violates (1) 122:5 violation (5) 8:22;15:11;22:16, 17;24:3 violence (1) 87:7 Virginia (3) 85:11,16;86:24 virtue (4) 14:1,4,5;16:6 visited (1) 30:14	wait (8) 26:4;27:9,9;99:20; 114:16;134:15; 170:22;181:9 waited (1) 174:3 waiting (3) 80:20;188:17; 193:18 waive (1) 169:24 walk (3) 64:2;94:10;97:22 walked (3) 79:15;81:5,12 walking (1) 87:18 Walters (12) 91:12,13;93:9; 168:3;182:21,24; 183:4,12,22;185:17; 186:23,25 wants (7) 11:13;32:15;58:1; 80:2;89:9;156:12,14 water (3) 34:9;135:6;158:2 way (17) 7:12,13;19:11; 44:12;48:6;65:5; 67:9;93:16;94:8; 127:14;128:2,3,7; 143:16;144:3; 159:23;163:10 ways (2) 16:20;137:7 Weaver (35) 10:24;61:17,18,19, 20;62:10;65:21; 66:13,22;67:3,7,10, 11;69:8;71:14;74:9, 17,22;75:22;76:1,9, 15;77:14;78:19; 81:4,6,10,11,13,15, 20,23;85:22;94:12; 164:1 Weaver/Cooper (6) 67:14;90:19;94:7; 163:15;188:13,15 Weaver-cum-whatever (1)			

September 15, 2014

61:20,21,24,25;64:1; 2:83:16;107:15; 108:12;125:3; 182:14;193:25 wrong (1) 101:7 wrongful (5) 5:23,24,24;6:7; 23:3 wrongful-foreclosure (1) 24:2 wrote (1) 130:2	1.8-something (1) 61:12 1.9 (1) 122:6 1.97 (1) 50:20 1:30 (3) 103:10;105:2,4 1:36 (1) 109:14 10 (8) 33:19;36:8,11,20; 38:15,20,23;174:3 10:03 (1) 34:11 10:09 (1) 69:20 10040 (1) 2:23 10th (2) 167:10,11 11:29 (1) 69:21 12 (2) 45:19;166:14 12:15 (1) 93:17 12:30 (4) 93:19;94:21; 103:9;105:4 12-12020 (2) 105:6;109:16 12th (2) 165:10;166:11 13 (7) 182:20;183:5; 186:5,9,16,17;187:6 14 (5) 186:5,9,16,17; 187:6 15 (8) 40:4,7;184:16; 186:5,9,12,18;187:6 15-11 (1) 126:20 16 (4) 69:10,12,18,23 192nd (1) 2:22 1982 (1) 23:1 1990 (1) 42:15 1992 (1) 48:8	2,040,000 (2) 49:4;56:3 2:02 (1) 109:14 20 (4) 40:17;159:2,17; 197:9 2006 (1) 124:12 2007 (4) 42:12;43:18;50:4; 190:25 2008 (41) 8:19;9:8;12:16; 18:17;25:19;30:6, 15;39:19;50:4; 60:14,15,17;69:20, 21;74:13;75:17; 77:18;78:14,17; 102:5;125:20;143:4; 145:11,16;152:4,5; 162:7,16;169:20; 170:6;171:11; 181:13,15,16;182:5; 190:25;191:7,11,13; 193:17;197:11 2009 (16) 90:11;95:17,19; 96:7;124:13;129:19; 143:4;168:5,5,6,17; 174:2;189:19;190:7, 23;191:7 200-and-some- (1) 84:8 2010 (15) 96:2;111:14; 164:9;165:10,16; 166:11,14;167:10, 11;174:2,5;178:17; 189:19;190:7;191:7 2011 (3) 150:11;152:3,4 2012 (15) 100:16;101:23; 159:2,3,17;160:15, 16;161:17,17;162:6; 196:19,20;197:5,9,9 2013 (2) 129:21,25 2014 (11) 36:14,16;45:19; 111:14;121:4;125:8; 159:4;160:13; 161:18;162:6; 196:19 20th (10) 159:3;160:16; 161:17,17;162:6; 164:9;196:19,20; 197:5,8 21703 (1) 4:5 21st (2)	11:10;195:16 22 (1) 40:10 22,500 (2) 25:16,23 22nd (4) 7:5;69:20;193:22; 194:2 25 (1) 101:23 25,000 (5) 86:10;88:3,3;89:1, 10 27 (2) 102:5,5 27th (1) 145:16 29th (7) 36:14;75:14; 106:25;107:6,11; 108:2,17 2A15-14 (1) 126:20 3 3 (15) 33:6;36:16;79:10, 12;99:19,22;100:3, 10,14;101:22;102:8; 164:4,5;166:3; 167:16 3,000 (3) 68:22;72:21; 170:21 3,000-dollar (3) 68:15;72:7;193:10 3,983 (1) 185:7 3:42 (1) 158:17 3:59 (1) 158:17 30,000 (1) 85:10 31 (1) 193:17 392 (1) 105:7 4 4 (6) 15:22;16:3;107:1, 6;158:11,16 4- (1) 46:16 4,000 (3) 184:21;185:2,8 400 (2) 21:3;85:25 400- (1) 84:6	400,000 (9) 25:18;82:16,17, 23;83:7,17,23; 170:23;195:6 400,000-dollar (3) 24:16;171:3,22 450,000 (1) 24:24 45a4 (1) 159:24 472,500 (1) 8:17 5 5 (5) 150:3,7,13,24; 182:13 5:30 (1) 186:3 5:35 (1) 199:16 50,000 (9) 24:19;25:6,18; 51:10;79:5;82:17, 19;87:15,23 500 (1) 93:12 500,000 (1) 46:16 5500 (1) 4:4 6 6 (2) 56:1;129:19 6,000 (1) 86:12 600,000 (1) 195:7 6166 (1) 29:10 7 7,000 (1) 86:12 7,000-dollar (1) 194:9 70,000 (1) 51:9 700 (1) 2:22 8 8036 (1) 161:21 817 (4) 6:3;8:13;45:14; 194:24 850 (4)
Y				
yacht (1) 83:24 year (6) 25:23;111:11; 124:11;174:3,4; 182:25 years (16) 9:12;12:3;26:21; 45:10;74:25;77:3,4; 111:4,25;126:16,17; 129:12;130:3;137:9; 160:9,9 York (1) 2:23				
Z				
zero (1) 89:4 Zoltun (1) 110:15 Z-O-L-T-U-N (1) 110:16 Zucker (1) 183:9				
0				
08 (1) 183:10 08330 (1) 29:11 09 (1) 168:18				
1				
1 (4) 53:3,3,12;122:14 1,000 (1) 93:12 1,040,000 (1) 102:18 1,300,000-dollar (1) 172:2 1.8 (2) 61:16;62:8	2 2 (10) 54:14,16;55:15, 22;56:1;69:21; 103:10;104:7;105:1; 122:17			

48:20;56:11,13; 181:13 8th (7) 159:4;160:13,18, 22;161:18;162:6; 196:19				
9				
9 (7) 36:8,11;196:11; 197:22;198:17,24; 199:12 9:52 (1) 34:11 900,000 (1) 8:18 9028 (1) 161:8 93 (1) 48:9 973406-2250 (1) 2:24 9th (2) 129:21,25				